

TOXICOLOGICAL SUMMARY AND SUGGESTED ACTION LEVELS TO REDUCE POTENTIAL ADVERSE HEALTH EFFECTS OF SIX CYANOTOXINS

May 2012



Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment California Environmental Protection Agency Blank Page

SJC-059 May 2012

TOXICOLOGICAL SUMMARY AND SUGGESTED ACTION LEVELS TO REDUCE POTENTIAL ADVERSE HEALTH EFFECTS OF SIX CYANOTOXINS

FINAL REPORT

May 2012

Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment California Environmental Protection Agency 1001 I Street, 12th Floor P.O. Box 4010 Sacramento, California 95812-4010

SJC-059 May 2012

LIST OF CONTRIBUTORS

Authors

Ned Butler, Ph.D., D.A.B.T. [Cal/EPA OEHHA] Jim Carlisle, D.V.M., M.Sc. [Cal/EPA OEHHA] Regina Linville, Ph.D. [Cal/EPA OEHHA]

Reviewers David Siegel, Ph.D. [Cal/EPA OEHHA] Lauren Zeise, Ph.D. [Cal/EPA OEHHA] Kim Ward [Cal/EPA SWRCB]

External Peer Reviewers

Adam Bownik, Ph.D. [John Paul II Catholic University] Wayne Carmichael, Ph.D. [Wright State University] James Haney, Ph.D. [University of New Hampshire] Brett Neilan, Ph.D. [University of New South Wales]

> Web-site Posting Laurie Monserrat [Cal/EPA OEHHA]

May 2012

Executive Summary

Purpose: Worldwide, several species of cyanobacteria produce cyanotoxins that cause human illnesses and kill pets or livestock. Cyanobacteria bloom in California's surface water bodies. These blooms have caused public alarm but local health officials have lacked a health basis for actions such as posting warning signs. The California State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB) contracted with the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (OEHHA) to provide risk assessment support on cyanobacterial toxins. OEHHA conducted a risk assessment to determine the cyanotoxin concentrations at which no adverse effects are expected to occur. The risk assessment includes two parts: toxicity assessment and exposure assessment.

Toxicity assessments are conducted on specific chemicals. A toxicity assessment has two parts – identifying the type of hazard and evaluating the dose response. There is sufficient toxicological information to develop reference levels that reflect the degree of toxicity for six cyanotoxins: anatoxin-a, cylindrospermopsin and the four microcystins; LA, LR, RR, and YR. Hazards posed by these cyanotoxins include liver damage, kidney damage and neurotoxicity. OEHHA computed a dose above which adverse health effects could occur. This is called a Reference Dose (RfD). The RfDs are based on the published literature for each chemical based on the serious health effect occurring at the lowest dose. RfDs differ for acute one-time and subchronic multi-day exposures. OEHHA computed separate RfDs for humans, pets, and livestock.

Exposure assessments quantify the dose of chemicals people or animals take in assuming different scenarios. People can inadvertently ingest contaminated water during recreational uses of surface water such as swimming, boating, and waterskiing. In addition, these recreational users can inhale toxins that are aerosolized, and can absorb toxins through their skin. People fishing in a contaminated area may later be exposed to cyanotoxins when they ingest the contaminated fish or shellfish they caught. Equations relate cyanotoxin concentrations in water or fish to doses people ingest, inhale and absorb through the skin for each of these scenarios. Pets can ingest cyanobacterial scum or drink contaminated water.

Action Levels: OEHHA computed health-based water concentration levels (also known as "action levels"), for people, pets and livestock. Health based concentrations in sport fish and shellfish were also computed. The human water levels are only applicable to incidental exposure through recreational use. They should not be used to judge the acceptability of drinking water concentrations. The exposure equations and RfDs described above were used to calculate suggested action levels. The following table shows the results of these computations.

	Microcystins ¹	Anatoxin-a	Cylindro- spermopsin	Media (units)
Human recreational uses ²	0.8	90	4	Water (µg/L)
Human fish consumption	10	5000	70	Fish (ng/g) ww ³
Subchronic water intake, dog ⁴	2	100	10	Water (µg/L)
Subchronic crust and mat intake, dog	0.01	0.3	0.04	Crusts and Mats (mg/kg) dw ⁵
Acute water intake, dog ⁶	100	100	200	Water (µg/L)
Acute crust and mat intake, dog	0.5	0.3	0.5	Crusts and Mats (mg/kg) dw ⁵
Subchronic water intake, cattle ⁷	0.9	40	5	Water (µg/L)
Subchronic crust and mat intake, cattle ⁷	0.1	3	0.4	Crusts and Mats (mg/kg) dw ⁵
Acute water intake, cattle ⁷	50	40	60	Water (µg/L)
Acute crust and mat intake, cattle ⁷	5	3	5	Crusts and Mats (mg/kg) dw ⁵

Action levels for selected scenarios

¹ Microcystins LA, LR, RR, and YR all had the same RfD so the action levels are the same.

² The most highly exposed of all the recreational users were 7- to-10-year-old swimmers. Boaters and water-skiers are less exposed and therefore protected by these action levels. This level should not be used to judge the acceptability of drinking water concentrations.

³ Wet weight or fresh weight.
 ⁴ Subchronic refers to exposures over multiple days.

⁵ Based on sample dry weight (dw).
 ⁶ Acute refers to exposures in a single day.

⁷ Based on small breed dairy cows because their potential exposure to cyanotoxins is greatest. See Section VI for action levels in beef cattle.

Preface

This document was developed under a contract between the State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB) and the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (OEHHA). OEHHA and SWRCB are members of the California Environmental Protection Agency (Cal/EPA). SWRCB is charged with protecting California's waters. OEHHA scientists have expertise in toxicological evaluations. OEHHA frequently provides support for human and nonhuman risk assessment issues. SWRCB asked OEHHA to provide toxicological assessments, exposure assessments and action levels for six cyanotoxins that had been prioritized by the USEPA: anatoxina, cylindrospermopsin, microcystin LR, microcystin RR, microcystin YR and microcystin LA. Several other cyanotoxins are present in California and require the attention of regulatory and resource agencies. Limited funds and the availability of toxicological information narrowed the scope of this report to these particular cyanotoxins.

The Final Draft of this report was completed in June 2009. The State's budgetary crisis at the time delayed the SWRCB's ability to contract with the University of California to arrange an external peer review of the document in compliance with the California Health & Safety Code section 57004. OEHHA received the peer review comments in June 2011. In the meantime, more literature on cyanotoxins has been published. In general, literature published after 2008 was not integrated into this document. However some pertinent recent findings that were highlighted by the peer reviewers were added to the report.

The four peer reviewers of the document were: Dr. Adam Bownik of the John Paul II Catholic University of Lubin, Poland; Dr. Wayne Carmichael of Wright State University, United States; Dr. James Haney of the University of New Hampshire, United States; and Dr. Brett Neilan of University of New South Wales, Australia. Peer reviewer selection was facilitated through the University of California.

OEHHA appreciates the thorough reviews provided by these individuals. Their comments and insight have prompted us to clarify and improve this document in several areas. The peer review comments and OEHHA's responses are available at: http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/water issues/programs/peer review/peer review cyano toxins.shtml

Table of Contents	
I. Introduction	1
Research Strategy & Results	2
Additional Resources	2
What is Not Addressed	2
II. Cyanotoxins and Potential Health Effects	3
What are Cyanotoxins?	3
Persistence of Cyanotoxins	5
Cyanotoxins Are Found in California	6
Cyanotoxin Poisonings	7
III. Health-Based Criteria for Cyanotoxins1	0
Toxicity Assessment of Cyanotoxins1	0
Health-Based Criteria for Four Microcystins1	1
Health-based Criteria for Cylindrospermopsin1	7
Health-based Criteria for Anatoxin-a1	9
IV. Health-Based Water Concentrations for Human Recreational Exposures2	2
Inhalation of Cyanotoxins while Boating or Water Skiing	2
Exposure to Cyanotoxins while Swimming2	3
Health-Based Cyanotoxin Water Concentrations for Swimmers	3
V. Ingestion of Cyanotoxins in Fish or Shellfish2	3
Cyanotoxin Accumulation in Fish and Shellfish2	4
Cyanotoxin Concentrations found in Fish and Shellfish2	4
Health-Based Cvanotoxin Concentrations in Sport Fish and Shellfish for	
Consumers	5
VI. Domestic Animal Exposure Assessment2	6
Livestock2	7
Dogs	3
VII. Summary	6
Appendix I: Determination Swimmer ExposureAl-	1
Dose from Water IngestionAl-	1
Dose from Skin PenetrationAl-	1
Dose from Inhaled VaporsAl-	2
Chemical-Specific ConsiderationsAl-	3
Exposure Parameters for the Swimmer and the Relationship between Water	
Concentration and the Dose to Swimmers Al-	4
Health-Based Water Concentrations Al-	5
Volatility and Skin Permeability of CyanotoxinsAl-	6
Appendix II: Computation of Action Levels in Sport Fish and Shellfish All-	1
Exposure Scenarios ConsideredAll-	1

Dose from Sport Fish and Shellfish Consumption	All-1
Appendix III: Computation of Microcystin Concentrations in Lyophilized <i>M</i> <i>Aeruginosa</i> Cells used by Jackson et al., 1984	<i>icrocystis</i> AllI-1
Appendix IV: Computation of Water Intake by Cattle and Related Action	
Levels	AIV-1
Dairy Cattle	AIV-1
Beef Cattle	AIV-3
Effect of Diet and Water Access	AIV-3
Summary of Exposure to Cattle through Drinking	AIV-5
Action Levels	AIV-6
Appendix V: Ingestion of Cyanobacterial Crusts and Mats by Cattle	AV-1
Appendix VI: Canine Exposure to Cyanotoxins in Water	AVI-1
Appendix VII: Ecotoxicology of Microcystins, Anatoxin-a and	
Cylindrospermopsin	AVII-1
Introduction	AVII-1
Microcystins	AVII-6
Cylindrospermopsin	AVII-16
Anatoxin-a	AVII-20
Food Web Transfer	AVII-22
Conclusions and Research Needs	AVII-27
References	R-1

SJC-059 May 2012

Blank Page

I. Introduction

Some species of cyanobacteria (also called blue-green algae) produce toxins, collectively referred to as cyanotoxins. Several cyanotoxins are extremely toxic to laboratory animals and have poisoned people. Cyanobacteria and cyanotoxins are found in lakes, reservoirs, rivers and estuaries throughout the world, including California, although the amount can vary drastically between water bodies and times of the year. People swimming, waterskiing, or boating in these water bodies can be exposed to cyanotoxins. Cyanotoxins may also accumulate in fish that are caught and eaten by people. Finally, pets and livestock have died after drinking water contaminated with cyanotoxins. California public health officials need a basis for decisions regarding recreational and other uses of these water bodies. This report provides a basis for these decisions:

- The report summarizes published toxicological information concerning six cyanotoxins: anatoxin-a, cylindrospermopsin, microcystin LR, microcystin RR, microcystin YR and microcystin LA
- Using this published information, the report establishes reference doses for each of these toxins above which adverse health effects could occur.
- The report describes methods for estimating exposure during recreational use of water bodies and combines these exposure estimates with the reference dose to estimate water and sportfish concentrations for each toxin that protects recreators.
- Similarly, the report describes methods for estimating exposure to domestic animals and combines these exposure estimates with the acute and subchronic reference doses to estimate water and crust concentrations for each toxin to protect pets and livestock.
- The report provides a literature survey of the effects of cyanotoxins on aquatic ecosystems.

More specifically, in this research effort the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (OEHHA) staff have:

- 1. Identified the health effects (in both humans and domestic animals) that may occur upon exposure to the six cyanotoxins.
- 2. Determined dose levels that may result in adverse health effects for various exposure durations.
- 3. Identified routes by which exposure may occur under various exposure scenarios.
- 4. Developed scientifically based health protective "action levels" that may be applied as needed, by local, regional, state or tribal entities throughout California, to reduce (or eliminate) algal toxin exposures.
- 5. Highlighted any data gaps or areas of further research that may be useful in addressing the challenges identified with this work.

Research Strategy & Results

OEHHA staff searched scientific bibliographic databases on the subject of cyanotoxins. The initial searches identified about 1500 scientific papers on the topic of adverse health effects and exposure to cyanotoxins. Many of these papers were acquired and reviewed. Often a reviewed paper would cite other papers and reports that were also acquired and reviewed. OEHHA staff further examined review articles, guidance documents and various government communications on the same topics. At the end of the project OEHHA's review of the literature through 2008 had identified 2025 publications relevant to the topic. In general, literature published after 2008 was not integrated into this document. However some pertinent recent findings that were highlighted by the peer reviewers were added to the report.

Additional Resources

While researching this topic, a number of individual experts in the field of cyanobacteria and their toxins were identified. These individuals may be helpful in addressing any number of cyanobacterial-related issues. A Blue Green Algae Work Group including representatives of the State Water Resources Control Board, the Department of Public Health, and OEHHA has produced a draft document, "Cyanobacteria in California Recreational Water Bodies, providing Voluntary Guidance about Harmful Algal Blooms, Their Monitoring, and Public Notification", which is available at: http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/water_issues/programs/bluegreen_algae/docs/bga_volguidance.pdf.

What is Not Addressed

Chemical concentration levels versus cyanobacterial counts

Observing the presence of cyanobacteria is not difficult, so cyanobacteria are often identified in water bodies. However, cyanobacterial counts do not provide adequate information, since it is the toxins and not the cyanobacteria that cause severe toxicity. Unfortunately, the complexity of the relationship between the presence and quantity of cyanobacteria and concentrations of cyanotoxins in the water precludes estimating toxin concentrations from cyanobacterial counts. Cyanobacterial counts can overestimate the risk of cyanotoxin poisoning if cyanobacteria are present but not producing toxin. They can also underestimate the risk of cyanotoxin poisoning because cyanotoxins may persist in the water after a cyanobacterial bloom has subsided and is no longer visible. Furthermore, some species of cyanobacteria can produce more than one toxin and the individual toxins can be produced by more than one species of cyanotoxins, not cell counts.

Not all cyanotoxins have toxicological criteria

Cyanobacteria produce cyanotoxins other than the six listed above. Cyanotoxins include over 80 similar but distinct microcystins, as well as other toxins. Over the last ten years, the number of identified microcystin analogs has grown significantly and there may be analogs yet to be identified. Criteria can be developed for chemicals with quality toxicological studies. Toxicological studies were found to support the development of toxicity criteria for only six cyanotoxins. Therefore, this document does not report criteria for many cyanotoxins. Fortunately, in dealing with chemical analogs, scientists look for those with the highest toxicity. The six chemicals identified in this report are among the most toxic cyanotoxins known. However, this report does not address all of the important cyanotoxins such as anatoxin-a(s), saxitoxins and other analogs of microcystins. Toxicological criteria are also needed for these cyanotoxins and should be developed in the future.

Action levels do not apply to drinking water

The action levels suggested in this document only apply to water that may be incidentally ingested during recreational activities like water skiing and swimming. They are not intended to apply to treated or untreated water that is intended for drinking, which may be consumed in much larger quantities. There is a separate process by which drinking water risks are assessed and mitigated.

II. Cyanotoxins and Potential Health Effects

This section presents a) the chemical structure of the six cyanotoxins that are the subject of this document, b) the occurrence of these chemicals in California, and c) a summary of human poisonings as well as effects on non-human species.

What are Cyanotoxins?

Cyanotoxins are chemicals produced by cyanobacteria that can induce toxic effects. There are an enormous number of cyanobacterial species that live in marine, fresh or brackish waters. Cyanobacteria may or may not produce one or more toxins. The conditions that favor toxin production are not well understood.

May 2012

Microcystins

Microcystins are the most numerous of the cyanotoxins. There are over 80 analogs of these cyclic peptides containing seven amino acids synthesized by multiple genera of cyanobacteria, most commonly *Microcystis*. Figure 1 shows the general structure shared by all microcystins with variable portions shown as X, Z, R¹ and R².



Figure 1: General structure of microcystins

The four microcystins addressed in this document have different amino acids in the X and Z positions in the figure above, but are otherwise identical (both R1 and R2 are methyl groups). Microcystins are named using the one letter abbreviation for the amino acids substituted at the X and Z positions, respectively. Table 1 shows the amino acids that would appear in the structure above for the named microcystins.

Name	X-position Amino Acid	Z-position Amino Acid	Molecular Weight*
Microcystin LA	Leucine	Alanine	910
Microcystin YR	Tyrosine	Arginine	1045
Microcystin RR	Arginine	Arginine	1038
Microcystin LR	Leucine	Arginine	995

Table 1:	Composition	of Microcystin	Congeners
----------	-------------	----------------	-----------

*Shown as molecular weight plus H

May 2012

Cylindrospermopsin

Cylindrospermopsin is a single chemical with the structure illustrated in Figure 2. Cylindrospermopsin is produced by *Cylindrospermopsis raciborskii*, *Umezakia natans*, *Aphanizomenon ovalisporum*, *Rhaphidiopsis curvata*, *Anabaena lapponica* and *Anabaena bergii*. These species are found in Australia, New Zealand, Europe, Asia and the Americas.



Figure 2: Structure of cylindrospermopsin

Anatoxin

Anatoxin-a has a chemical structure shown in Figure 3. It is produced by species of several cyanobacterial genera including *Anabaena*, *Planktothrix* (*Oscillatoria*), *Aphanizomenon* and others.



Figure 3. Structure of anatoxin-a

Persistence of Cyanotoxins

Microcystins

Microcystins are extremely stable and resist common chemical breakdown such as hydrolysis or oxidation under conditions found in most natural water bodies. They are

even stable in boiling water [1, 2]. Tsuji et al. [3] found microcystins to break down slowly in full sunlight especially when water-soluble pigments are present.

The cyclic peptide microcystins are not susceptible to eukaryotic protease and many bacterial proteases. However, there are proteases in some naturally occurring bacteria that are capable of degrading microcystins [4-7]. Because these microcystin-proteases are not everywhere, microcystins may persist for months or even years once released into cooler dark natural water bodies lacking the bacteria that can degrade them.

Cylindrospermopsin

Like microcystins, cylindrospermopsin can be boiled for 15 minutes with no effect and it is relatively stable in the dark. However, it will slowly break down ($t_{1/2}$ = 9hrs) at temperatures >50 °C). Pure cylindrospermopsin is relatively stable in sunlight, but the presence of cell pigments leads to rapid photolysis. Photolysis can break down more than 90 per cent of the cylindrospermopsin within 2-3 days [8].

Wormer and colleagues found no microbial degradation of cylindrospermopsin by natural bacterial communities during a 40-day study [9].

Anatoxin-a

In the dark, anatoxin-a is relatively stable. But in pure solution, it is rapidly degraded by sunlight (photolysis) which is accelerated by alkaline conditions [10]. The half-life was found to be approximately 14 days under normal light conditions at pH 8 or pH 10 with an initial concentration of 10 μ g/L [11].

Anatoxin-a is also degraded by bacteria associated with cyanobacterial filaments [12, 13], but was not degraded in cyanobacterial filaments free of contaminating bacteria [14]. A five-day half-life was measured in samples of lake sediment and natural bacteria in the laboratory [11].

Cyanotoxins Are Found in California

Only chemical analysis can determine if specific cyanotoxins are present in a water sample. This expensive process is rarely done unless motivated by some concern for human or ecological health. More often, cyanobacteria known to produce cyanotoxins are identified in a water body. But not all blooms in California have been observed and reported. Therefore, the following incidents do not represent all of the surface water in which cyanotoxins could likely be found.

Microcystins have been measured in the Salton Sea of Imperial County [15]. The Iron Gate reservoir and Copco Lake in Siskiyou County have been sampled numerous times over the past few summers and microcystins have been detected - sometimes at high concentration [16, 17]. Along the Eel River, four dogs died in 2002 and 2004; anatoxin-a was found in the stomach contents of two of the dogs [18]. Anatoxin-a has also been identified in the Eel River [19]. Microcystins have been measured in the Delta region east of San Francisco Bay and up into the Sacramento and San Joaquin Rivers [20, 21]. High levels of microcystins have been found in Pinto Lake and its tributaries leading to the Monterey National Marine Sanctuary, where a number of sea otter deaths have been linked to microcystin poisoning [22]. Microcystins have also been measured in Clear Lake [23]. Four lakes in southern California (Lake Mathews, Lake Skinner, Diamond Valley Lake, and Lake Perris) were found to have measurable levels of microcystins [24]. Cyanotoxins have occurred elsewhere in California – the above citations are not intended as a comprehensive review of occurrences. Cylindrospermopsin has not been reported to be found in California, and no scientific papers were found in which samples from California water bodies were tested for this toxin. Cylindrospermopsin has been found in Florida [25].

In temperate climates, cyanobacterial blooms are associated with higher water temperature, increased pH, low turbulence and high nutrient inputs, showing a characteristic seasonal pattern [2, 26]. Toxin-producing cyanobacteria have flourished in stagnant water along the Klamath River in August or September [17].

Cyanotoxin Poisonings

Human Poisonings

No Human Deaths from Ingestion

While there have been impacts on human health, no human deaths from ingestion of cyanotoxins have been reported in the scientific literature. In 1999 the World Health Organization convened a panel of international experts and produced what remains the most comprehensive review of the field. "In comparing the available indications of hazards from cyanotoxins with other water-related health hazards, it is conspicuous that cyanotoxins have caused numerous fatal poisonings of livestock and wildlife, but no human fatalities due to oral uptake have been documented" [2]. Although there is a single newspaper account of a human fatality [27], the relationship of this death to cyanotoxins has been seriously questioned. Anatoxin-a was initially identified in the stools, blood, and other fluids from a boy but that was later determined to be an inaccurate result. Dr. Wayne Carmichael, an international expert on cyanotoxins and a peer reviewer of this document, doubts the causal role of anatoxin because anatoxin-a induces symptoms almost immediately after the toxin is absorbed from the gut - not 48 hours later as in the case in question. A year later, at an international scientific meeting, Dr. Carmichael explained that the analytical method he used to measure anatoxin-a in the biological samples can misidentify phenylalanine, a common amino acid, as anatoxin-a [28].

Human Deaths after Intravenous Exposure

In February 1996, following routine dialysis, 116 of 131 patients in Caruaru, Brazil experienced visual disturbances, nausea, vomiting, and muscle weakness. One hundred patients then developed acute liver failure and 76 eventually died from symptoms now called "Caruaru Syndrome" [29]. Cyanotoxins in reservoir water used in the dialysis caused this syndrome [30]. Cylindrospermopsin and microcystins were found in the water; microcystins were also found in the blood and livers of the patients.

Nonfatal Health Effects from Cyanotoxin Exposure

There are numerous reports of a variety of health effects after exposure to cyanotoxins in either drinking water or as a result of swimming in water in which cyanobacteria were present. Cylindrospermopsin in drinking water poisoned several people in Australia. No one died, but liver enlargement, kidney damage, profuse bloody diarrhea, and fever were reported. Many of the exposed patients required intravenous intervention to maintain electrolytes [31].

Animal Poisonings

The majority of reported cyanotoxin poisonings have occurred in domestic animals that drink from freshwater bodies containing cyanobacterial blooms [see reviews by 32, 33-35]. Unfortunately, some animals appear to be attracted to cyanobacteria in water and drying clumps of cyanobacteria that have washed onto land, known as crusts or mats [reviewed by 34]. Livestock and dogs have been observed to drink cyanobacteria-infested water, while clean water was plainly accessible, and to avidly consume crust and mats, which are accumulations of benthic cyanobacteria that form on the floor of the water body, float on the surface, and can also become landed [36-39]. Lopez-Rodas and Costas [37] found that mice showed a clear preference for *Microcystis aeruginosa* scum (concentrated amounts of cyanobacteria floating on or just below the surface; 1,000 and 15,000 cells/ml) over clean drinking water. These mice did not prefer non-cyanobacterial phytoplankton over clean drinking water and did not differentiate between toxic and non-toxic strains of the cyanobacteria. These observations and experiments indicate that at least some animals preferentially consume cyanobacteria.

A brief overview of cyanotoxin poisonings in livestock and pet dogs is provided below. Early reports typically include the type and numbers of animals affected, the species of cyanobacteria present and, when possible, symptoms prior to death and time to death. In recent decades, a greater effort has been made to obtain a definitive diagnosis of cyanotoxin poisoning when investigating animal mortalities. A common approach includes analysis of water (including cyanobacterial cells), stomach contents and key organs for suspected cyanotoxin(s). Additionally, several papers have detailed diagnostic approaches that utilize histopathologic and biochemical analyses to provide a stronger diagnosis [19, 38-42].

Livestock

Thousands of livestock fatalities have been linked to the ingestion of cyanobacteria [reviewed by 32, 34]. Several distinct cyanotoxins have been implicated in the poisonings including microcystins [e.g., 40, 43] anatoxin-a [44] and cylindrospermopsin [45]. Animal deaths resulting from cyanotoxin poisoning have been reported on every inhabited continent. The most frequent and severe events have occurred in Australia, where 10,000 livestock died following a large bloom of *Anabaena circinalis* in the Darling River [reviewed by 32]. *Microcystis* spp. and *Anabaena* spp. are most commonly reported in conjunction with livestock poisonings. *Cylindrospermopsis* spp. poisonings have been the least reported, mainly occuring in Australia [45-47].

In North America, cyanotoxin poisonings in cattle, horses, sheep, pigs, chickens and turkeys have been reported. Livestock poisonings have been linked to blooms of *Microcystis* sp. in Oklahoma [48], Mississippi [49], Georgia [50], Wisconsin [51], Michigan [52], Colorado [40] and Saskatchewan, Canada [53, 54]. Livestock poisonings linked to *Anabaena* spp. blooms have been reported in Oklahoma [48], Kentucky [55], Illinois [56], lowa [reviewed by 34] and Alberta and Saskatchewan in western Canada [44, 53, 54]. Most of the poisonings were fatal and were associated with visible scum of cyanobacteria.

Livestock poisonings have even occurred under environmental conditions considered unfavorable to cyanobacteria blooms such as cold temperatures and low nutrient levels. Over 100 cattle deaths have been linked to microcystins in high alpine lakes of Switzerland with very low temperature and nutrient levels [43]. In these cases, *Planktothrix (Oscillatoria)* sp., a benthic cyanobacterium, produced the microcystin. Similarly, a *Microcystis* sp. bloom that occurred in a Michigan pond during cold weather was determined to be the cause of poisoning in four yearling Holstein heifers (one survived) [52]. In another case, a *Microcystis* sp. bloom in a Georgia pond during mid-November was linked to the deaths of 4 cattle [50]. Temperatures were cold but an adjacent field had just received high nitrogen fertilizer, which likely supported the bloom. Cattle losses would have been greater but for rapid diagnosis and removal of pond access. These cases demonstrate that toxic blooms occur in atypical environments.

Dogs

Numerous poisonings in dogs have been ascribed to the ingestion of cyanobacteria around the world [32, 34, 35]. Dog deaths have been reported following the consumption of cyanobacteria that produce anatoxin-a or microcystins in Europe, Africa, New Zealand, Canada and the United States. In recent decades, diagnoses of the specific cyanotoxin responsible for dog poisonings have frequently been reported. Anatoxin-a poisonings in dogs have resulted from ingestion of benthic *Planktothrix* spp. [19, 36, 57-60] and *Phormidium favosum* [61, 62]. Microcystin poisoning following consumption of *M. aeruginosa* has led to several dog mortalities [63, 64]. In California, dogs have died from anatoxin-a poisonings after consuming *M. aeruginosa* from an undisclosed location

[64]. Two dog deaths from anatoxin-a poisoning have been confirmed in Oregon, and several other cyanotoxin-related dog deaths are suspected there [65, 66].

III. Health-Based Criteria for Cyanotoxins

As described above, cyanotoxins have adversely affected the health of people and animals, and they are found in surface water bodies in California (although the extent of their distribution is not completely defined). Some of these water bodies are used for recreation (swimming, waterskiing, and fishing) that could result in human exposures. Furthermore, livestock and pets may drink contaminated surface water. Public health officials need a basis to prevent or warn of exposures to toxic chemicals that may lead to adverse health effects. To meet that need, OEHHA has developed health-based surface water concentrations for the six cyanotoxins as the basis for decisions to protect public health and the health of pets and livestock.

There are two parts to determining these health-based surface water action levels for contaminated water bodies:

- 1) **Toxicity Assessment** is an analysis of amounts or dosages of a chemical taken in by a person or animal that cause adverse health effects.
- 2) Exposure Assessment is a process for estimating how much of the chemical will be taken into the body of a person or animal. This depends on the amount or concentration of the chemical in the environment, and the assumed exposure scenarios, such as drinking the water, or recreational use of the water such as swimming, water-skiing, fishing, etc. Exposure assessment involves the consideration of potential pathways and routes of exposure for each given exposure scenario. In the case of cyanotoxins the environmental exposure medium of primary concern is water.

Toxicity Assessment of Cyanotoxins

Toxic effects occur when an organ in the body, such as the liver, kidney, or lungs, does not perform its function because of the effects of a toxin. For toxic effects other than carcinogenesis, which involves changes in DNA, there is generally considered to be a "threshold dose" that can be tolerated without toxic effects. The concept of a threshold dose applies to all of the chemicals considered in this document.

Toxic chemicals interact with components of cells, leading to cell death or disruption of vital cellular function(s). Thresholds exist because the body has mechanisms to prevent harm from many outside chemicals and because of biological redundancy. Because there are many components in each cell and many identical cells, there is a dose of chemical that can be tolerated without inducing a toxic effect. The concept of a tolerated dose is the basis of most health-based regulatory concentration limits for noncarcinogenic effects. This maximally tolerated dose is the maximum dose to which people can safely be exposed. It has been given different names but the term reference dose (RfD) that is used by U.S. EPA is used here. RfDs can be developed for varying exposure durations: acute (<24 hrs), short-term (up to 30 days), subchronic (up to 10 percent of a person's lifetime) and chronic (more than 10 percent of a person's lifetime) exposure durations.

The goal of the toxicity assessment is to estimate an RfD for each of the chemicals. The RfD represents the maximum dose to which people could be exposed without significant risk of adverse health effects. Since there was no direct scientific information on the maximum cyanotoxin dose that would not cause a toxic effect in humans, studies in laboratory animals (mostly rats and mice) were relied on to estimate the RfD. There are three steps in estimating that dose:

- First, identify the best study that provides quantitative information.
- Second, determine a dose that does not cause adverse health effects.
- Third, combine that dose with appropriate uncertainty factors.

For the purposes of this document, appropriate studies are those in which animals were exposed orally to clearly defined doses of pure chemical and then examined for the most sensitive toxicological response for each chemical (i.e. the effect that occurs at the lowest dose). The best studies also have multiple doses with toxicological responses increasing with dose and no toxicological response at the lowest dose, so the area of the "threshold" is defined.

The duration of exposure in animal studies helps dictate the human exposure durations to which the RfD derived from that study can be applied.

Health-Based Criteria for Four Microcystins

Existing Health-Based Criteria

The World Health Organization (WHO) has developed a Tolerable Daily Intake (TDI, equivalent to EPA's RfD) for microcystin-LR of 4 X 10^{-5} (0.00004) milligrams per kilogram body weight per day (mg/kg-d). WHO based the TDI on a non-cancer endpoint, liver toxicity in mice [67]. WHO [2] did not consider the ability of microcystins to promote liver tumors; WHO's International Agency for Research on Cancer reviewed the evidence for carcinogenicity and concluded that microcystin-LR was "possibly carcinogenic to humans (group 2B)" [68].

Based on its TDI, WHO developed a drinking water concentration criterion (Equation 1). It includes an exposure assessment that relates a concentration in water to a dose taken into the body. It assumes that a 60 kg person drinks two liters of water each day and that 80 percent of the two liters is from a contaminated source.

$$\frac{\text{TDI} \times \text{BW}}{\text{IR} \times \text{RSC}} = \text{DWC} \qquad \text{Eq. 1}$$

where,

TDI= Tolerated Daily Intake, 0.00004 mg/kg-d BW= Body Weight, 60 kg IR= Intake Rate, 2 L/d RSC= Relative Source Contribution, 0.80 DWC= Drinking Water Concentration Criterion, 0.0015 mg/L or 1.5μg/L

The most recent publication [69, 70] cites the 1998 provisional guideline of 1 μ g/L based on the equation above and rounded to one significant digit (rounding down to be health-protective). In water containing cyanobacterial cells, the WHO guideline value should be applied to the total cell-bound and extracellular microcystins [2].

WHO also categorizes swimming risk levels as mild, moderate, high or very high based on the water concentration of microcystins, as shown in Table 2. These water concentrations are related to the likelihood that a 60 kg swimmer ingesting 100 ml of water would exceed the TDI. For each microcystin concentration and corresponding risk level in Table 2, WHO estimates an algal density equivalent. OEHHA does not agree that concentration of microcystins can be estimated by algal density or by observing scum.

Risk Level	Microcystin (µg/L)	Estimated algal equivalent
Mild	2	No scum; low algae count
Moderate	20	No or little scum; algae dispersed in top 4 meters of water
High	2,000	100-fold accumulation to high risk level scum in top 4 cm
Very high	20,000	1,000-fold accumulation to very high risk level shore scum if
		wind sweeps scum from 100 m into 10 m

Table 2. World Health Organization Guidelines

A survey of the government regulations regarding cyanotoxins in 18 countries indicates that if they have regulations, they all rely on the WHO criteria for microcystins [71, 72]. No regulatory criteria were found for anatoxin-a or cylindrospermopsin.

Toxicology of Microcystins

The United States Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA) publishes toxicological reviews of specific chemicals. A primary objective of these reviews is to determine the RfD. In November 2006, US EPA released a draft toxicological review for microcystins [73], which is still under revision and subject to change. OEHHA used this review and the references it contained, along with other references, in preparing the following discussion of the toxicity of the four microcystins. The effects of both purified microcystins and unpurified cyanobacterial extracts on animals have been studied. In the unpurified cyanobacterial extracts, were prepared.

Microcystins in general are liver toxins; humans and other species poisoned by microcystins show clear hepatotoxicity [30]. Most of the understanding about the toxicity

of microcystins is based on mice and rats receiving intraperitoneal (i.p.) injections (i.e. directly into the abdominal cavity) of microcystin-LR. Early manifestations of liver damage include an increase in liver enzymes released into serum and increased liver weight as blood fills the liver. Liver damage and cell death can also be seen microscopically. Liver changes have been observed in mice as soon as 20 minutes following injection of a lethal dose of microcystin-LR. By an hour post-dosing, the liver cells are dying, disconnecting from one another and disrupting the normal architecture of the liver [74, 75]. Microcystins can induce death in a few hours. Two mice given oral doses of 16.8 and 20 mg/kg were dead within 160 minutes [76].

Cells die in distinctly different ways. Hepatocytes from animals poisoned with microcystins appear to die by apoptosis [77]. Apoptosis, the scientific term for programmed cell death or cell suicide, has been intensively studied in developmental biology. Cells undergoing apoptosis disappear in a characteristic fashion, cannibalizing their own cellular organelles [78]. Microcystins have been used to investigate the biochemical pathway initiating apoptosis [79]. Apoptosis involves a series of proteins each chemically transforming the next. Adding phosphate to, or removing it from, a protein is a common step in a biochemical pathway. Protein phosphatases remove phosphates from proteins. Microcystins inhibit a certain class of protein phosphatases. This inhibition and the subsequent buildup of phosphorylated proteins are believed to be a mechanism by which microcystins destroy livers. There is some evidence that microcystin-LR increases other proteins in pathways leading to apoptosis but this is not as extensively studied as is the inhibition of phosphatases [80].

Although there is a growing literature on microcystin toxicity (particularly LR), most studies are designed to understand mechanisms of toxicity rather than evaluate dose response characteristics of oral exposure. Studies that use whole animals tend to use the i.p. route and there are large differences between i.p. and oral toxicity for microcystins. Thus there are few oral toxicity studies we can rely on to establish an oral RfD. While the most extensive toxicological information is available for the microcystin-LR congener, the LA, RR and YR congeners appear to have similar toxicological effects via the i.p. route: these congeners induce histological changes in rodent liver similar to microcystin-LR and have been shown to inhibit the same phosphatases [81]. Therefore, the toxicity criteria computed for microcystin-LR will be used for microcystins LA, RR and YR. All but microcystin-RR showed these effects at similar i.p. dose levels. Microcystin-RR was less potent than the others. Comparisons of oral dose levels of the congeners are not available. The mechanism of toxicity may also apply to other microcystins, but that has not been confirmed.

Microcystins and Cancer

The International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) evaluated the carcinogenic potential of both microcystin-producing cyanobacteria (*Microcystis*) and purified microcystin-LR [68]. IARC reviewed epidemiology studies showing increases in liver and colon cancer in people who drank surface water that likely contained *Microcystis* (as well

as other chemicals) compared with those who consumed well water [82]. However, because of the quality of the published human studies that were available, IARC found "it was not possible to associate the excess risk specifically with exposure to microcystin," and said "There is inadequate evidence in humans for carcinogenicity of microcystin-LR." Furthermore, IARC reviewed studies in rats and mice exposed to *Microcystis* extracts and microcystin-LR and concluded there was "inadequate evidence" that either *Microcystis* extracts are extracts or microcystin-LR causes cancer in laboratory animal. However IARC found that microcystin promoted liver precancerous lesions in animal experiments, and said "These toxins modulate the expression of oncogenes, early-response genes and of the cytokine, tumour necrosis factor α , and affect cell division, cell survival and apoptosis." IARC's overall evaluation concluded "Microcystin-LR is possibly carcinogenic to humans." IARC felt there was strong evidence supporting a plausible tumor promoter mechanism [68].

There have been no definitive studies published on the ability of microcystins to cause cancer in humans or animals since the IARC committee met in 2006. However, the National Toxicology Program (NTP, a division of the U.S. National Institutes of Health), plans to conduct a Carcinogenicity/Toxicity test in rats by intravenous exposure [83]. Zegura et al. [84] recently published an excellent review on the potential carcinogenicity of cyanobacterial toxins.

Falconer [85, 86] reported in a letter to the editor that drinking water administration of *Microcystis* extracts to mice increases both the number and weight of skin tumors in mice treated topically with the carcinogen dimethylbenzanthracene. Rats treated with diethylnitrosamine develop liver tumors that are preceded by pre-cancerous foci of liver cells that express a number of enzymes atypical for liver. In a short-term liver tumor promoter assay, *Microcystis* extracts increased the number of liver foci in diethylnitrosamine-treated rats in a dose-dependent fashion [87]. Interestingly, *Microcystis* extracts decreased duodenal tumors in mice in the only study in which oral dose levels were reported [88]. OEHHA's review of the literature finds that there is evidence suggesting a potential for microcystin-LR to promote rodent liver tumors induced by a genotoxic carcinogen. However, there are no dose-response studies available that would allow computation of a criterion based on tumor promotion. Therefore, OEHHA's RfD is based on liver toxicity.

Reference Dose in Humans

Two potential studies are available on which to base a short-term RfD: The Fawell [89] mouse study used in determining the WHO TDI [2] and the Heinze rat study [90]. WHO did not have the benefit of the Heinze study since it was published after their evaluation. Both the Fawell [89] and Heinze [90] studies found liver toxicity and used overlapping doses. The study on mice by Fawell identified a No Observable Adverse Effect Level (NOAEL) of 40 micrograms per kilogram of body weight per day (μ g/kg-d) and a Lowest Observable Adverse Effect Level (LOAEL) of 200 μ g/kg-d, which was the next highest dose level. The study on rats by Heinze used lower doses and identified a LOAEL of 50 μ g/kg-d. OEHHA chose the Heinze study as the basis of the RfD because it

evaluated more endpoints, utilized a better experimental design, showed greater target organ specificity (intrahepatic hemorrhage) in the histopathological analysis, and showed a clear dose-response trend. The rats of the Heinze study showed a greater sensitivity to microcystin-LR than the mice of the Fawell study.

Heinze [90] exposed two groups of ten rats each to microcystin-LR-laced drinking water for 28 days and a control group was given plain drinking water during that time. The rats were weighed weekly and the concentrations in their drinking water were adjusted so that the low dose group got 50 μ g/kg-d and the high dose group got 150 μ g/kg-d. On day 28, the rats were sacrificed. Organ and body weights were recorded, blood and serum clinical chemistry parameters were measured and histological sections of liver and kidney were examined microscopically. The incidence of microscopic liver lesions (0 of 10 at 0 μ g/kg-d, 6 of 10 at 50 μ g/kg-d and 9 of 10 at 150 μ g/kg-d) was selected as the toxicity endpoint for both the short-term RfD calculations because this endpoint showed a clear dose-response trend. Other candidate endpoints either did not show a clear dose-response trend (increased serum levels of lactate dehydrogenase and alkaline phosphatase) or were less sensitive (liver-to-body weight ratio).

The incidence of microscopic liver lesions was input into the EPA benchmark dose (BMD) software (version 1.3.2). This software fits various mathematical models to the dose-response data to estimate the dose associated with a 10 percent response rate (the BMD) and a 95 percent lower confidence limit on the BMD (BMDL). The log-probit fit of the data was determined to be the best fitting model and this resulted in a BMDL estimate of 6.4 μ g/kg-d. OEHHA's use of the BMD approach here does have limitations: only two dose levels were used in the study and the BMDL is well outside of the dose range tested. It is helpful to point out here that an alternative standard protocol of dividing the LOAEL, 50 μ g/kg-d in Heinze [90], by 10 to estimate a NOAEL of 5 μ g/kg-d.

Dividing the benchmark dose of 6.4 μ g/kg-d by a cumulative uncertainty factor (UF) of 1000 resulted in an RfD of 0.0064 μ g/kg-d, or 6 x 10⁻⁶ mg/kg-d. The cumulative UF included:

- a UF of 10 because the average human could be as much as 10 times more sensitive to the toxic effect of the chemicals than the laboratory animals that were tested,
- a UF of 10 because the most sensitive human could be as much as 10 times more sensitive to the toxic effects of these chemicals than the average human
- a UF of 10 because complete toxicology profiles are not available for these chemicals particularly with regard to cancer and effects in children.

The same value and computation were used for both the short term and subchronic RfDs. Therefore, this RfD could apply to daily exposures ranging from one day to seven years (10 percent of 70 years). The duration of the Heinze study was less than is typically required for a subchronic RfD (5% of lifetime rather than 10%). However the generally

comparable NOAEL of 3 μ g/kg-d in the much longer chronic study described below adds confidence to OEHHA's subchronic RfD finding.

Two studies in mice are available to determine a chronic RfD. A gavage study by Ito et al. [91] did not provide enough information to determine an average daily dose. An 18-month drinking water study by Ueno et al. [92] is suitable. The study used only one dose level (a concentration of microcystin-LR that resulted in a dose of 3 μ g/kg-d, or 0.003 mg/kg-d) and a control group. Forty mice per treatment were exposed to purified microcystin-LR for the full 18 months. Endpoints evaluated were hematology, serum biochemistry, necropsy, organ weights, and histopathology, the latter being the focal endpoint. There were no toxicologically significant differences in any of the parameters measured in the treated mice compared to the control mice. Therefore, 0.003 mg/kg-d was determined to be a NOAEL. Their cumulative uncertainty factor of 1000 (similar to that used in the subchronic RfD) resulted in a chronic RfD of 3.0 x 10⁻⁶ mg/kg-d, one-half of the short-term and subchronic RfD value.

These computations and reasoning are the same as those described in the US EPA draft document describing subchronic and chronic RfDs for microcystins. That document is still under revision by US EPA.

Acute Reference Dose in Domestic Animals (based on lethality)

Jackson et al. [93] exposed fifteen sheep to varying amounts of lyophilized *Microcystis aeruginosa* collected from a natural bloom. A single bolus of cyanobacteria was introduced directly into the rumen of the sheep, simulating ingestion exposure. They tested a dose range of 730 to 1,840 mg dry algae per kg body weight (bw). These amounts of dry cyanobacteria are equivalent to approximately 2.7 to 6.7 mg microcystin per kg bw (see appendix III for *M. aeruginosa* to microcystin conversion). The lowest lethal dose was 1040 mg lyophilized *M. aeruginosa/*kg, or approximately 3.8 mg microcystin per kg bw. All sheep receiving higher dosages died or became moribund within 41 hours and exhibited marked liver histopathological changes consistent with microcystin poisoning. The highest non-lethal dose was 1010 mg lyophilized M. aeruginosa per kg bw, or approximately 3.7 mg microcystin per kg bw. Therefore the NOAEL was determined to be 3.7 mg/kg bw. The NOAEL was divided by a cumulative uncertainty factor (UF) of 100, yielding the acute RfD of 3.7 x 10⁻² mg/kg bw for microcystin in domestic animals. The cumulative UF included 10 for interspecies variation and 10 for insufficient toxicology data and severity of the endpoint. This RfD is intended to protect the average animal rather than the most sensitive, so an intraspecies UF was not applied. The cumulative UF is more conservative than that used in developing the subchronic RfD, discussed next, because of the severity of the endpoint.

Subchronic Reference Dose in Domestic Animals

As described above, Heinze [90] exposed rats to microcystin-LR in drinking water at doses of 0, 50 and 150 μ g/kg body weight per day (μ g/kg-d). Following 28 days of

exposure, microscopic liver lesions were observed in a clear dose-response trend. The dose associated with a 10 percent response rate (with 95% lower confidence limit) for microscopic liver lesions was 0.0064 mg/kg-d (EPA benchmark dose software v. 1.3.2). This benchmark dose is a reasonable basis for a subchronic RfD for domestic animals. Typically, risk assessments for non-human species do not employ the same level of conservatism as do human health risk assessments. Therefore, we applied a combined UF of 10 to cover the uncertainty in extrapolating from mice to cattle and dogs, and the uncertainty due to incomplete toxicology profiles. Dividing 0.0064 mg/kg-d by the UF of 10 yielded a subchronic RfD of 6.4×10^{-4} mg/kg-d. This RfD is intended to protect the average animal rather than the most sensitive, so an intraspecies UF was not applied.

Health-based Criteria for Cylindrospermopsin

Toxicology of Cylindrospermopsin

Animal studies have consistently shown severe liver and kidney damage due to cylindrospermopsin. In contrast to microcystins, the mechanism by which this toxin causes organ damage is unclear. There are three alternative hypotheses: One hypothesis involves the ability of cylindrospermopsin to inhibit protein synthesis [94, 95]. The second hypothesis is that cylindrospermopsin interferes with the ability of mitochondria to produce ATP (adenosine triphosphate) [96]. The third hypothesis involves depletion of glutathione, a chemical produced by the liver and known to protect the liver from reactive chemicals [97, 98].

Kidney structure and function are also affected by cylindrospermopsin. Kidneys are essential because they filter metabolic wastes from the blood and flush them out in the urine. When kidneys are damaged, the metabolic wastes can build up in the blood and lead to death. Kidney to body weight ratio was increased in mice treated with cylindrospermopsin [99]. This generally indicates that there is some renal pathology. There was also histological evidence of damage to the kidney of treated mice. All of this indicates that cylindrospermopsin has a toxic effect on the kidney. In addition, thymus and spleen have been identified as targets of cylindrospermopsin [95, 100]. However, these tissues are affected at higher doses than the kidney and liver. Mice given 30 - 40 mg cylindrospermopsin/kg die within 24 hours [101, 102].

Cylindrospermopsin and Cancer

There are no cancer studies on cylindrospermopsin in animals or epidemiological evidence for carcinogenesis in humans. There are few studies on the genotoxicity of cylindrospermopsin, but there is some evidence that cylindrospermopsin interacts with DNA or causes mutations [103-105] and some weakly positive results in an initiation assay [106, 107]. Given the minimal number of studies on cancer and genotoxicity, OEHHA concurs with the US EPA draft assessment that there is "inadequate information to assess carcinogenic potential." [106]

Reference Dose in Humans

Cylindrospermopsin's effect on animals has been studied with both purified cylindrospermopsin and extracts of the cyanobacterium Cylindrospermopsis raciborskii. While extract studies are interesting, studies on purified toxin are preferred when available because they avoid the effects of contaminating substances. OEHHA adopts the draft subchronic RfD found in USEPA's "Draft Toxicological Reviews of Cyanobacterial Toxins: cylindrospermopsin" released in November of 2006 [106]. This document, which is still under revision by EPA and subject to change, proposes a subchronic RfD based on increased kidney to body weight ratios in mice as shown by Humpage and Falconer [99]. The authors gavaged groups of 10 mice with 0, 30, 60, 120 or 240 µg/kg-d of cylindrospermopsin in water for 11 weeks. A number of parameters were measured, but kidney to body weight ratios were increased at the lowest dose. The Benchmark Dose Software (BMDS, version 1.3.2) fit a mathematical model to the data. The best fit was obtained with a linear model excluding the highest dose group. The 95 percent lower confidence limit on the benchmark dose was 0.033 mg/kg-d. This value was divided by a cumulative uncertainty factor (UF) of 1000, yielding a subchronic RfD of 3.3 x 10⁻⁵ mg/kg-d.

The cumulative UF included:

- a UF of 10 because the average human could be as much as 10 times more sensitive to the toxic effect of the chemicals than the laboratory animals that were tested,
- a UF of 10 because the most sensitive human could be as much as 10 times more sensitive to the toxic effects of these chemicals than the average human
- a UF of 10 because complete toxicology profiles are not available for these chemicals particularly with regard to effects in children.

These computations and reasoning are identical to those described in the EPA draft document describing subchronic RfD for cylindrospermopsin.

Acute Reference Dose in Domestic Animals

No acute oral studies using purified cylindrospermopsin could be found. However, several studies have used cells or cell extracts of *Cylindrospermopsis raciborskii* to investigate acute toxicity following oral dosing. Seawright et al. [100] administered a suspension of freeze-dried cells by gavage to mice. The dose range was equivalent to 4.4 to 8.3 milligrams cylindrospermopsin per kilogram body weight (mg/kg bw). The lowest lethal dose was 4.4 mg/kg bw. In a similar study in which mice were orally administered 0 - 8 mg cylindrospermopsin/kg bw as a single dose of sonicated cell extract, the 8 mg/kg bw dose killed all mice within 48 hours and the 6 mg/kg bw dose killed two of the four mice exposed within 5 days [105, 108]. No mortality was observed in the remaining dose levels of 0, 1, 2 and 4 mg/kg bw. Another study reported a higher

SJC-059

minimum lethal oral dose of 13.8 mg/kg bw cylindrospermopsin, as a saline extract of cells, in mice [107]. Based on these studies, the lowest lethal dose of cylindrospermopsin was 4.4 mg/kg bw and the highest non-lethal dose was 4.0 mg/kg bw. Therefore, the NOAEL was determined to be 4.0 mg/kg bw. The NOAEL was divided by a total UF of 100 to yield the acute RfD of 4.0×10^{-2} mg/kg bw for cylindrospermopsin in domestic animals. The cumulative UF of 100 included 10 for interspecies variation (because a different species could be as much as 10 times more sensitive to the toxic effect of the chemicals than sheep) and 10 for insufficient toxicology data and severity of the endpoint. This RfD is intended to protect the average animal rather than the most sensitive, so an intraspecies UF was not applied. The cumulative UF is more conservative than that used in developing the subchronic RfD, discussed next, because of the severity of the endpoint.

Subchronic Reference Dose in Domestic Animals

As described above, Humpage and Falconer [99] gavaged mice with 0, 30, 60, 120 or 240 micrograms cylindrospermopsin per kilogram body weight per day (μ g/kg-d) in water for 11 weeks. Increased kidney to body weight ratios in the mice were observed at the lowest dose. The 95 percent lower confidence limit on the calculated benchmark dose was 0.033 mg/kg-d (Benchmark Dose Software, v. 1.3.2). This dose is a reasonable basis for the subchronic RfD for cylindrospermopsin in domestic animals. We applied a combined UF of 10. This included a UF of 10 to cover the uncertainty in extrapolating from mice to cattle and dogs and the uncertainty due to incomplete toxicology profiles. Dividing 0.033 by 10 yielded a subchronic RfD of 0.0033 or 3.3 x 10⁻³ mg/kg-d. This RfD is intended to protect the average animal rather than the most sensitive, so an intraspecies UF was not applied.

Health-based Criteria for Anatoxin-a

Toxicology of Anatoxin-a

Nerves stimulate muscles or other nerves by releasing chemicals called neurotransmitters. Neurotransmitters travel across a thin watery layer called a synapse to either a muscle or another nerve. If enough neurotransmitter binds to receptor proteins on the muscle or post-synaptic nerve, the muscle contracts or the nerve fires. Acetylcholine is a neurotransmitter secreted by many pre-synaptic neurons, especially those connected to muscles. Muscles stop contracting because an enzyme acetylcholinesterase breaks down acetylcholine so that the receptors return to an empty state. Our rhythmic breathing is controlled by acetylcholine release and its subsequent breakdown. Anatoxin-a can mimic acetylcholine by binding to acetylcholine receptors and stimulating post-synaptic firing. As such it is called an acetylcholine agonist. However, unlike acetylcholine, anatoxin-a is not broken down and so post-synaptic firing does not stop. When an animal's vital muscles like those needed to breathe do not contract rhythmically due to anatoxin-a binding, it stops breathing, which is the likely cause of death [109, 110].

SJC-059

Anatoxin-a affects other biological processes both in the brain, and in nerves outside the brain. In rats and mice developmental effects have been observed [111] and neurobehavioral effects have been observed in rats [112-114]. However, anatoxin-a was injected rather than given orally in these developmental and neurobehavioral studies, so they are not useful for establishing a maximum dose for oral exposure.

Anatoxin and Cancer

There are no cancer, genotoxicity or even chronic exposure studies on anatoxin-a. Furthermore, the NTP website does not indicate any plans to test anatoxin-a. OEHHA concurs with the US EPA assessment that there is "inadequate information to assess carcinogenic potential."

Reference Dose in Humans

The best study for a subchronic RfD is one in which three groups of 20 female rats were given either 0, 0.05 or 0.5 mg/kg-d anatoxin-a in their drinking water for seven weeks [111, 115]. No effects were seen at the highest dose, so this is the NOAEL. Applying a 1000-fold uncertainty factor, a subchronic RfD of 5×10^{-4} mg/kg-d is calculated. The cumulative UF was based on the same considerations as that for microcystin. Short-term and subchronic RfDs are proposed in US EPA's "Draft Toxicological Reviews of Cyanobacterial Toxins: Anatoxin-a" released in the fall of 2006 [116].

The best study for a short-term RfD is one in which groups of 10 male and female mice were gavaged with 0, 0.1, 0.5, and 2.5 mg/kg-d for 28 days [89]. The mice were examined for a wide range of toxicological endpoints both during and at the end of the study. There was no statistically significantly difference between the control group and any of the dosed groups for any of these endpoints. The highest dose, 2.5 mg/kg-d, was identified as the NOAEL. Applying a 1000-fold uncertainty factor (UF), a short-term RfD of 0.0025 or 2.5 x 10⁻³ mg/kg-d was calculated.

The cumulative UF included:

- a UF of 10 because the average human could be as much as 10 times more sensitive to the toxic effect of the chemicals than the laboratory animals that were tested,
- a UF of 10 because the most sensitive human could be as much as 10 times more sensitive to the toxic effects of these chemicals than the average human
- a UF of 10 because complete toxicology profiles are not available for these chemicals particularly with regard to effects in children.

These computations and reasoning are identical to those described in the EPA draft document describing subchronic and chronic RfDs for anatoxin.

Acute Reference Dose in Domestic Animals

The work on acute oral toxicity of anatoxin-a is limited. In mice, the oral LD₅₀ for purified anatoxin-a has been reported as >5 to 16.2 milligram anatoxin-a per kilogram body weight (mg/kg bw) [110, 117] as reported in [2]. Carmichael et al. [109, 117] found calves to be more sensitive to oral doses of *Anabaena flos-aquae* than mice and rats given the same material. The minimum lethal dose (MLD) of a strain of A. flos-aguae (NRC-44-1) known to produce anatoxin-a was 525, 1500 and 1800 mg lyophilized cells/kg bw in calves, rats and mice, respectively. The oral MLD in calves (525 mg cells/kg bw) is equivalent to 2.3 mg anatoxin-a/kg bw, since the same A. flos-aquae strain was later determined to contain 4.3 µg anatoxin-a/mg lyophilized cells [118]. The anatoxin-a production of strain NRC-44-1 appears to have remained consistent over time since the i.p. MLD of lyophilized cells in mice were identical in the two studies [109, 110]. The lyophilized NRC-44-1 cells, however, seem more toxic than purified anatoxin-a since lyophilized NRC-44-1 cells produced an LD₅₀ that was less than half of the LD₅₀s of pure toxin and a wild strain of A. flos-aquae in mice [110]. This suggests that strain NRC-44-1 contains additional toxic elements. Due to its limited size (n=2) and the apparent influence of additional toxins, the calf study was not used to develop an acute RfD for anatoxin-a in domestic animals.

Instead, the anatoxin-a RfD for domestic animals is based on the short-term NOAEL, discussed in the section above [67, 89]. Prior to the 28-day study in mice, Fawell et al. [67, 89] performed a 5-day range-finding study in which groups of mice were orally administered purified anatoxin-a in doses ranging from 1.2 to 12.3 mg/kg-d. The minimum lethal dose was 6.3 mg/kg-d. Hence, the next lowest dose of anatoxin-a, 2.5 mg/kg-d was determined to be the NOAEL. The fact that a dosage 40 percent of the lowest lethal dose in a 5-day study produced no adverse effects in a 28-day study indicates the very steep dose-response curve of anatoxin-a.

Applying a 100-fold uncertainty factor (UF) the short-term RfD of 0.025 mg/kg-d or 2.5×10^{-2} mg/kg-d was calculated for anatoxin-a in domestic animals. The cumulative UF of 100 included 10 for interspecies variation and 10 for insufficient toxicology data and severity of the endpoint. This RfD is intended to protect the average animal rather than the most sensitive, so an intraspecies UF was not applied.

Subchronic Reference Dose in Domestic Animals

As discussed above, the best study for a subchronic RfD is one in which rats were exposed to up to 0.5 mg/kg-d anatoxin-a in drinking water for seven weeks [111, 115]. No effects were seen at the highest dose of 0.5 mg/kg-d, so this is the NOAEL. If a UF of 10 were applied, as was done for cylindrospermopsin and microcystins, the subchronic RfD for domestic animals would be 5.0×10^{-2} mg/kg-d. However, this value is not used

because it could result in exposures above the RfD for short-term anatoxin-a exposure calculated above ($2.5 \times 10^{-2} \text{ mg/kg-d}$). Instead, the short-term RfD of $2.5 \times 10^{-2} \text{ mg/kg-d}$ is also applied to subchronic exposures to anatoxin-a in domestic animals.

The cyanotoxin reference doses developed for humans and animals are presented in Table 2a.

	Type RfD	Microcystin	Anatoxin-a	Cylindrospermopsin
	Acute	6.4 x 10 ⁻⁶		
Human	Subchronic	6.4 x 10 ⁻⁶	2.5 x 10 ⁻³	3.3 x 10 ⁻⁵
	Chronic	3.0 x 10 ⁻⁶		
Domostio	Acute	3.7 x10 ⁻²	2.5 x10 ⁻²	4.0 x10 ⁻²
Animal	Subchronic	6.4 x10⁻⁴	2.5 x10 ⁻²	3.3 x10 ⁻³
	Chronic			

 Table 2a: Human and Domestic Animal Reference Doses (mg/kg-day)

IV. Health-Based Water Concentrations for Human Recreational Exposures

To assess potential human exposure to cyanotoxins, various recreational exposure scenarios were considered, including swimming, water-skiing/jet-skiing, fishing, boating, sail boarding, and canoeing. Our analysis (Appendix I) showed that swimmers would have higher exposures than water-skiers, jet-skiers, boaters, sail boarders, and canoers. Therefore, criteria that would protect swimmers would also protect the other recreational users. Exposure from eating fish will be assessed separately, since it is based on concentrations in fish flesh, not on water concentrations.

Inhalation of Cyanotoxins while Boating or Water Skiing

Water skiing, jet skiing or boating can cause cyanotoxins to become aerosols (microscopic liquid or solid particles suspended in air). A skier and boater could inhale these aerosolized cyanotoxins. Cheng [119] measured the concentrations of microcystins in personal air of volunteers recreating on the lake. The concentrations in the water were approximately 1 μ g/L microcystins on three sequential days. The results of this study showed that a liter of water contains 700,000 to 800,000 times the amount of the toxins as in a cubic meter of air. (This calculates to 1.3 to 1.4 μ l aerosolized microcystin/m³.) Since the assumption used is that a swimmer ingests 50 milliliters/hr, a water-skier would have to inhale at least 35,000 m³/hr while skiing in order to get a dose equal to the swimmer. This is 17,000 times the inhalation rate of a marathon runner. It is not possible for a water skier to inhale enough aerosol to come close to what a swimmer gets from

ingestion (described in the next section). Therefore, a concentration in the water that protects the swimmer should also protect a water skier.

Exposure to Cyanotoxins while Swimming

Cyanotoxins in the water could theoretically enter a swimmer's body along with water that is inadvertently swallowed, by penetrating the skin, and by vaporizing and being inhaled. These three routes of potential exposure are analyzed in Appendix I. That analysis shows that the physical-chemical properties of microcystins and cylindrospermopsin preclude their vaporizing or penetrating the skin to any significant degree, so only ingestion exposure was quantified. On the other hand, vaporization or dermal penetration by anatoxin-a could not be ruled out, so exposure by all three routes was estimated. As described in the section above, aerosols do not contribute significantly when ingestion of water is assumed. Therefore, aerosols are not considered in the swimmer scenario. The results of this exposure analysis are summarized in Table 3.

Health-Based Cyanotoxin Water Concentrations for Swimmers

The ratios in Table 3 were used to determine the water concentration associated with a given dose. As described in Appendix I, the most exposed swimmer is a 7 to 10 year old child. It is assumed that this child is swimming in contaminated water during the summer and early fall when cyanobacterial blooms occur. The Concentration/Dose Ratios for each of the chemicals were multiplied by the corresponding RfD (Section III) to estimate an action level, a water concentration above which a child could experience adverse health effects.

Chemical	RfD ²	Concentration/Dose Ratio ³	Action Level ⁴
Units	mg/kg-d	(mg/L) per (mg/kg-d)	μg/L
Microcystins	6.4 x 10 ⁻⁶	1.21 x 10 ²	0.8
Cylindrospermopsin	3.3 x 10 ⁻⁵	1.21 x 10 ²	4
Anatoxin-a	2.5 x 10 ⁻³	3.7 x 10 ¹	90

Table 3: Cyanotoxin	Action I	Levels for the	e Swimming	Scenario ¹
---------------------	----------	----------------	------------	-----------------------

Criteria for swimmers also protect other recreational water users

² RfDs calculations described in section III above

³ See Appendix I

⁴ Product of the previous two columns

V. Ingestion of Cyanotoxins in Fish or Shellfish

The risk involved in eating fish and shellfish containing cyanotoxins has received increased attention in recent years. Ibelings and Chorus [120] provide a comprehensive review of this issue. By far, the bulk of available data pertains to microcystins. Some information is available for cylindrospermopsin while only one study was found on the

experimental uptake of anatoxin-a in fish. The major factors contributing to cyanotoxin exposure through fish and shellfish consumption are the concentration of the toxins in these organisms and the amount consumed.

Cyanotoxin Accumulation in Fish and Shellfish

In their comprehensive review, Ibelings and Chorus [120] concluded that cyanotoxin concentrations in fish are likely to be site-specific and bloom-specific. Fish and shellfish mainly accumulate microcystin and cylindrospermopsin through their diet. Filter-feeding shellfish and planktivorous fish accumulate cyanotoxins by directly ingesting cyanobacteria, especially when thick surface scum is formed. However, even though these organisms are consumed by larger fish, the cyanotoxins do not build up in their tissues because the fish are able to break down much of the ingested cyanotoxins. The extent of cyanotoxin accumulation in biota cannot be predicted based on feeding type or trophic level because microcystin or cylindrospermopsin concentrations at any trophic level depend on several complex interactions including the organism's consumption rate, digestive ability and time since exposure. Time since exposure in fish is an especially important factor for human exposures because microcystin can move from inedible (i.e., liver) to edible (muscle) tissues of fish after the bloom has ceased and fish are no longer being exposed. In fact, cyanotoxins in mussels could be partially retained through the winter because their depuration processes slow down with decreasing temperatures. Although it is clear that microcystin and cylindrospermopsin can be taken up and partially retained by fish and shellfish, site-specific monitoring of cyanotoxins in fish and shellfish during bloom season is necessary to evaluate the risk associated with consumption of those organisms.

Cyanotoxin Concentrations found in Fish and Shellfish

The highest concentrations of both microcystins and cylindrospermopsin are typically found in the liver and gut of the fish, or the hemolymph and hepatopancreas in shellfish [reviewed by 120]. These tissues are not typically eaten (except in mussels and other bivalves) and their removal significantly lowers cyanotoxin exposure in humans. However, elevated concentrations of microcystin and cylindrospermopsin have been measured in edible portions of fish (muscle) and shellfish (muscle or whole). Concentrations of microcystin (MC-LR_{eq}) reported in the literature range from 0.25 - 340 ng/g wet weight (ww) in fish muscle, 5 – 58 ng/g ww in shellfish muscle and 64 – 2,500 ng/g ww in whole mussels [reviewed by 120]. Unpublished data from the California Department of Fish and Game show that mussels and edible portions of fish collected from Klamath River, California and two of its reservoirs contained high levels of total microcystins [121]. Mussels collected from Klamath River in July 2007 contained an average of 554 ± 928 ng MC/g (ww; ± standard deviation). Filets of perch collected from the Klamath reservoirs Copco and Iron Gate in September 2007 had 169 ± 117 and 42 ± 65 ng MC/g ww, respectively.

Up to 205 ng cylindrospermopsin/g ww has been found in prawn flesh. Saker and Eaglesham [122] measured 153 ng/g ww cylindrospermopsin in muscle and 1,290 ng/g

ww in hepatopancreas of crayfish from an agricultural pond [converted from dry weight using average percent moisture from 123]. No reports were found of anatoxin-a in fish collected from the field.

Ibelings and Chorus [120] emphasize the importance of understanding the types of fish and shellfish tissues that are consumed locally when assessing the risk associated with consumption. Mussels (and other bivalves) are often eaten whole. Other shellfish are sometimes boiled whole for soups. In some cultures, consumption of whole fish and shellfish is common.

The significance of anatoxin-a uptake in fish to human consumption is currently unclear. When Osswald et al. [124] exposed juvenile carp to *Anabaena* sp. suspensions of 10⁵ or 10⁷ cells/ml (approximately 12 and 1,170 μ g anatoxin-a/L, respectively) for up to 4 days, all fish accumulated < 1 percent of the available anatoxin-a. In the higher exposure, all fish died within 30 hours and contained 73 ± 71 ng anatoxin-a/g in whole body ww. Carp at the lower exposure survived and accumulated 5 ± 2 ng anatoxin-a/g (ww, whole body). The authors speculate that accumulation would likely be greater in a medium exposure (i.e., between the lower exposure and the unknown lethal threshold), but also point out that the hydrophilicity and instability of anatoxin-a may ultimately result in insignificant accumulation in fish. More work is needed to understand the dynamics of anatoxin-a in the aquatic food web.

Health-Based Cyanotoxin Concentrations in Sport Fish and Shellfish for Consumers

In California, the general fishing population is estimated to consume about 30.5 grams of sport fish and shellfish per day (weighted average of the Santa Monica Bay Seafood Consumption Study; [125], [126]. This consumption rate is equivalent to an uncooked 7.5-ounce fillet each week, which is slightly smaller than the 8-ounce meal size typically used in risk assessments [127]. In order to simplify the action level calculated here, the consumption rate was adjusted to 32 g/day (8-oz/week; uncooked) to reflect a standard meal size.

Action levels for sport fish and shellfish containing microcystins, anatoxin-a and cylindrospermopsin are shown in Table 4. These action levels identify the maximum concentration of cyanotoxins in edible fish and shellfish tissues that a typical consumer (one meal per week) could ingest without exceeding the RfDs. The sport fish and shellfish action levels are expressed on a wet weight basis because that is how people eat fish and shellfish. For higher consumption rates, divide the action level by the average number of meals consumed each week. Children are assumed to eat proportionally smaller meals (2 – 4 ounces uncooked). The action levels only apply to the consumption of sport fish and shellfish and do not apply to the consumption of commercial fish and shellfish. Action level calculations are described in Appendix II.

May 2012

Chemical	RfD ¹	Action Level ²
Units	mg/kg-d	ng/g tissue ww ⁴
Microcystins ³	6.4 x 10 ⁻⁶	10
Cylindrospermopsin	3.3 x 10⁻⁵	70
Anatoxin-a	2.5 x 10 ⁻³	5000

Table 4: Cyanotoxin Action Levels for Sport Fish and Shellfish

¹ RfDs calculations described in section III above

² Based on typical consumption rate of self-caught fish in California (one meal per week) and body weight of 70 kg. See Appendix II for calculations. Children are assumed to eat smaller meals (2 - 4 ounces uncooked).

³ Apply action levels to the sum of all detected microcystins until subchronic toxicities of the other variants are clarified.

⁴ Wet weight. Action level units assume fresh (or wet) weight of the fish tissue.

VI. Domestic Animal Exposure Assessment

Exposure scenarios in livestock and pet dogs considered here include drinking from water bodies, eating algal-bloom crusts and mats, and swimming (dogs only). For livestock, exposures in dairy and beef cattle were the primary focus. As described earlier in the report some animals appear to be attracted to, and to preferentially consume, cyanobacteria in water, dried crusts and landed mats. In fact, most acute poisonings are seen in domesticated animals. An additional uncertainty factor of 3 is added to domesticated animal exposure estimates to account for this preferential consumption of cyanobacteria.

Below, RfDs and exposure estimates are used to calculate action levels for domesticated animals in several environmental media. Detailed descriptions of the exposure assessments are presented in appendices IV – VI. To briefly review, the RfD is a computed maximum dose to which organisms can be safely exposed. RfDs are based on experiments described in scientific literature. Exposure assessments are estimates of the amount of environmental media an organism may be exposed to through various routes. Health-protective action levels are chemical concentrations in the environmental media that are designed to prevent an organism from receiving exposures above the RfDs.
Livestock

Water Ingestion

Action levels were calculated to identify the concentration of cyanotoxins in water that represents little or no risk of toxic exposures to cattle (Tables 5 and 6). The cyanotoxin should be measured in total water (cells + water) in order to represent the exposure to cattle. When possible, it would be best to measure cyanotoxins in landward scums (thick mixtures of cyanobacteria in water that often accumulate near the shore). Calculations of water intake rates and related action levels are described in Appendix IV. Water consumption for livestock was calculated using recommended prediction formulas and dietary parameters for cattle from the National Research Council (NRC) [128]. An uncertainty factor of 3 is applied to the calculated water ingestion rates to account for preferential consumption of cyanobacteria-filled water [36]. This uncertainty factor allows the assumption that livestock may drink up to three times more water than our calculated water intake rates.

The calculated water consumption rate for small breed dairy cows was 0.23 liters of water per kilogram body weight per day (L/kg-d; see Appendix IV for details). Small breed dairy cows were selected because they have the potential for greater exposure. We applied the uncertainty factor of 3 to the calculated water intake rate of 0.23 L/kg-d which resulted in a final exposure level of 0.69 L/kg-d. The RfDs (mg/kg-d) for the cyanotoxins were divided by the final water consumption level of 0.69 L/kg-d resulting in a chemical concentration in water (mg/L) that would result in exposure at the RfD level or below. This concentration was converted to μ g/L and set as the action level. Action levels are presented for both acute (<24h) and subchronic (up to 10% of lifetime) durations of exposure. Cyanotoxin action levels in water for dairy cows are shown in Table 5.

For microcystin, the acute RfD for domesticated animals (0.037 mg/kg-d) was divided by the final exposure level of 0.69 L/kg-d (the product of dairy cow water consumption, 0.23 L/kg-d, and an uncertainty factor of 3) to calculate 0.0536 mg microcystin/L, which was converted to 54 μ g/L and rounded to 50 μ g/L to become the acute microcystin action level in water for dairy cows (Table 5). The subchronic microcystin RfD for domesticated animals (0.00064 mg/kg-d) was divided by the final exposure level of 0.69 L/kg-d (the product of dairy cow water consumption, 0.23 L/kg-d, and an uncertainty factor of 3) to calculate 0.0009 mg microcystin/L, converted to 0.9 μ g/L for the subchronic microcystin action level in water for dairy cows (Table 5).

For anatoxin-a, the acute RfD for domesticated animals (0.025 mg/kg-d) was divided by the final exposure level of 0.69 L/kg-d (the product of dairy cow water consumption, 0.23 L water/kg-d, and an uncertainty factor of 3) to calculate 0.0362 mg anatoxin-a/L, which was converted to 36 μ g/L and rounded to 40 μ g/L as the acute anatoxin-a action level in water for dairy cows (Table 5). The same RfD, and thus action level, was determined to most appropriately represent subchronic exposures to anatoxin-a (see the discussion for the computation of anatoxin-a RfDs for domesticated animals under the subsection *Health-Based Criteria for Anatoxin-a*).

For cylindrospermopsin, the acute RfD for domesticated animals (0.04 mg/kg-d) was divided by the final exposure level of 0.69 L/kg-d (the product of dairy cow water consumption, 0.23 L water/kg-d, and an uncertainty factor of 3) to calculate 0.058 mg cylindrospermopsin/L, which was converted to 58 μ g/L and rounded to 60 μ g/L to become the acute cylindrospermopsin action level in water for dairy cows (Table 5). The subchronic cylindrospermopsin RfD for domesticated animals (0.0033 mg/kg-d) was divided by the final exposure level of 0.69 L/kg-d (the product of dairy cow water consumption, 0.23 L water/kg-d, and an uncertainty factor of 3) to calculate 0.0048 mg cylindrospermopsin/L, converted to 4.8 μ g/L and rounded to 5 μ g/L for the subchronic cylindrospermopsin action level in water for dairy cows (Table 5).

	Microcystin	Anatoxin-a	Cylindrospermopsin
Water consumption ² L/kg-d	0.23	0.23	0.23
Uncertainty factor (unitless)	3	3	3
Acute RfD ³ mg/kg-d	0.037	0.025	0.04
Acute action level µg/L	50	40	60
Subchronic RfD mg/kg-d	0.00064	0.025	0.0033
Subchronic action level μg/L	0.9	40	5

Table 5: Cyanotoxin RfDs and Water Action Levels¹ for Dairy Cows

Calculated as: Action level (μ g/L) = 1000 x RfD (mg/kg-day) / Intake of contaminated water (L/kg-day). Based on cattle fed dry diet; Action levels for cattle on pasture would be 2.2 x these values

² Based on small breed dairy cows because their potential exposure to cyanotoxins is greater.

³ The short-term RfD is shown for anatoxin-a.

For beef cattle, the calculated water consumption rate was 0.07 liters of water per kilogram body weight per day (L/kg-d; see Appendix IV for details). We applied the uncertainty factor of 3 to the calculated water intake rate which resulted in a final exposure level of 0.21 L/kg-d. The RfDs (mg/kg-d) for the cyanotoxins were divided by the final water consumption level of 0.21 L/kg-d resulting in a chemical concentration in water (mg/L) that would result in exposure at the RfD level or below. This concentration was converted to μ g/L and set as the action level. Action levels are presented for both acute (<24h) and subchronic (up to 10% of lifetime) durations of exposure. Cyanotoxin action levels in water for beef cattle are shown in Table 6.

For microcystin, the acute RfD for domesticated animals (0.037 mg/kg-d) was divided by the final exposure level of 0.21 L/kg-d (the product of beef cattle water consumption, 0.07 L water/kg-d, and an uncertainty factor of 3) to calculate 0.18 mg microcystin/L, which was converted to 180 μ g/L and rounded to 200 μ g/L to become the

acute microcystin action level in water for beef cattle (Table 6). The subchronic microcystin RfD for domesticated animals (0.00064 mg/kg-d) was divided by the final exposure level of 0.21 L/kg-d (the product of beef cattle water consumption, 0.07 L water/kg-d, and an uncertainty factor of 3) to calculate 0.003 mg microcystin/L, which was converted to 3 μ g/L to become the acute microcystin action level in water for beef cattle (Table 6).

For anatoxin-a, the acute RfD for domesticated animals (0.025 mg/kg-d) was divided by the final exposure level of 0.21 L/kg-d (the product of beef cattle water consumption, 0.07 L water/kg-d, and an uncertainty factor of 3) to calculate 0.119 mg anatoxin-a/L, which was converted to 119 μ g/L and rounded to 100 μ g/L as the acute anatoxin-a action level in water for beef cattle (Table 6). The same RfD, and thus action level, was determined to most appropriately represent subchronic exposures to anatoxin-a (see the discussion for the computation of anatoxin-a RfDs for domesticated animals under the subsection *Health-Based Criteria for Anatoxin-a*).

For cylindrospermopsin, the acute RfD for domesticated animals (0.04 mg/kg-d) was divided by the final exposure level of 0.21 L/kg-d (the product of beef cattle water consumption, 0.07 L water/kg-d, and an uncertainty factor of 3) to calculate 0.190 mg cylindrospermopsin/L, which was converted to 190 μ g/L and rounded to 200 μ g/L to become the acute cylindrospermopsin action level in water for beef cattle (Table 6). The subchronic cylindrospermopsin RfD for domesticated animals (0.0033 mg/kg-d) was divided by the final exposure level of 0.21 L/kg-d (the product of beef cattle water consumption, 0.07 L water/kg-d, and an uncertainty factor of 3) to calculate 0.016 mg cylindrospermopsin/L, converted to 16 μ g/L and rounded to 20 μ g/L for the subchronic cylindrospermopsin action level in water for beef cattle (Table 6).

	Microcystin	Anatoxin-a	Cylindrospermopsin
Water consumption L/kg-d	0.07	0.07	0.07
Uncertainty factor (unitless)	3	3	3
Acute RfD ² mg/kg-d	0.037	0.025	0.04
Acute action level μg/L	200	100	200
Subchronic RfD mg/kg-d	0.00064	0.025	0.0033
Subchronic action level µg/L	3	100	20

Table 6: Cyanotoxin RfDs and Water Action Levels¹ for Beef Cattle

¹ Calculated as: Action level (mg/L) = RfD (mg/kg-day) / Intake of contaminated water (L/kg-day) Based on cattle fed dry diet; Action levels for cattle on pasture would be 2.2 x these values

² The short-term RfD is shown for anatoxin-a.

Foraging on Cyanobacterial Crusts or Mats

Cattle have been known to eat cyanobacterial crusts or mats on the edge of natural or impounded water bodies. This scenario is especially risky considering the high concentrations of cyanotoxins found in cells of cyanobacteria. The extent of this

exposure was estimated to be approximately 1.2 kg/day by utilizing some basic observations in livestock, the details of which are in Appendix V. Calculated threshold concentrations in dried crusts or mats for the intake rate of 1.2 kg/day in dairy and beef cattle are presented in Tables 7 and 8. Action levels for cyanobacterial crusts and mats should be reported in dry weight since these materials are typically dry or moist. Cyanobacterial crusts and mats may be hazardous whether they are floating or landed.

Small breed dairy cows were used for the dairy cow exposure estimates because they have the potential for the greatest exposure. The intake of 1.2 kg of cyanobacterial crusts and mats was divided by the average weight for small breed dairy cattle (454 kg) to estimate an intake rate of 0.0026 kg crusts or mats per kg body weight per day (kg/kg-d; see appendix V for details). We applied the uncertainty factor of 3 to the estimated intake rate of 0.0026 kg/kg-d which resulted in a final exposure level of 0.008 kg material/kg-d. The RfDs (mg/kg-d) for the cyanotoxins were divided by the final crusts and mats consumption level of 0.008 kg material/kg-d resulting in a chemical concentration in cyanobacterial crusts and mats (mg/kg) that would result in exposure at the RfD level or below. This concentration was set as the action level. Action levels are presented for both acute (<24h) and subchronic (up to 10% of lifetime) durations of exposure. Cyanotoxin action levels in cyanobacterial crusts and mats for dairy cows are shown in Table 7.

For microcystin, the acute RfD for domesticated animals (0.037 mg/kg-d) was divided by the final exposure level of 0.008 kg/kg-d (the product of dairy cow crusts and mats consumption, 0.0026 kg crusts or mats/kg-d, and an uncertainty factor of 3) to calculate 4.6 mg microcystin/kg crusts or mats, which was rounded to 5 mg/kg to become the acute microcystin action level in cyanobacterial crusts and mats for dairy cows (Table 7). The subchronic microcystin RfD for domesticated animals (0.00064 mg/kg-d) was divided by the final exposure level of 0.008 kg/kg-d (the product of dairy cow crusts and mats consumption, 0.0026 kg crusts or mats/kg-d, and an uncertainty factor of 3) to calculate 0.08 mg microcystin/kg crusts or mats, which was rounded to 0.1 mg/kg to become the subchronic microcystin action level in cyanobacterial crusts and mats for dairy cows (Table 7).

For anatoxin-a, the acute RfD for domesticated animals (0.025 mg/kg-d) was divided by the final exposure level of 0.008 kg/kg-d (the product of dairy cow crusts and mats consumption, 0.0026 kg crusts or mats/kg-d, and an uncertainty factor of 3) to calculate 3.1 mg anatoxin-a/kg crusts or mats, which was rounded to 3 mg/kg to become the acute anatoxin-a action level in cyanobacterial crusts and mats for dairy cows (Table 7). The same RfD, and thus action level, was determined to most appropriately represent subchronic exposures to anatoxin-a (see the discussion for the computation of anatoxin-a RfDs for domesticated animals under the subsection *Health-Based Criteria for Anatoxin-a*).

For cylindrospermopsin, the acute RfD for domesticated animals (0.04 mg/kg-d) was divided by the final exposure level of 0.008 kg/kg-d (the product of dairy cow crusts and

mats consumption, 0.0026 kg crusts or mats/kg-d, and an uncertainty factor of 3) to calculate 5 mg cylindrospermopsin/kg crusts or mats to become the acute cylindrospermopsin action level in cyanobacterial crusts and mats for dairy cows (Table 7). The subchronic cylindrospermopsin RfD for domesticated animals (0.0033 mg/kg-d) was divided by the final exposure level of 0.008 kg/kg-d (the product of dairy cow crusts and mats consumption, 0.0026 kg crusts or mats/kg-d, and an uncertainty factor of 3) to calculate 0.41 mg cylindrospermopsin/kg crusts or mats, which was rounded to 0.4 mg/kg to become the subchronic cylindrospermopsin action level in cyanobacterial crusts and mats for dairy cows (Table 7).

	Microcystin	Anatoxin-a	Cylindrospermopsin
Algal consumption kg/kg-d	0.0026	0.0026	0.0026
Uncertainty factor (unitless)	3	3	3
Acute RfD ² mg/kg-d	0.037	0.025	0.04
Acute action level mg/kg, dw ³	5	3	5
Subchronic RfD mg/kg-d	0.00064	0.025	0.0033
Subchronic action level mg/kg, dw ³	0.1	3	0.4

Table 7: Acute and Subchronic Action Levels for Dairy Cow¹ Exposure to
Cyanotoxins in Crusts & Mats

¹ Calculated as: Action level (mg/kg) = RfD (mg/kg-day) / Intake of crusts and mats (kg/kg-day). Based on small breed dairy cows, 454 kg, because their potential exposure to cyanotoxins is greater.

 2 The short-term RfD is shown for anatoxin-a.

³ Dry weight. Based on dry sample weight.

For beef cattle, the intake of 1.2 kg of cyanobacterial crusts and mats was divided by an average weight for these animals (635 kg; [129]) to estimate an intake rate of 0.0019 kg crusts or mats per kg body weight per day (kg/kg-d; see appendix V for details). We applied the uncertainty factor of 3 to the estimated intake rate of 0.0019 kg crusts or mats/kg-d which resulted in a final exposure level of 0.006 kg/kg-d. The RfDs (mg/kg-d) for the cyanotoxins were divided by the final crusts and mats consumption level of 0.006 kg/kg-d resulting in a chemical concentration in cyanobacterial crusts and mats (mg/kg) that would result in exposure at the RfD level or below. This concentration was set as the action level. Action levels are presented for both acute (<24h) and subchronic (up to 10% of lifetime) durations of exposure. Cyanotoxin action levels in cyanobacterial crusts and mats for beef cattle are shown in Table 8.

For microcystin, the acute RfD for domesticated animals (0.037 mg/kg-d) was divided by the final exposure level of 0.006 kg/kg-d (the product of beef cattle crusts and mats consumption, 0.0019 kg crusts or mats/kg-d, and an uncertainty factor of 3) to calculate 6.2 mg microcystin/kg crusts or mats, which was rounded to 6 mg/kg to become the acute microcystin action level in cyanobacterial crusts and mats for beef cattle (Table 8). The subchronic microcystin RfD for domesticated animals (0.00064 mg/kg-d) was

divided by the final exposure level of 0.006 kg/kg-d (the product of beef cattle crusts and mats consumption, 0.0019 kg crusts or mats/kg-d, and an uncertainty factor of 3) to calculate 0.11 mg microcystin/kg crusts or mats, which was rounded to 0.1 mg/kg to become the subchronic microcystin action level in cyanobacterial crusts and mats for beef cattle (Table 8).

For anatoxin-a, the acute RfD for domesticated animals (0.025 mg/kg-d) was divided by the final exposure level of 0.006 kg/kg-d (the product of beef cattle crusts and mats consumption, 0.0019 kg crusts or mats/kg-d, and an uncertainty factor of 3) to calculate 4.2 mg anatoxin-a/kg crusts or mats, which was rounded to 4 mg/kg to become the acute anatoxin-a action level in cyanobacterial crusts and mats for beef cattle (Table 8). The same RfD, and thus action level, was determined to most appropriately represent subchronic exposures to anatoxin-a (see the discussion for the computation of anatoxin-a RfDs for domesticated animals under the subsection *Health-Based Criteria for Anatoxin-a*).

For cylindrospermopsin, the acute RfD for domesticated animals (0.04 mg/kg-d) was divided by the final exposure level of 0.006 kg/kg-d (the product of beef cattle crusts and mats consumption, 0.0019 kg crusts or mats/kg-d, and an uncertainty factor of 3) to calculate 6.7 mg cylindrospermopsin/kg crusts or mats, which was rounded to 7 mg/kg to become the acute cylindrospermopsin action level in cyanobacterial crusts and mats for beef cattle (Table 8). The subchronic cylindrospermopsin RfD for domesticated animals (0.0033 mg/kg-d) was divided by the final exposure level of 0.006 kg/kg-d (the product of beef cattle crusts and mats consumption, 0.0019 kg crusts or mats/kg-d, and an uncertainty factor of 3) to calculate 0.55 mg cylindrospermopsin/kg crusts or mats, which was rounded to 0.5 mg/kg to become the subchronic cylindrospermopsin action level in cyanobacterial crusts and mats for beef cattle crusts and mats consumption, 0.0019 kg crusts or mats/kg-d, and an uncertainty factor of 3) to calculate 0.55 mg cylindrospermopsin/kg crusts or mats, which was rounded to 0.5 mg/kg to become the subchronic cylindrospermopsin action level in cyanobacterial crusts and mats for beef cattle (Table 8).

	Microcystin	Anatoxin-a	Cylindrospermopsin
Algal consumption kg/kg/d	0.0019	0.0019	0.0019
Uncertainty factor (unitless)	3	3	3
Acute RfD ¹ mg/kg/d	0.037	0.025	0.04
Acute action level mg/kg, dw ²	6	4	7
Subchronic RfD mg/kg/d	0.00064	0.025	0.0033
Subchronic action level mg/kg, dw ²	0.1	4	0.5

Table 8: Acute and Subchronic Action Levels for Beef Cows' Exposure to
Cyanotoxins in Crusts & Mats

¹ The short-term RfD is shown for anatoxin-a.

² Dry weight. Based on dry sample weight.

Dogs

Exposures from Drinking and Grooming

Dogs may be exposed to cyanotoxins by drinking from contaminated water bodies and by licking their coats after swimming in contaminated water. Exercising dogs are estimated to drink up to 0.01 L/kg-hr (see appendix VI). We estimate that 1.5 L of bloom waters may cling to the coat of a 20 kg dog and be ingested during grooming. This is equivalent to 0.075 L/kg (see appendix VI). Thus, the total amount of water ingested during drinking (for 1-hr of exercise) and grooming is 0.085 L/kg. We applied the uncertainty factor of 3 to the estimated water intake rate of 0.085 L/kg which resulted in a final exposure level of 0.255 L water/kg-d. The RfDs (mg/kg-d) for the cyanotoxins were divided by the final water intake exposure level of 0.255 L/kg-d resulting in a chemical concentration in water (mg/L) that would result in exposure at the RfD level or below. This concentration was converted to μ g/L and set as the action level. This concentration was set as the action level. Action levels are presented for both acute (<24h) and subchronic (up to 10% of lifetime) durations of exposure. Cyanotoxin action levels in water for dogs are shown in Table 9.

For microcystin, the acute RfD for domesticated animals (0.037 mg/kg-d) was divided by the final exposure level of 0.255 L water/kg-d (the product of canine water consumption, 0.085 L/kg-d, and an uncertainty factor of 3) to calculate 0.145 mg microcystin/L, which was converted to 145 μ g/L and rounded to 100 μ g/L to become the acute microcystin action level in water for dogs (Table 9). The subchronic microcystin RfD for domesticated animals (0.00064 mg/kg-d) was divided by the final exposure level of 0.255 L water/kg-d (the product of canine water consumption, 0.085 L/kg-d, and an uncertainty factor of 3) to calculate 0.0025 mg microcystin/L, converted to 2.5 μ g/L and rounded to 2 μ g/L to become the subchronic microcystin action level in water for dogs (Table 9).

For anatoxin-a, the acute RfD for domesticated animals (0.025 mg/kg-d) was divided by the final exposure level of 0.255 L water/kg-d (the product of canine water consumption, 0.085 L/kg-d, and an uncertainty factor of 3) to calculate 0.098 mg anatoxin-a/L, which was converted to 98 µg/L and rounded to 100 µg/L as the acute anatoxin-a action level in water for dogs (Table 9). The same RfD, and thus action level, was determined to most appropriately represent subchronic exposures to anatoxin-a (see the discussion for the computation of anatoxin-a RfDs for domesticated animals under the subsection *Health-Based Criteria for Anatoxin-a*).

For cylindrospermopsin, the acute RfD for domesticated animals (0.04 mg/kg-d) was divided by the final exposure level of 0.255 L water/kg-d (the product of canine water consumption, 0.085 L/kg-d, and an uncertainty factor of 3) to calculate 0.16 mg cylindrospermopsin/L, which was converted to 160 μ g/L and rounded to 200 μ g/L to become the acute cylindrospermopsin action level in water for dogs (Table 9). The subchronic cylindrospermopsin RfD for domesticated animals (0.0033 mg/kg-d) was

divided by the final exposure level of 0.255 L water/kg-d (the product of canine water consumption, 0.085 L/kg-d, and an uncertainty factor of 3) to calculate 0.013 mg cylindrospermopsin/L, converted to 13 μ g/L and rounded to 10 μ g/L for the subchronic cylindrospermopsin action level in water for dogs (Table 9).

Table 9: I	RfDs &	Acute ar	id Subch	ronic A	ction L	_evels fo	or Canine	Exposure to)
		C	/anotoxi	ns in Dr	inking	Water			

	Microcystin	Anatoxin-a	Cylindrospermopsin
Water consumption L/kg-d	0.085	0.085	0.085
Uncertainty factor (unitless)	3	3	3
Acute RfD ¹ mg/kg/d	0.037	0.025	0.04
Acute action level µg/L	100	100	200
Subchronic RfD mg/kg/d	0.00064	0.025	0.0033
Subchronic action level µg/L	2	100	10

¹ The short-term RfD is shown for anatoxin-a.

Foraging on Cyanobacterial Crusts or Mats

Like cattle, dogs have been known to eat cyanobacterial crusts or mats on the edge of natural or impounded water bodies. This scenario is especially risky considering the high concentrations of cyanotoxins found in cells of cyanobacteria. Dogs eat large meals and can consume a day's energy requirement in just a few minutes. Active pets require approximately 130 kcal (kg bw)^{-0.75} per day while hunting dogs require approximately 240 kcal (kg bw)^{-0.75} [130]. For dogs playing in and near water bodies the average of these values, 185 kcal (kg bw)^{-0.75} per day, was used. For a 20 kg dog, the energy requirement would be approximately 1750 kcal which would be approximately 0.5 kg of dry dog food [130]. Therefore the potential ingestion of crust or mat material was assumed to be 0.5 kg (0.5 kg food-day divided by 20 kg dog is 0.025 kg/kg bw-day, Table 10). Action levels for cyanobacterial crusts and mats should be reported in dry weight since these materials are typically dry or moist. Cyanobacterial crusts and mats may be hazardous whether they are floating or landed.

We applied the uncertainty factor of 3 to the estimated intake rate of 0.025 kg crusts or mats/kg-bw-d (kg/kg-d) which resulted in a final exposure level of 0.075 kg/kg-d. The RfDs (mg/kg-d) for the cyanotoxins were divided by the final crusts and mats consumption level of 0.075 kg/kg-d resulting in a chemical concentration in cyanobacterial crusts and mats (mg/kg) that would result in exposure at the RfD level or below. This concentration was set as the action level. Action levels are presented for both acute (<24h) and subchronic (up to 10% of lifetime) durations of exposure. Cyanotoxin action levels in cyanobacterial crusts and mats for dogs are shown in Table 10.

For microcystin, the acute RfD for domesticated animals (0.037 mg/kg-d) was divided by the final exposure level of 0.075 kg/kg-d (the product of canine crusts and mats consumption, 0.025 kg crusts or mats/kg-d, and an uncertainty factor of 3) to calculate 0.49 mg microcystin/kg crusts or mats, which was rounded to 0.5 mg/kg to become the acute microcystin action level in cyanobacterial crusts and mats for dogs (Table 10). The subchronic microcystin RfD for domesticated animals (0.00064 mg/kg-d) was divided by the final exposure level of 0.075 kg/kg-d (the product of canine crusts and mats consumption, 0.025 kg crusts or mats/kg-d, and an uncertainty factor of 3) to calculate 0.008 mg microcystin/kg crusts or mats, which was rounded to 0.01 mg/kg to become the subchronic microcystin action level in cyanobacterial crusts and mats for dogs (Table 10).

For anatoxin-a, the acute RfD for domesticated animals (0.025 mg/kg-d) was divided by the final exposure level of 0.075 kg/kg-d (the product of canine crusts and mats consumption, 0.025 kg crusts or mats/kg-d, and an uncertainty factor of 3) to calculate 0.33 mg anatoxin-a/kg crusts or mats, which was rounded to 0.3 mg/kg to become the acute anatoxin-a action level in cyanobacterial crusts and mats for dogs (Table 10). The same RfD, and thus action level, was determined to most appropriately represent subchronic exposures to anatoxin-a (see the discussion for the computation of anatoxin-a RfDs for domesticated animals under the subsection *Health-Based Criteria for Anatoxin-a*).

For cylindrospermopsin, the acute RfD for domesticated animals (0.04 mg/kg-d) was divided by the final exposure level of 0.075 kg/kg-d (the product of canine crusts and mats consumption, 0.025 kg crusts or mats/kg-d, and an uncertainty factor of 3) to calculate 0.53 mg cylindrospermopsin/kg crusts or mats, which was rounded to 0.5 mg/kg to become the acute cylindrospermopsin action level in cyanobacterial crusts and mats for dogs (Table 10). The subchronic cylindrospermopsin RfD for domesticated animals (0.0033 mg/kg-d) was divided by the final exposure level of 0.075 kg/kg-d (the product of canine crusts and mats consumption, 0.025 kg crusts or mats/kg-d, and an uncertainty factor of 3) to calculate 0.044 mg cylindrospermopsin/kg crusts or mats, which was rounded to 0.04 mg/kg to become the subchronic cylindrospermopsin action level in cyanobacterial crusts and mats for dogs (Table 10).

	Microcystin	Anatoxin-a	Cylindrospermopsin
BGA consumption kg/kg-d	0.025	0.025	0.025
Uncertainty factor (unitless)	3	3	3
Acute RfD ¹ mg/kg-d	0.037	0.025	0.04
Acute action level mg/kg, dw ²	0.5	0.3	0.5
Subchronic RfD mg/kg-d	0.00064	0.025	0.0033
Subchronic action level mg/kg, dw ²	0.01	0.3	0.04

Table 10: RfDs & Action Levels for Canine Exposure to Cyanotoxins in Crusts & Mats

¹ The short-term RfD is shown for anatoxin-a.

² Dry weight. Based on dry sample weight.

VII. Summary

In this final section, the derived action levels for the six cyanotoxins in various media and exposure scenarios are summarized. Table 11 shows the action levels in water for human recreational uses. These action levels are derived from the human RfDs and exposure assessments described in Sections III and IV, respectively. Further detail on the derivation of human recreational water action levels is provided in Appendix I.

Table 11: Human Water Action Levels for Various Scenarios (µg/L)

	Microcystins	Anatoxin-a	Cylindrospermopsin
Recreational uses	0.8	90	4

Table 12 shows the action levels in sport fish and shellfish for human consumption. These action levels are derived from the human RfDs and exposure assessments described in Sections III and V, respectively. Additional detail on the derivation of action levels for sport fish and shellfish consumption is provided in Appendix II.

Table 12: Sport Fish and Shellfish Action Levels for Consumption (ng/g, ww¹)

	Microcystins	Anatoxin-a	Cylindrospermopsin
Sport fish tissue level	10	5000	70
Adda a state a factor state			

Wet weight or, fresh weight.

Table 13 shows the action levels in water for domestic animal consumption. These action levels are derived from the domestic animal RfDs and exposure assessments described in Sections III and VI, respectively. Additional details on the derivation of action levels for domestic animal water consumption are provided in Appendix IV (livestock) and Appendix VI (dogs).

Table 13: Do	mestic Animal	Water Action	Levels for Va	arious Scenarios	(μg/L)
--------------	---------------	--------------	---------------	------------------	--------

	Microcystins	Anatoxin-a	Cylindrospermopsin
Acute action level dairy	50	40	60
Subchronic action level, dairy	0.9	40	5
Acute action level, beef	200	100	200
Subchronic action level, beef	3	100	20
Acute action level, dog	100	100	200
Subchronic action level, dog	2	100	10

SJC-059

Table 14 shows the action levels in cyanobacterial crusts and mats for domestic animal consumption. These action levels are derived from the domestic animal RfDs and exposure assessments described in Sections III and VI, respectively. Additional detail on the derivation of action levels for the consumption of crusts and mats by livestock is provided in Appendix V.

[Microcystins	Anatoxin-a	Cylindrospermopsin
	Acute action level dairy	5	3	5
	Subchronic action level, dairy	0.1	3	0.4
	Acute action level, beef	6	4	7
	Subchronic action level, beef	0.1	4	0.5
	Acute action level, dog	0.5	0.3	0.5
	Subchronic action level, dog	0.01	0.3	0.04

Table 14: Domestic Animal Action Levels for Cyanotoxin Concentrations in Crusts and Mats (mg cyanotoxin /kg cells, dw¹)

¹ Dry weight. Based on sample dry weight.

Appendix I: Determination of Swimmer Exposure

This scenario is designed to ensure that people swimming are not exposed to concentrations of cyanotoxins that could cause adverse health effects. Cyanotoxins in the water could theoretically enter the swimmers bloodstream by three routes.

- 1. Ingestion: Swimmers, especially children, accidentally swallow the water in which they are swimming.
- 2. Dermal uptake: Some chemicals are absorbed through the skin of swimmers.
- 3. Inhalation: Volatile chemicals or those in aerosols may be present in the air above the water. The swimmer may inhale these vapors or aerosols while swimming.

Dose from Water Ingestion

Swimmers may inadvertently swallow (ingest) water while swimming. Cyanotoxins in the swallowed water can be absorbed into the blood from the stomach and intestines. The amount of a toxin ingested is proportional to the amount of water that is swallowed, the concentration of chemical in the water, the absorbed fraction, and the time spent swimming, and inversely proportional to the body weight. The absorbed dose is calculated using the following equation:

$$D_{ingest} = \frac{C_{w} \times ET \times IR \times Abs}{BW}$$
 eq. A.I-1

where:

|--|

- ET = Exposure time (hrs/event),
- IR = Ingestion rate (L/hr),

 C_w = Chemical concentration in water (mg/L),

- Abs = Fraction absorbed (assumed to be 100 percent),
- BW = Body weight of exposed individual (kg).

The variables for this and the following equations are shown in the tables below.

Dose from Skin Penetration

Some chemicals can penetrate the skin to reach the blood. The following equation shown below is how the absorbed dose is calculated for those chemicals:

$$\mathsf{D}_{\mathsf{dermal}} = \frac{\mathsf{C}_{\mathsf{w}} \times \mathsf{ET} \times \mathsf{SA} \times \mathsf{K}_{\mathsf{p}} \times \mathsf{R}_{\mathsf{1}} \times \mathsf{R}_{\mathsf{2}}}{\mathsf{BW}} \qquad \mathsf{eq. A.I-2}$$

where:

D_{dermal} = Dose from dermal penetration while swimming (mg/kg-event)

- ET = Exposure time (hrs/event),
- SA = Surface area of exposed skin (m^2) ,
- C_w = Concentration in water (mg/L),
- K_p = Chemical-specific dermal permeability coefficient (cm/hour),
- BW = Body weight of exposed individual (kg).
- R_1 = Conversion factor for square meters to square centimeters (10,000 cm^2/m^2)
- R_2 = Conversion factor for cubic centimeter to liters (0.001 L/cm³)

As in all the other equations, the intake dose is proportional to the time swimming (ET), the concentration of chemical in the water (C_w), the surface area of the person (SA), and inversely proportional to the body weight. The absorbed dose is proportional to the dermal permeability coefficient (K_p), a physiochemical property of the chemical indicating its ability to penetrate skin.

Dose from Inhaled Vapors

Volatile chemicals may vaporize from the water into the air above the water. A swimmer would inhale these chemicals while swimming. The following equation shows how the intake dose was calculated.

$$D_{inhaled} = \frac{C_a \times ET \times IR}{BW}$$
 eq. A.I-3

where:

D_{inhaled} = Dose from inhaling vapors in air while swimming (mg/kg-event)

- C_a = Ambient vapor or aerosol concentration in air (mg/m³),
- ET = Exposure time (hours/event),

IR = Inhalation rate (m³/hour),

BW = Body weight of exposed individual (kg)

$$C_a = C_w \times H' \times R_3$$
 eq. A.I-4

where:

 C_w = Concentration in water (mg/L), and

- H' = Chemical specific Henry's Law Constant (μg/m³ air per mg/L water)
- R_3 = Conversion factor for micrograms to milligrams (0.001 mg/µg)

The intake dose is proportional to the time spent swimming (ET), the inhalation rate (IR) and the concentration in air (C_a). Air concentrations are predicted using the Henry's Law constant that is a property of the chemical.

Chemical-Specific Considerations

Three standard routes of exposure are described above. However, if the chemical does not penetrate the skin or vaporize from the water into the air, then it does not pose a threat by the dermal or inhalation routes, respectively. Dermal penetration and volatility are related to basic chemical properties. The following table shows the routes of exposure that are assumed to be complete for the four microcystins, cylindrospermopsin and anatoxin-a.

	Microcystins	Cylindrospermopsin	Anatoxin-a
Ingestion	Yes	Yes	Yes
Dermal	no ¹	no ¹	Yes
Inhalation	no ¹	no ¹	Yes

Table A.I-1. Routes of Exposure from Swimming

¹ Based on their chemical properties, microcystins and cylindrospermopsin are not likely to penetrate the skin or vaporize from water. (See the subsection *Volatility and Skin Permeability of Cyanotoxins,* below)

Two age groups of children as well as both male and female adults were evaluated to determine which group would receive the highest dose of each of the three chemicals. There are three routes of exposure by which a chemical can travel from swimming water into a swimmer's body: ingestion, inhalation and dermal contact. The equations establishing the relationship between the water concentration and the dose a person receives require human-specific parameters as well as chemical-specific parameters. The following two tables show the values that were used in the exposure equations.

Table A.I-2. Parameters to Calculate Exposure from Swimming

Human Parameters			Different Swimmer Groups				
			Child 7 to 10	Child 11 to 14	Adult male	Adult female	Adult both sexes
Name	Symbol	units					
Ingestion Rate ¹	IR _{ingest}	l/hr	0.05	0.05	0.025	0.025	0.025
Inhalation Rate ²	IR _{inhale}	m³/hr	1	1	1	1	1
Exposure Time	ET	hr	5^3	3 ^₄	5 ⁵	5⁵	5 ⁵
Body Weight	BW	kg	30.25 ⁶	48.26 ⁷	78.17 ⁸	65.47 ⁸	71.87 ⁹
Body Surface Area	SA	m²	1.041 ¹⁰	1.422 ¹¹	1.943 ¹²	1.693 ¹²	1.842 ⁹

ACC, 2002 - based on EPA pilot study

² [131] Table 5-23: short-term exposures, light activity.

³ [131] Table 15-119: 90th percentile value for time spent in an outdoor pool for age 5-11 yrs.

⁴ [131] Table 15-119: 90th percentile value for time spent in an outdoor pool for age 12-17 yrs.

⁵ [131] Table 15-119: 90th percentile for time spent in an outdoor pool for males or females aged 18-75.

 6 [131] Table 7-3: Average of 7, 8, 9, 10 year old girls & boys.

⁷ [131] Table 7-3: Average of 11,12,13,14 year old girls & boys.

⁸ [131] Table 7-2: Average of for males or females aged 18-75.

⁹ Mean of adult males and females

¹⁰ [131] Tables 6-6 & 6-7: Average of male & female medians for ages 7<8, 8<9, 9<10, & 10<11 ¹¹ [131] Tables 6-6 & 6-7: Average of male & female medians for ages 11<12, 12<13, 13<14, & 14<15

¹² [131] Table 6-4: The 50th percentile value for males or females aged 18-75.

Table A.I-3. Chemical Parameters to Calculate Exposure from Swimming

Chemical Parameters			Anatoxin	Cylindrospermopsin	Microcystin
Constant Name	Symbol	Units			
Skin Permeability ¹	Kp	cm/hr	1.08 x 10 ⁻²	NA ³	NA
Henry's Law ²	H'	µg/m³/mg/L	3.58 x 10 ⁻²	NA	NA

This is an estimate of the rate at which a chemical will penetrate skin.

2 Henry's law constants are typically shown as pressure/water concentration. Using the universal gas constant pressure was converted to air concentration (ug/m³ air)

³ Not applicable (See the subsection *Volatility and Skin Permeability of Cyanotoxins,* below)

The skin permeability constant and the Henry's law constant were used to predict the amount of anatoxin that penetrated the skin and concentration in the inhaled air respectively. There is no evidence that either cylindrospermopsin or microcystins leave water for air or penetrate the skin. This is expected because they are large zwitterions (discussed in detail in the subsection Volatility and Skin Permeability of Cyanotoxins, below). Therefore, the chemical constants were not needed for those two chemicals.

The following table shows the doses (in mg/kg-event) of chemical each group of swimmers would receive if the water contained 1 mg/liter of each of the three chemicals. It also shows that children between the ages of 7 and 10 have the highest exposure to all three chemicals. Therefore, a water concentration that protects this group of swimmers will protect older children and adults.

Table A.I-4. Exposure from Swimming Based on Age

	Exposure	Child, 7 to 10	Child 11 to 14	Adult male	Adult female	Adult both sexes
Chemical	Route			mg/kg-event		
Microcystin	Ingestion	8.26 x 10 ⁻³	3.11 x 10 ⁻³	1.60 x 10 ⁻³	1.91 x 10 ⁻³	1.74 x 10 ⁻³
Cylindrospermopsin	Ingestion	8.26 x 10 ⁻³	3.11 x 10 ⁻³	1.60 x 10 ⁻³	1.91 x 10 ⁻³	1.74 x 10 ⁻³
	Ingestion	8.26 x 10 ⁻³	3.11 x 10 ⁻³	1.60 x 10 ⁻³	1.91 x 10 ⁻³	1.74 x 10 ⁻³
Anotovin	Inhalation	5.92 x 10 ⁻⁶	2.23 x 10 ⁻⁶	2.29 x 10 ⁻⁶	2.73 x 10 ⁻⁶	2.49 x 10 ⁻⁶
Anatoxin	Dermal	1.86 x 10 ⁻²	9.55 x 10 ⁻³	1.34 x 10 ⁻²	1.40 x 10 ⁻²	1.38 x 10 ⁻²
	Total	2.69 x 10 ⁻²	1.27 x 10 ⁻²	1.50 x 10 ⁻²	1.59 x 10 ⁻²	1.56 x 10 ⁻²

Exposure Parameters for the Swimmer and the Relationship between Water Concentration and the Dose to Swimmers

Since a child between the ages of 7 and 10 is more exposed than older children or adults, the exposure parameters for 7-to-10-year-old children were used to calculate the values

SJC-059

in the table below, which shows the ratios of the concentration of cyanotoxins in water to the swimmers' potential daily dose. These ratios have units of milligrams chemical per kilogram of body weight per milligram of chemical per liter of water. The ingestion ratios for anatoxin-a were computed using equations A.I-1, A.I-2, and A.I-3 for ingestion, dermal absorption, and inhalation, respectively, assuming that a 30.2 kg child swimming for 5 hours a day ingests 50 milliliters of water per hour and breathes one cubic meter of air per hour. For microcystins and cylindrospermopsin only equation A.I-1 was used because these chemicals are not volatile and do not penetrate the skin to any significant degree (see below). Each value in Table A.I-5 represents swimming water concentration of the chemical (set to 1 mg/L) divided by swimmer dose (mg/kg-event) shown in Table A.I-4.

Table A.I-5.	Ratios of Swimming Water Concentration over Swimmer Do	se
(mg/L)/(mg/	/kg)	

Chemical	Ingestion	Inhalation	Dermal	Total ^a
Microcystins	1.21 x 10 ²	None	None	1.21 x 10 ²
Cylindrospermopsin	1.21 x 10 ²	None	None	1.21 x 10 ²
Anatoxin-a	1.21 x 10 ²	1.69 x 10⁵	5.38 x 10 ¹	3.72 x 10 ¹

^aTotal = $\frac{1}{\text{Ingestion} + \text{Inhalation} + \text{Dermal}}$

eq. A.I-5

Health-Based Water Concentrations

The Concentration/Dose Ratios for each of the chemicals were multiplied by the corresponding RfD (in Section III) to estimate an action level, a water concentration that would theoretically expose the child swimmer to the dose identified as the maximum dose to which a person may be exposed with little to no risk of harm. The action levels are shown as micrograms (μ g) per liter. A microgram is 1/1000 (0.001) of a milligram.

Table A.I-6.	Cyanotoxin	Action Levels	for the	Swimming	Scenario
--------------	------------	----------------------	---------	----------	----------

Chemical	RfD ^a	Concentration/Dose Ratio ^b	Action Level ^c
Units	mg/kg-d	(mg/l) per (mg/kg-d)	μg/L
Microcystins	6.4 x 10 ⁻⁶	1.21 x 10 ²	0.8
Cylindrospermopsin	3.3 x 10 ⁻⁵	1.21 x 10 ²	4
Anatoxin-a	2.5 x 10 ⁻³	3.72 x 10 ¹	90

^a The Reference Dose is the maximum dose to which a person should be exposed. The derivation is shown in the body of this document.

^b These ratios are taken from the table above

^c The action level is the product of the RfD and the Concentration Dose Ratio

Volatility and Skin Permeability of Cyanotoxins

Microcystin molecules are very large relative to volatile chemicals and the carboxylic acids are negatively charged at the pH of normal surface waters. Due to their size and charge, there is little likelihood of these molecules vaporizing into the air from water. Therefore, the inhalation pathway was eliminated.

No studies of dermal absorption of microcystins could be found. However, the antibiotics cyclosporin and bacitracin are large cyclic peptides with a chemical structure similar to microcystins. There have been several attempts to formulate these antibiotics with carriers to help them penetrate skin and all have failed [132-136]. Some authors have suggested that high molecular weight chemicals like microcystins cannot penetrate the skin [137]. Furthermore, chemicals that dissolve easily in water or are charged tend not to penetrate the skin. Like microcystins, these antibiotics are relatively water soluble. Therefore, the dermal exposure route was not assessed for microcystins.

At a molecular weight of 415, cylindrospermopsin is a relatively large molecule. It is also a zwitterion given both the negative charge (associated with the sulfoxy group) and the positive charge (associated with the resonance stabilized guanidine carbon). Large molecules, especially zwitterions, do not volatilize into the air out of water. No information on dermal absorption could be obtained. But due to its large size and charged nature, like microcystins, it was assumed not to penetrate the dermis. Therefore, the inhalation and dermal pathway were eliminated.

Anatoxin-a differs from the microcystins and cylindrospermopsin. It is not as large a molecule as the other cyanotoxins. Therefore, it was assumed that it could both volatilize and be absorbed through the skin. The amount of anatoxin-a above water is described by the Henry's law constant (H') for anatoxin-a. The amount of anatoxin that penetrates the skin from the water is described by the skin permeability (K_p) of anatoxin-a. Finding H' and K_p for anatoxin-a is complicated by two factors. First, these parameters are actually measured for very few chemicals and therefore are usually estimated using equations or surrogate chemicals. Second, anatoxin-a has an ionizable nitrogen and can therefore exist in a charged and uncharged form.

The Henry's law constant can be estimated as the vapor pressure divided by the water solubility of a chemical [138]. Unfortunately, neither a solubility nor a vapor pressure could be found for anatoxin-a. However, cocaine has a very similar structure to anatoxin-a, although it is a somewhat larger molecule (see comparison below). Both anatoxin-a and cocaine exist in free base and ionized forms.

SJC-059

May 2012



Structure of cocaine

Table A.I-7.	Both a solubility and a vapor pressure have been reported for both the
	free base and the ionized form of cocaine.

	Cocaine Ionized	Cocaine Free Base
Vapor Pressure ¹ (torr)	1.40 x 10 ⁻⁸	2.96 x 10 ⁻⁷
Solubility ² (gm/liter)	2.00 x 10 ³	1.7
Henry's Law ³ torr/(gm/liter water)	7.00 x 10 ⁻¹²	1.74 x 10 ⁻⁷
Henry's Law ⁴ (μ g/m ³ air)/(mg/liter water)	1.44 x 10 ⁻⁹	3.58 x 10 ⁻²

Dindal et al. 2000

² InChem 2007

³ Ratio of vapor pressure/solubility (Lyman et al. 1990)

⁴ Torr converted µg/m³ using Universal Gas Constant assuming 20 C

As expected, the ionized form of cocaine is much less volatile and more water soluble than the free base. At equal concentrations in water there would be more than 20,000 times as much free base as ionized cocaine in the air. The ratio of ionized to free base in water depends on pH. For this exposure estimate the assumption made was that all of the anatoxin-a is in the form of the free base with a Henry's Law Constant equal to that of the cocaine free base. This greatly overestimates the concentration in air, but is health-protective.

A skin permeability constant (K_p) could not be found for anatoxin-a. Nor could a K_p be found for a structurally similar chemical. Therefore, the following equation was used to estimate K_p [139].

 $K_{p} = 0.0019 \times K_{ow}^{0.71} \times 10^{(-0.0061 \times MW)}$

eq. A.I-6

This equation requires an octanol-water partition coefficient (K_{ow}) and a molecular weight (MW). The molecular weight of anatoxin-a is 165.2 grams/mole. No K_{ow} could be found for anatoxin-a, but Berfield et al.[140] reported a K_{ow} of 303 for the free base of cocaine. A K_p of 0.01079 cm/hour was calculated using the above equation.

Appendix II: Computation of Action Levels in Sport Fish and Shellfish

Exposure Scenarios Considered

In California, the general fishing population is estimated to consume about 30.5 grams of sport fish and shellfish per day (weighted average of the Santa Monica Bay Seafood Consumption Study; [125], [126]. This consumption rate is equivalent to an uncooked 7.5-ounce fillet each week, which is slightly smaller than the 8-ounce meal size typically used in risk assessments [127]. In order to simplify the action level calculated here, the consumption rate was adjusted to 32 g/day (8 oz/week; uncooked) to reflect a standard meal size. The exposure scenario for children is also one meal per week, however the meal sizes are assumed to be proportionally smaller.

Dose from Sport Fish and Shellfish Consumption

Chemicals in the ingested food can be absorbed into the blood from the stomach and intestines. For our purpose, we assume that all ingested cyanotoxin is absorbed from the intestines. The absorbed dose is calculated using the following equation:

$$D_{\text{consume}} = \frac{C_{\text{F}} \times CR \times Abs}{BW} \qquad \qquad \text{eq. A.II-1}$$

where:

D _{consume}	= Dose from consumption of sport fish and shellfish (mg/kg/day),
CR	= Consumption rate (g/day),
Abs	= Fraction absorbed (assumed to be 100 percent),
C _F	= Chemical concentration in edible fish and shellfish tissues (mg/g),
BW	= Body weight of exposed individual (kg).

To determine the action level for each cyanotoxin in fish and shellfish, the equation above was rearranged to solve for the concentration in fish and shellfish (C_F) where the dose from consumption ($D_{consume}$) is equal to the RfD, the consumption rate (CR) is 32 g/day (which is equal to one 8 ounce uncooked fillet each week) and the body weight (BW) is 70 kg (typical of an adult).

$$C_F = \frac{RfD \times BW}{CR \times Abs}$$
 eq. A.II-2

where:

C _F	= Chemical concentration in edible fish and shellfish tissues (mg/g),
RfD	= (mg/kg-day),
Abs	= Fraction absorbed (assumed to be 100 percent),
CR	= Consumption rate (32 g/day),
BW	= Body weight of exposed individual (70 kg).

Chemical	RfD	C_{F}^{1}	Action Level ^{2,3}
Units	mg/kg-d	mg/g tissue	ng/g tissue
Microcystins	6.4 x 10 ⁻⁶	1.4 x 10 ⁻⁵	10
Cylindrospermopsin	3.3 x 10⁻⁵	7.2 x 10 ⁻⁵	70
Anatoxin-a	2.5 x 10 ⁻³	5.5 x 10 ⁻³	5000

Table A.II-1. Action level based on the consumption of one fish meal per week

¹ Chemical concentration in edible fish and shellfish tissues, calculated as shown above in eq. A.II-2. ² Converted from C_F (mg/g) by multiplying by 1 x 10⁶ ng/mg

³ Based on consumption rate of 32 g/day (one 8 oz. uncooked fillet per week) and body weight of 70kg.

The action levels are based on the adult exposure level because this is, by far, the most comprehensive data set. Adult meals are assumed to be an 8-ounce fillet (uncooked; [127]). Children's meals are assumed to be proportionally smaller to their body size.

Appendix III: Computation of Microcystin Concentrations in Lyophilized *Microcystis Aeruginosa* Cells used by Jackson et al., 1984.

For the purpose of this risk assessment in domestic animals, the microcystin (toxin) dosages in *Microcystis aeruginosa* (cyanobacteria) dosages used by Jackson et al. [93] were estimated using data from this and other studies. Jackson et al. [93] reported the mouse i.p. LD₁₀₀ of the lyophilized cyanobacteria, *M. aeruginosa*, as 19 mg/kg body weight (bw). In a related study, Ellman et al. [141] found that *M. aeruginosa* cyanobacteria from a similar location, during a previous year, showed a mouse i.p. LD₁₀₀ of 15 – 30 mg cyanobacteria/kg bw, which generally coincides with the finding by Jackson et al. [93]. Ellman et al. [141] also showed that the microcystin-LR toxin purified from the same *M. aeruginosa* cyanobacteria had a mouse i.p. LD_{50} and LD_{100} of 56 and 70 μ g toxin/kg bw, respectively. A typical mouse i.p. LD₅₀ value for microcystin-LR is 55 µg/kg [2], which is very close to that of the microcystin-LR tested in Ellman et al. [141]. Additionally, the mouse i.p. LD₁₀₀ of that microcystin was a 25 percent increase over the i.p. LD₅₀, which agrees with the findings of Lovell et al, [101] for microcystin-LR in mice. The above indicates that the *M. aeruginosa* used by Jackson et al. [93] had similar toxicity to the *M. aeruginosa* used by Ellman et al. [141], which was likely due to microcystin-LR based on the mouse i.p. LD₅₀ and LD₁₀₀ of the purified toxin in the latter study. Therefore, we estimated the microcystin dose levels used by Jackson et al. [93] by equating the measured mouse i.p. LD₁₀₀ of 19 mg lyophilized *M. aeruginosa* /kg bw from Jackson et al. to an estimated mouse i.p. LD₁₀₀ of 69 µg microcystin-LR/kg bw, which is a 25 percent increase over the typical mouse i.p. LD₅₀ for microcystin-LR (and nearly identical to the mouse i.p. LD₁₀₀ of 70 µg microcystin-LR/kg bw found by Ellman et al. in purified microcystin-LR from similar cyanobacterial material to that used by Jackson et al.. The oral lethal NOAEL of 1010 mg lyophilized *M. aeruginosa*/kg bw in sheep is converted to 3.7 mg microcystin-LR/kg bw as shown below.

Set mouse i.p. LD_{100} for microcystin-LR equal to the mouse i.p. LD_{100} for lyophilized *M*. aeruginosa and solve for the amount of microcystin in 1 mg the same lyophilized *M*. aeruginosa:

$$MC = \frac{PMC}{LMC} \times NOAEL = \frac{0.069 \text{ mg/kg bw}}{19 \text{ mg/kg bw}} \times 1010 \text{ mg/kg bw} = 3.7 \text{ mg/kg bw} \text{ eq. A.III-1}$$

where,

MC = Estimated oral lethal NOAEL of microcystin-LR in sheep, in mg/kg bw = Mouse i.p. LD_{100} for purified microcystin-LR, in mg/kg bw

LMC = Mouse i.p. LD₁₀₀ for lyophilized *M. aeruginosa*, in mg/kg bw

NOAEL = Sheep oral lethal NOAEL of lyophilized *M. aeruginosa*, in mg/kg bw

SJC-059

May 2012

Appendix IV: Computation of Water Intake by Cattle and Related Action Levels

In general, the total daily water intake (TWI) in cattle is the sum of free water intake (FWI, from drinking) and water ingested from food [128]. Exposure in dairy cows is considered separately due to the dramatic increase in daily water requirements during lactation.

Dairy Cattle

Both large- (e.g., Holstein) and small- (e.g., Jersey) breed dairy cows in early- and mid- lactation are considered in this assessment. The FWI of dairy cows at mild to high ambient temperatures was estimated using recommended prediction formulas and dietary parameters from the National Research Council (NRC) [128]. Specifically, the FWI was predicted using the following empirical equation by Murphy et al. [142] as reported by [128]):

 $FWI = 15.99 + 1.58 \times DMI + 0.90 \times FCM + 0.05 \times Na_{intake} + 1.20 \times Temp_{min.} \qquad eq. \ A.IV-1$

where,

FWI = Free water intake, i.e., drinking (kg/d),

DMI = Dry matter intake (kg/d),

FCM = 4 percent Fat corrected milk production (kg/d),

Na_{intake} = Sodium ingested through diet (g/day), and

Temp_{min} = Minimum ambient temperature ($^{\circ}$ C).

Dry matter intake (DMI) and fat corrected milk production (FCM) values for dairy cattle at neutral temperatures (5 - 20 $^{\circ}$ C) were taken from the nutrient requirement tables in NRC [128]. For higher temperatures, the DMI and FCM values were adjusted as follows.

$$DMI_{hightemp} = DMI \times (1 - ((^{\circ}C - 20) \times 0.005922))$$
 ([143] as reported in [128]). eq. A.IV-2

and

$$\mathsf{FCM}_{\mathsf{high temp}} = \left(\frac{\mathsf{DMI}_{\mathsf{high temp}}}{1 - e^{(-0.192 \times (\mathsf{WOL} + 3.67))}}\right) - \left(\frac{0.0968 \times \mathsf{BW}^{0.75}}{0.372}\right), \qquad \qquad \mathsf{eq. A.IV-3}$$

rewritten from DMI equation [128],

where,

FCM = 4 percent Fat corrected milk production (kg/d),

DMI = Dry matter intake (kg/d),

WOL = Week of lactation, and

BW = Body weight (kg).

Sodium intake (Na $_{intake}$) was based on NRC recommendations for lactating cows as follows:

Ambient Temperature (°C)	Na requirement (g /kg bw/day)
5 – 20	0.038
25 – 30	0.039
> 30	0.043

Minimum ambient temperature (Temp_{min}) values were chosen to represent warm summer months, when cyanobacteria blooms are most common.

Table A.IV-1. Estimates of free water intake (FWI) for large and small breed dairy cows.

Live Weight (kg bw) ¹	Lactation ²	Ambient Temp ℃	DMI (kg/day) ³	FCM (kg/day) ⁴	FWI (L/day) ^{5, 6}
454	Early	20	9.4	15	69
454	Early	27	9.0	11	73
454	Early	35	8.6	9	81
454	Mid	20	19.5	30	99
454	Mid	27	18.7	27	103
454	Mid	35	17.8	24	109
680	Early	20	15.1	30	92
680	Early	27	14.5	24	94
680	Early	35	13.8	21	100
680	Mid	20	28.1	45	126
680	Mid	27	26.9	41	129
680	Mid	35	25.6	37	133

¹ Large and small breed dairy cows represented by 680 and 454 kg body weight (bw), respectively.

² Early- and mid-lactation estimated at 11 and 90 days of lactation, respectively.

³ Dry matter intake (DMI).

⁴ Fat corrected milk production (4%) (FCM).

⁵ Free water intake (FWI).

⁶ Sodium intake based on NRC recommendations for lactating cows:

Lactation status and ambient temperature appear to be the strongest factors controlling FWI in cattle during warmer periods. An ambient temperature of 27 °C was found to be most representative of dairy farms in California. The average reported maximum temperatures for California counties with dairy operations during 1970 – 2000 was 28.7 °C (north) and 30.7 °C (south) for dairy farms [144]. Small breed dairy cows

SJC-059

were chosen to represent all dairy cows because their exposure to water is greater. The mid-lactation period was chosen to represent dairy cows since water intake is greatest during that period. The FWI associated with small breed dairy cows in mid-lactation at 27 °C is 103 L/day. Dividing this water intake by the average weight of small breed dairy cows, 454 kg, the estimated water intake rate is 0.23 L/kg-d.

Beef Cattle

The TWI values for mature beef cattle (~635 kg) at mild to high ambient temperatures were taken from nutrient requirement tables in NRC [129]. The FWI of cattle receiving dry diets is generally 83 percent of their TWI [128]. Estimated FWI values are shown in Table A.IV-2.

	Table A.IV-2.	Estimated free	water intake	(FWI) by m	ature beef	cattle on a	dry diet
--	---------------	-----------------------	--------------	------------	------------	-------------	----------

Live Weight (kg bw)	Ambient Temperature (℃)	TWI (L/kg-day) ¹	FWI (L/kg-day) ²
635	21	0.07	0.06
635	27	0.08	0.07
635	32	0.11	0.09

Total Water Intake (TWI) for mature beef cattle (635 kg) at varying ambient temperatures was taken from the nutrient requirement tables in NRC [129].

² Free water intake (FWI). Estimated as 83 percent of TWI [128]. It is assumed that the entire amount of FWI may originate from a contaminated source.

An ambient temperature of 27 °C was chosen to represent typical cattle ranches in California. Average reported maximum temperatures for California counties with beef cattle operations during 1970 – 2000 was 28.6 °C [144]. The estimated water intake rate for beef cattle is 0.07 L/kg-d.

Effect of Diet and Water Access

The drinking rates (FWI) described above pertain to cattle fed dry diets that are typical of NRC recommendations [128, 129]. In such cases, the entire amount of FWI may originate from a contaminated source. A separate scenario is considered for pasture grazing cattle. Higher moisture content in food leads to decreased FWI [128]. The FWI of pasture grazing cattle is estimated as 38 percent of TWI [128]. To estimate the FWI for a pasture scenario, TWI values for mature beef cattle (~635 kg) at ambient temperature of 27 $^{\circ}$ C were taken from NRC nutrient requirement tables [129]. Because the TWI values of dairy cows were not available in NRC reference tables, they were estimated using data shown in Table A.IV-1 for dairy cows in mid-lactation at ambient temperature of 27 $^{\circ}$ C. In general, the total daily water intake (TWI) in cattle is the sum of free water intake (FWI, from drinking) and dietary water intake (DWI, from eating) [128]. DWI can be estimated based on the dry matter intake (DMI) and a dietary moisture level of 30

percent, which represents most dry diets [128]. DWI was estimated for dairy cows as follows.

DWI = Total Diet × % Moistureeq. A.IV-4andTotal Diet =
$$\left(\frac{DMI}{(1 - \% \text{ Moisture})}\right)$$
eq. A.IV-5

then

$$DWI = \left(\frac{DMI \times \% \text{ Moisture}}{(1 - \% \text{ Moisture})}\right) eq. A.IV-6$$

where,

DWI = Dietary water intake, or water ingested by eating (kg/d),

Total Diet = Sum of dry matter and water in diet (kg), and

DMI = Dry Matter Intake (kg/day)

% Moisture = Percentage of water in diet (%).

TWI is then estimated for dairy cows as follows.

TWI=FWI+DWI	eq. A.IV-7
where,	
FWI = Free water intake, or water ingested by drinking (kg/d),	
TWI = Total water intake, or water ingested by drinking and eating (k	g/d), and

DWI = Dietary water intake, or water ingested by eating (kg/d).

This scenario also assumes that the pasture-fed cattle mainly drink from the natural or impounded water body. The estimated FWI values for pasture-fed cattle are shown in Table A.IV-3.

Table A.IV-3. Estimates of free water intake (FWI) for pasture-fed beef and dairy cattle

Livestock Category ¹	TWI (L/kg-day) ²	Fraction of TWI as FWI	FWI (L/kg-day) ³
Dairy, small breed	0.24	0.38	0.09
Dairy, large breed	0.21	0.38	0.08
Beef, mature	0.08	0.38	0.03

¹ Large and small breed dairy cows represented by 680 and 454 kg body weight (bw), respectively. Values represent mid-lactation. Mature beef cattle based on 635 kg bw. All values reflect ambient temperature of 27 °C.

² Total Water Intake (TWI) for beef cattle was taken from the nutrient requirement tables in NRC [129]. TWI for dairy cattle was estimated as described above.

³ Free water intake (FWI) for pasture-fed cattle. Estimated as 38 percent of TWI [128].

An additional exposure scenario is needed for cattle that have short-term access to natural or impounded water bodies. Cattle reportedly drink an average of 7 - 14 times a day, with ingestion rates of 4 - 15 L/min [128]. By assuming that drinking frequency is ten drinks per day and that up to three occurrences take place during short-term access to natural or impounded waters, an estimated 30 percent of FWI may originate from a contaminated water source. The estimated water intake values for cattle having short-term access to contaminated water are shown in Table A.IV-4.

Table A.IV-4. Estimated intake rates of contaminated water in cattle with short term access to contaminated water.

Livestock Category ¹	FWI (L/kg-day) ²	Intake of Contaminated Water (L/kg-day) ³
Dairy, small breed	0.23	0.07
Dairy, large breed	0.19	0.06
Beef, mature	0.07	0.02

¹ Large and small breed dairy cows represented by 680 and 454 kg body weight (bw), respectively. Mature beef cattle based on 635 kg bw.

² Free water intake (FWI) from Tables A.IV-1 and A.IV-2. Values represent an ambient temperature of 27
 ^oC and, for dairy cows, mid-lactation.

³ Estimated as 30 percent of FWI as described above.

Summary of Exposure to Cattle through Drinking

Below are the estimated intake rates of natural or impounded waters for dairy and beef cattle that are 1) mainly fed dry diets, 2) mainly pasture-fed and 3) have only short-term access to natural or impounded waters. The FWI intake values shown below correspond to an ambient temperature of 27 °C. Values for dairy cows reflect mid-lactation status.

Action levels were calculated for small breed dairy cows and beef cattle that are mainly fed dry diets. The intake rates shown below for dairy cows and beef cattle that are mainly pasture-fed or that only have short-term access to natural or impounded waters could be used to calculate action levels for those scenarios.

eq. A.IV-8

Livestock Category ¹	Livestock Scenario ²	Water intake from contaminated source (L/kg-d)			
	Dry diet	0.23			
Dairy, small breed	Pasture-fed	0.09			
	Short-term	0.07			
	Dry diet	0.19			
Dairy, large breed	Pasture-fed	0.08			
	Short-term	0.06			
	Dry diet	0.07			
Beef, mature	Pasture-fed	0.03			
	Short-term	0.02			

Table A.IV-5. Summary of exposure to cattle through drinking.

¹ Large and small breed dairy cows represented by 680 and 454 kg body weight (bw), respectively. Values represent mid-lactation. Mature beef cattle based on 635 kg bw. All values reflect ambient temperature of 27 °C.

² Assumes that the cattle mainly drink from the natural or impounded water body. Livestock scenarios are described in the text above.

Action Levels

The action levels were calculated to identify the concentration of cyanotoxins in water that pose very low or no risk of acute or subchronic toxicity to dairy cows or cattle. Action levels are calculated as follows:

$$C_{tot} = \frac{RfD \times BW}{IR \times UF}$$

where,

 C_{tot} = Concentration of cyanotoxin in total water (µg/L),

RfD = Risk reference dose for domestic animals (μ g/kg-day),

BW = Body weight (kg), and

IR = Water intake from affected water body (L/day), based on cattle fed dry diet.

UF = Uncertainty factor (unitless), applied to the calculated water ingestion rates to account for preferential consumption of cyanobacteria-filled water.

Final Draft May 2012

Appendix V: Ingestion of Cyanobacterial Crusts and Mats by Cattle

The amount of crusts and mats a cow would choose to eat cannot be predicted. Therefore, the extent of this exposure was estimated by utilizing some basic observations in livestock. The average cow is reported to consume up to 20 spontaneous meals each day [145]. The length of each spontaneous meal is approximately 5 minutes and consists of about 600 g. This exposure assessment is based on the assumption that a cow will eat two spontaneous meals a day of crusts and mats, i.e. 1.2 kg. Therefore, cattle risk action levels for the concentration of cyanotoxin in cyanobacterial cells (C_{cell}) present as crust or mats can be estimated as follows:

$$C_{cell} = \frac{RfD \times BW}{IR_{crust} \times UF}$$
eq. A.V-1

where,

 C_{cell} = Cyanotoxin concentration in cyanobacteria cells (mg toxin/kg cells dry weight) RfD_{cattle} = Risk reference dose for cattle (mg/kg/day)

BW = Body weight of cattle (kg)

IR_{crust} = Ingestion rate of cyanobacterial crust or mats (kg dry weight/day)

UF = Uncertainty factor (unitless), applied to the calculated water ingestion rates to account for preferential consumption of cyanobacterial crusts or mats.

The ingestion rate of cyanobacterial crust or mats for dairy cows and beef cattle is dependent on the animals' estimated weight. For dairy cows, 1.2 kg of crusts or mats is divided by the average body weight of 454 kg to estimate an intake rate of 0.0026 kg crusts or mats per kg body weight per day (kg/kg-d). For beef cattle, 1.2 kg of crusts or mats is divided by the average body weight of 635 kg to estimate an intake rate of intake rate of 0.0019 kg crusts or mats per kg body weight per day (kg/kg-d).

May 2012

Appendix VI: Canine Exposure to Cyanotoxins in Water

Dogs may be exposed to cyanotoxins, by drinking from natural or impounded water bodies. To quantify this possible exposure, the amount of water lost by a dog exercising in a warm environment was estimated. Dogs running on a treadmill at 7 – 10 km/hr lost 2 – 7 ml water/kg body weight (bw)-hour [as reported in 130, 146]. In elevated temperatures, dogs lose approximately 6 ml/kg bw-day for every degree C above 30 °C ([147] as reported in [130]). This would result in an additional 48 ml/kg bw-day at 38° C, equivalent to 2 ml/kg bw-hr. When added to the baseline water loss, this would yield a total water loss of 4-9 ml/kg bw-hr. Thus, a 20 kg dog would require approximately 80 -180 mL of water per hour during exercise at 38 °C. Although actual water intake may differ based on activity and dehydration levels, as well as other factors, an assumption was made that an exercising 20 kg dog's drinking water intake will be 180 mL of water per hour. The potential hourly exposure by drinking was rounded to 0.2 L, or 0.01 L/kg-hr.

As dogs swim or play in contaminated waters, their coats become saturated with algal cells that may later be consumed during grooming. Dogs often shake off much of the water in their coats, but the algal cells can be filtered by the hair and left behind. To estimate the amount of cyanotoxin that may be contained in the coat of a dog, an assumption is made that the amount of toxin remaining on a dog's coat is equal to that contained within a 2 mm layer of water covering the body surface of the dog. The average body surface area of a 20 kg dog is 0.74 m^2 [148]. Thus, an upper estimate of the volume of water that is representative of the amount of cyanotoxin retained within the coat is calculated to be $0.74 \text{ m}^2 \times 0.002 \text{ m} = 0.00148 \text{ m}^3$ or 1.48 L, rounded to 1.5 L. On a body weight basis, the exposure is calculated as $1.5 \text{ L} \div 20 \text{ kg} = 0.075 \text{ L/kg}$. Based on these estimates, the assumed daily exposure to cyanotoxin due to drinking and grooming is equal to the amount of toxin contained in 0.085 L water/kg bw. This daily exposure represents the total from one coat cleaning plus the replacement water for one hour of exercise.

May 2012

Appendix VII: Ecotoxicology of Microcystins, Anatoxin-a and Cylindrospermopsin

Introduction

The ecotoxicology of cyanobacterial blooms is a complex and evolving subject. The fact that toxic impacts are merely a subset of the greater ecological disturbances caused by these blooms further complicates this subject. Hundreds of studies on various aspects of cyanobacterial blooms and their toxins (cyanotoxins) are published in the scientific literature. The purpose of this report is to identify key factors in the current understanding of commonly observed cyanotoxins that may assist government scientists and regulators in the protection of aquatic animals. Three of the most commonly observed cyanotoxins are addressed: microcystins, anatoxin-a, and cylindrospermopsin. Readers should be aware that the information presented in this appendix is based on a review of the literature published through 2008. In the meantime, more literature on cyanotoxins has been published. In general, literature published after 2008 was not integrated into this document. However one pertinent recent study that was highlighted by a peer reviewer was added to this appendix.

Cyanobacterial blooms occur worldwide in fresh and salt waters [2, 149]. Such blooms can change community structure and food web dynamics through a myriad of potential pathways including, for example, changes to essential habitat parameters (shading, decreased dissolved oxygen and pH), decreased flow of carbon into food webs and toxic effects on aquatic life [reviewed by 150, 151].

Blooms are often recognized by the presence of thick blue-green surface scums. However, some species of cyanobacteria, such as *Cylindrospermopsis* spp., proliferate into low-biomass, but toxic, blooms. Benthic proliferations typically appear as dense mats on the sediment and submerged rocks [24, 43]. Blooms can last from months to yearround depending on local conditions [reviewed in 2].

The occurrences of cyanobacterial blooms appear to be increasing [reviewed by 152]. The geospatial range of several species has also increased in recent decades [153]. Possible explanations are increased nutrient loading due to human activities [154], increasing global temperatures [155] and increased monitoring and reporting [149].

Paerl et al. [150] provide an in-depth review of the causes of cyanobacterial blooms. In general, factors supporting bloom formation include high turbidity, warmer temperatures, increased nutrients and water residence time (stagnation). However, toxic blooms also occur in cold and oligotrophic (nutrient poor) waters [e.g., 43]. A large body of literature exists on the biology and occurrences of cyanobacterial blooms. Excellent reviews of this subject are provided by WHO [2], Paerl et al. [150] and Sinclair et al. [149].

Toxic impacts on aquatic organisms

Toxic blooms of cyanobacteria pose a significant threat to organisms associated with aquatic ecosystems. Cyanotoxins in bloom material commonly reach highly toxic

concentrations [reviewed in 2]. Animals are exposed to cyanotoxins by directly ingesting cyanobacterial cells or consuming other organisms that have recently ingested cyanobacteria. Cyanotoxins that have been released from cyanobacteria into the surrounding water are also taken up by aquatic organisms, but to a lesser extent. Field and laboratory studies show that aquatic organisms can accumulate high levels of cyanotoxins in their tissues. The effects of these toxins have mainly been demonstrated through laboratory experiments, although some field experiments are described.

Several review papers address cyanotoxin aquatic toxicology. Wiegand and Pflugmacher [156] provide a succinct biochemical review of cyanotoxins with a focus on aquatic animals. Duy [157] provides a comprehensive review of the toxicology of cyanotoxins. Carmichael [158-160] has published several important reviews on this subject. A detailed review of toxic effects of microcystins in fish (and some amphibians) is provided by Malbrouck and Kestemont [161]. Ibelings and Havens [162] perform a qualitative meta-analysis of the exposures and effects of cyanotoxins in aquatic animals. Landsberg [33] published an extensive review of observed impacts on fish and wildlife (mostly lethal) coinciding with cyanobacterial blooms.

Some topics pertaining to the ecotoxicology of cyanobacteria are beyond the scope of this report. For example, many cyanobacterial species are capable of allelopathy, whereby toxins are released that damage other species of cyanobacteria [163], algae [164-166] and plants [167, 168]. Interaction between cyanobacteria and zooplankton communities is another large area of study and is only partially addressed here. More information on the impacts of cyanobacterial blooms on aquatic organisms and ecosystems can be found in Carmichael [169], WHO [2], Paerl et al. [150] and Havens [151].

Terminology

The terminology used in cyanotoxin literature can be confusing. In experiments, animals are exposed to cyanotoxins as whole cyanobacteria, extracts of cyanobacteria or pure toxins. Animals are exposed to cyanobacteria that is suspended in water, filtered from water (cells) or dried. Extracts and pure toxins are typically dissolved in water. Concentrations of cyanotoxin are reported as toxin in cells and water (total), cells only (intracellular) or water only (dissolved or extracellular). These terms are described below.

Table A.VII-1. Terminology

A. Common preparations of cyanotoxins used in experiments

Bloom or scum material	Fresh or freeze-dried cyanobacteria collected from a naturally occurring bloom.
Cultures	Cyanobacteria grown in an artificial setting. Some cultures contain a single strain of a cyanobacterial species.
Crude extracts	Broken cells (e.g., by sonication) that have been centrifuged to remove debris.
Cell-free extracts	Crude extracts that have been filtered to remove remaining cell fragments.
Extracts	Broken cells (e.g., by sonication) are extracted with solvent and filtered from cell fragments. Further clarification using solid phase extraction may also take place.
Purified toxin	A single toxin isolated from extracts, typically using high performance liquid chromatography. Purified toxin does not indicate degree of purity, which varies widely from different sources using different extraction methods and whether multiple methods for quality control have been used.
Pure toxin (reference standard, certified reference material)	Commercial-grade toxins. A commercial-grade toxin may be a reference standard or a certified reference material. Reference standards do not have a consistent degree of purity testing and usually only have had only one or two quality control methods applied – for example HPLC purity as compared against another reference material whose purity might be no more than 90 – 95%. Certified reference materials would have multiple quality control methods applied – for example HPLC, extinction coefficient, LC-MS or MS-MS, and even NMR. These certified reference materials would be used as the ultimate comparison for purity of an extract and should be 99% or better pure. For some applications reference materials are suitable but for others only certified reference material should be used.

Form	Description	Unit of Measurement
Dissolved	Toxin or extract is dissolved in water.	Microgram toxin per liter of water (µg/L).
Natural or cultured cyanobacteria (fresh)	Fresh cells of cyanobacteria.	Microgram toxin in cells per liter of filtered cells (µg/L).
Natural or cultured cyanobacteria (dried)	Freeze-dried cells of cyanobacteria.	Microgram toxin in cells per gram of dried cells (µg/g).
Suspensions of cyanobacteria (fresh or dried)	Cells of cyanobacteria suspended in water.	Microgram toxin (in cells and in water) per liter of the water and cell mixture (µg/L).

B. Common forms of cyanotoxins used in experiments

C. Measurements of cyanotoxins

Form	Description	Unit of Measurement
Dissolved	 Toxin concentration in water: extracellular toxin concentrations in natural waters (i.e., toxin released from cyanobacterial cells) pure toxin dissolved in water extracts dissolved in water 	Microgram toxin per liter of water (μg/L).
Intracellular	Toxin concentration in cyanobacterial cells.	Microgram toxin in cells per liter of wet cells (µg/L), or per gram of dry cells (µg/g).

Form	Description	Unit of Measurement
Total	Toxin concentration in cyanobacterial cells and in water. Used in measurements of experimental algal suspensions or of natural bloom waters.	Microgram toxin (in cells and in water) per liter of the water and cell mixture (µg/L).
Tissue	Toxin concentration in animal tissue.	Microgram toxin per gram of animal tissue (µg/g). The concentration is based on tissue wet weight (ww) or dry weight (dw).

D. Measurements of microcystin congeners and metabolites

Congener or metabolite	Description
MC-LR equivalents	The analysis was calibrated using a MC-LR standard.
Specific MC congeners	The analysis was calibrated using specified congener standards.
Free microcystins	The typical analyte in microcystin analyses. Microcystin that is not bound to a bioactive molecule such as protein phosphatase or glutathione. Measured in cyanobacteria, water and biological tissues. (Note, the terms dissolved or extracellular, and not free- MC, are used to distinguished microcystins that are 'free in the water' as opposed to contained inside cyanobacterial cells).
Covalently bound microcystins	Microcystin that is bound covalently to protein phosphatase. Measured only in biological tissues. Requires a special analysis that is not typically used.

Microcystins

Introduction

Aquatic organisms primarily take up microcystins through ingestion of food [162]. In general, these animals show greater impacts when exposed to crude extracts of cyanobacteria compared to purified microcystin [170]. This is due to the actions of other cyanobacterial compounds in crude extracts. Nevertheless, purified microcystin does exert significant toxicity in aquatic animals.

All aqueous microcystin concentrations reported here include the toxin within the cyanobacterial cells in addition to any dissolved toxin in the water (or *total* concentration) unless concentrations are specifically identified as *dissolved* (toxin dissolved in water, but not within cells).

Toxic Mechanism

The mechanism of toxic action by microcystins has been well described elsewhere [157]. In brief, the 3-amino-9-methoxy-2-6,8-trimethyl-10-phenyldeca-4,6-dienoic acid (Adda) moiety of microcystins enters the hydrophobic cleft of protein phosphatases 1 and 2A (PP1 and 2A), inhibiting the activity of these critical cellular enzymes. Inhibition of PP1 and 2A interferes with normal cellular function and leads to cell death. Microcystin can also lead to oxidative damage in cells [171, 172]. The liver is the main target organ in most animals.

Congeners

There are over 80 congeners of microcystin [173]. The general structure and nomenclature of microcystins are described in the main report (Section II). Unless a specific congener is listed, all microcystin (MC) concentrations are reported here as microcystin-LR equivalents (i.e., MC-LR was the only standard used in analysis). Most research has focused on microcystin-LR, which shows the greatest toxic potency when injected into mice [reviewed in 2]. However, aguatic organisms also accumulate other microcystin congeners [174-176]. The relative toxicity of microcystin congeners has been studied based on structure-function relationships [177], relative hydrophobicity [178] and zooplankton bioassays [179]. Recently, the combined use of protein phosphatase inhibition assays, mouse bioassays and molecular structure analysis have provided additional insight [180, 181]. Although microcystin-LR is regarded as the most toxic congener (based on mouse injection bioassays), it is important to realize that the relative toxicities of microcystin congeners are only beginning to be understood. For example, one type of microcystin-RR congener ([D-Asp(3),(E)-Dhb(7)]MC-RR) produced greater toxicity in zooplankton compared to microcystin-LR, -RR and -YR, which produced similar toxicities [179]. More work is needed in order to understand the relative toxicity of microcystin congeners to aquatic organisms.
Different microcystin congeners may also move through the food web differently. Not all microcystin congeners form covalent bonds with PP-1 and -2A. Congeners containing methyldehydroalanine (Mdha) bind PP-1 and -2A covalently, while those containing dehydrobutyirine (Dhb) do not [162, 182, 183]. Microcystin congeners that do not form covalent bonds are suspected to transfer through the food web more efficiently than covalently binding congeners, but this has not been tested [162, 184].

Detoxification

Glutathione (GSH) can conjugate microcystins with the aid of glutathione-stransferase [185, 186], which leads to reduced toxicity [185, 187] and excretion via bile [188]. Many aquatic organisms have been shown to utilize GSH in microcystin detoxification including brine shrimp [189], water fleas [186], mussels [174, 186, 190], crabs [191] and fish [186, 192]. Aquatic species differ in their capacity to detoxify microcystins through the GSH pathway [193].

Blooms

Cyanobacterial bloom material has been shown to contain microcystin concentrations up to 12,800 μ g/g dry weight (dw) [194] and 25,000 μ g/L wet volume [reviewed in 2] and toxin concentrations can vary significantly in time and space within a single bloom. Concentrations of dissolved (extracellular) microcystins are generally low during blooms since this toxin is mostly retained inside cyanobacterial cells. When a bloom collapses and cyanobacterial cells lyse, extracellular microcystin concentrations have increased to 1,800 μ g/L or higher [reviewed in 2]. Following the bloom, dried crusts of intact *Microcystis* cells that washed onto shore can retain the toxin for at least 6 months [4].

Zooplankton

Microcystin exposure in zooplankton can impact feeding rate [195-197], growth [198], respiration [178], heart rate [199, 200], and survival [198, 201]. The presence of zooplankton can signal some cyanobacteria to produce more toxin [202].

In a recent meta-analysis of 66 published laboratory studies, Wilson et al. [203] found no difference between toxic and non-toxic strains of cyanobacteria in their impacts on zooplankton population growth. Such impacts, which are often reported in the literature, could be caused by poor feeding ability on, or nutrition of, the cyanobacteria as well as toxicity of lesser known cyanobacterial compounds. However, the meta-analysis showed that, in the absence of alternative food, toxic strains of cyanobacteria did impact the survival of zooplankton compared to non-toxic strains. In the majority of experiments analyzed, microcystin was the toxin present.

It is clear that zooplankton are exposed to microcystins since various species of zooplankton collected from lakes with blooms have contained significant levels of the

toxin [198, 204-207]. The maximum average concentration of microcystins reported in zooplankton was 211 μ g MC/g dry weight (dw) from a lake containing 12 μ g MC/L (ca. 1200 μ g/g dw) [205]. Individual samples from the same lake contained up to ca. 1350 μ g MC/g dw [205, 207].

Key factors impacting zooplankton exposure to microcystin include the ability to avoid cyanobacteria through selective feeding (e.g., many copepods) [206, 207], cyanobacterial morphology (too big to be eaten, etc.) [203] presence of feeding inhibition responses (e.g., some *Daphnia* spp.) [208] and availability of alternative food sources [203]. Overall, zooplankton sensitivity to microcystin appears to be based on the above factors as well as species-specific sensitivities to the toxic action of microcystin [208].

Macroinvertebrates

Key factors impacting macroinvertebrate exposure to microcystins during toxic blooms are largely species-specific. Several crustaceans ingest cyanobacteria and assimilate microcystins in tissues, but not all of these are susceptible to microcystin toxicity. Bivalve species differ in both ingestion of cyanobacteria and sensitivity to microcystin. Snails may only digest a small portion of the cyanobacteria they consume, but are sensitive to microcystin. Like zooplankton, macroinvertebrates take up less microcystin when alternative food items are available. Regardless of sensitivities to toxicity, many macroinvertebrate species are likely to transfer microcystin to their predators.

Crustaceans

Microcystin toxicity has been demonstrated in crabs and benthic microcrustaceans. In an estuarine crab (*Chasmagnathus granulatus*), sublethal oral exposure of 5.3 μ g MC/kg/day for 7 days [209] or 11.3 μ g MC/kg/day for three days [210] resulted in oxidative damage (lipid peroxidation) to the hepatopancreas. Lower oral doses, 1.3 μ g/kg/day for 7 days, resulted in activation of oxidative defenses, but no oxidative damage [211]. Crabs orally exposed to 172 μ g/kg over three days accumulated 32 μ g/kg wet weight (ww) in hepatopancreas [210]. These studies administered *Microcystis* crude extract, in which microcystin had been quantified.

The estuarine microcrustacean, *Kalliapseudes schubartii*, increased oxygen consumption following 24-h of immersion in 244 μ g MC/L (*Microcystis* crude extracts) [212]. Increases in respiratory rates indicate an increased energy demand in response to the toxin, possibly due to detoxification. Longer exposure durations (i.e., 15 – 90 days) to such sublethal concentrations would further elucidate the potential impacts to individuals and populations of *K. schubartii*. The 96-hr LC₅₀ of microcystin (as *Microcystis* extracts) in *K. schubartii* was 1580 μ g MC/L. The 10-day LC₅₀ of microcystin (as dried *Microcystis* cells) in sediment was 1945 μ g MC/L.

Crayfish consume cyanobacteria but appear to be resistant to microcystin toxicity [213, 214]. Adult crayfish (*Procambarus clarkii*) fed *Microcystis* (2.3 µg MC/mg dried

SJC-059

algae) for 2 weeks accumulated up to 2.9 µg MC/g "dry crayfish weight" [214]. Larval and juvenile crayfish (*Procambarus clarkii*) exposed to toxic *Microcystis* did not show reduced growth, nutritional status or survival. In a similar study, crayfish (*Pacifastacus lenisculus*) were fed the cyanobacterium *Planktothrix agardhii* containing 3.61 mg MC/g for 15 days. Microcystins were detected in half of the exposed animals (qualitatively) but no impacts on hemocyte counts, blood glucose levels or wet weight of hepatopancreas were observed [213].

Elevated microcystin concentrations have been measured in macroinvertebrates collected from waters with cyanobacterial blooms. Crabs collected from Septia Bay, Brazil, contained up to $0.5 \mu g/g dw$ [215]. Black tiger prawns (*Penaeus monodon*) accumulated microcystin concentrations up to ca. 80 $\mu g/kg dw$ in hepatopancreas while living in an aquaculture pond with a bloom containing up to ca. 600 μg MC/g dw [216]. In a lake supporting a bloom containing up to 240 μg MC/g cells, shrimp (*Palaemon modestus*) accumulated an average of 4.3 μg MC/g dw in hepatopancreas [217].

Maternal transport of microcystin from females to eggs and young apparently takes place in crustaceans. Nearly 30 percent of the total microcystin body burden in shrimp (*Palaemon modestus*) collected from a Chinese lake was found in the eggs [217]. In the same study, the gonad of crayfish (*Procambarus clarkii*) contained up to 0.93 μ g MC/g dw. Dungeness crab (*Cancer magister*) larvae collected from costal Canadian waters contained up to 0.006 μ g MC-LR/g dw, and much higher levels of covalently bound microcystins (up to 84 μ g/g dw) [218]. The maternal transport and potential impacts of microcystin on developing crustaceans should be studied further.

Bivalves

Bivalves are generally resistant to acute lethality from microcystins [reviewed by 162]. However, recent work has indicated that these organisms are susceptible to sublethal impacts of microcystins. Zebra mussels (*Dreissena polymorpha*) fed fresh *Microcystis aeruginosa* strains with intracellular microcystin (-LR or -LF) concentrations of ca. 110 μ g/L cells exhibited DNA damage in blood cells after 7 days of exposure [219]. Following 21 days of exposure, up to 30 percent DNA damage was observed in blood cells. Zebra mussels fed a strain with less microcystin (7 μ g/L) also showed DNA damage following 21 days of exposure.

Bivalves have been found to accumulate high levels of microcystins, but the extent of accumulation appears to be modulated by both physiological and ecological factors. Mechanisms of exposure avoidance have been described in bivalves. Zebra mussels fed fresh *Microcystis aeruginosa* containing high microcystin concentrations (107 μ g/L, intracellular) rejected very large quantities of the cyanobacteria as pseudofeces with copious amounts of mucus [220]. This response varied greatly from the typical expulsion of rejected particles as pseudofeces. A mixture of *M. aeruginosa* with a non-toxic diatom also produced excessive atypical pseudofeces containing significantly more of the toxic cyanobacteria compared to the non-toxic diatom. Zebra mussels fed a *Microcystis aeruginosa* strain with lower toxin concentrations (7 μ g MC/L, intracellular) showed a typical response with smaller amounts of pseudofeces.

observe selective feeding in zebra mussels fed a *M. aeruginosa* suspension containing 11.8 μ g MC-LR/L (3.1 μ g MC-LR/g dw). The long-term effects of producing excessive amounts of pseudofeces and mucus are unknown. Mucus production and the use of adductor muscles to expel the material would require increased energy. The ability of zebra mussels to expel live cells of *Microcystis* is suspected to promote *Microcystis* blooms [222, 223].

Pires et al. [221] found that the extent of microcystin-LR assimilation in zebra mussels is also dependent on the availability of an alternate food source. Zebra mussels fed a *M. aeruginosa* suspension containing 11.8 μ g MC–LR/L (3.1 μ g MC-LR/g dw) for three weeks rapidly accumulated a maximum of 11 μ g MC/g dw. When given an equal mixture of *M. aeruginosa* and a non-toxic phytoplankton for three weeks, the mussels assimilated less microcystin (up to 3.9 μ g/g dw MC-LR) at a much slower rate. In the field, zebra mussels have contained microcystin concentrations up to 30 μ g/g dw [205].

Species-specific differences in microcystin uptake have also been observed. Three related bivalve species (*Anodonta woodiana, Cristaria plicata, and Unio douglasiae*) from the same hypereutrophic lake (Lake Suwa, Japan) accumulated very different levels of microcystin in hepatopancreas with maximums ranging from ca. $13 - 420 \mu g/g dw$ [224]. Intracellular toxin in surface waters reached a maximum level of ca. $35 \mu g/L$ during the study. The species with the highest accumulation, *U. douglasiae*, contained tissue microcystin concentrations correlated to the level of intracellular toxin in total suspended solids. *C. plicata* mainly accumulated microcystin following bloom collapse. The third species, *A. woodiana*, had consistently low microcystin concentrations.

Saltwater mussels (*Mytilus* spp.) can also accumulate microcystins [225-227].

Gastropods

Snails appear to be sensitive to microcystin toxicity. A common snail species (*Lymnaea stagnalis*) fed *Planktothrix agardhii* suspensions containing microcystin at 5 μ g/L (280 μ g/g dw) for five weeks accumulated 80 μ g/g dw and showed reductions in growth (juveniles) and fecundity (adults) [228, 229]. These impacts continued after snails were fed clean food for three weeks and contained only 3.5 μ g MC/g dw in their tissues. When the same species was exposed to pure microcystin-LR dissolved in experimental aquaria at a concentration of 33 μ g/L for six weeks, fecundity of adults was reduced by half but no growth effects were observed in juveniles [230]. Additionally, higher levels of microcystin-LR accumulated in tissues of juveniles (7.99 ng/g ww) compared to adults (2.17 ng/g ww). The snails were apparently exposed through water ingestion rather than absorption through the skin. When up to 0.02 μ g pure microcystin-LR was administered directly to the esophagus of *L. stagnalis*, histological injuries consistent with microcystin were observed in the hepatopancreas. Some of these effects were observed at relatively low microcystin-LR doses (compared to bloom exposures).

High, but naturally occurring, microcystin concentrations have been associated with lethality in snails. A mass mortality of snails was documented during a *Microcystis* bloom with microcystin concentrations up to 2500 μ g/L [231]. Family richness and abundance in the macroinvertebrate community decreased as microcystin concentrations increased.

Microcystin toxicity, as well as other bloom-related ecological stressors, likely contributed to the die-off.

Snails collected from waters with cyanobacterial blooms can contain high levels of microcystin. Much of the toxin can be associated with intact cyanobacterial cells in the gut and may not be digested [232]; however, these animals do digest some of the cells and take up microcystin into their bodies [233]. Three resident snail species (*Lymnaea stagnalis, Helisoma trivolvis, Physa gyrina*) from seven Canadian lakes contained microcystin-LR concentrations (up to 140 μ g/g dw) that correlated with microcystin-LR concentrations (up to 140 μ g/g dw) that correlated with microcystin-LR concentrations in the phytoplankton, but not the water (extracellular) [234]. In a lake supporting a bloom with microcystin concentrations up to 240 μ g/g dw, snails (*Bellamya aeruginosa*) accumulated average concentrations of 4.5 μ g/g dw (MC-RR,-LR) in hepatopancreas [235]. Similar to many other invertebrates, gastropods are exposed to higher levels of microcystin when alternative food sources are less available [228, 234].

Fish

Fish are susceptible to sublethal toxicity from microcystins at levels commonly found in cyanobacterial blooms [reviewed by 156, 161, 162]. Uptake of microcystins by fish occurs mainly through direct ingestion of cyanobacteria or ingestion of prey that have fed on cyanobacteria [236-238]. To a much lesser extent, uptake can occur from the water [239].

Liver, kidney and to a lesser extent, gills appear to be the major targets of microcystins in fish [reviewed by 161]. Fish exposed to microcystin exhibit liver injuries including oxidative stress, cellular death (necrosis and apoptosis) and disruption of liver structure (parenchymal architecture). In the kidney, proximal tubules are most affected, showing degeneration of epithelial cells and proteinaceous casts in the lumen. Impacts on glomeruli appear to be secondary to the effects in proximal tubules. The impact on gill is less clear but cellular degeneration [240] and sodium pump inhibition [241] have been observed. Additionally, reductions in growth [242], immune status [243] and cardiac function [244] have been observed.

Reports of specific responses of fish to given doses of microcystins are sometimes contradictory. This may be partially due to incomplete purification of toxins (i.e., presence of other constituents that may affect toxicity), differences in microcystin congeners, differing experimental design (age, sex, etc.), inter- or intra-specific variation, or an incomplete understanding of factors influencing microcystin toxicity in fish. Regardless, the growing body of research on this subject provides significant insight into the threat to fish posed by *in situ* microcystin exposure.

Field observations of blooms coinciding with impacts on fish are abundant but causality of observed effects is difficult to validate. Consequently, the effects of microcystins in fish have been studied experimentally using several different fish species and exposure routes. These studies exposed fish to purified or partially purified microcystins as well as microcystin-producing cyanobacteria. Lethal extracellular (dissolved) concentrations of microcystins are unlikely to occur in the environment [reviewed by 162]. Injection studies designed to measure lethality in fish are not reviewed

here since exposure by injection does not provide a useful toxicity model for natural microcystin exposures.

Immersion exposures in fish

Immersion in dissolved microcystin mimics exposures during bloom senescence when cyanobacterial cells lyse and release toxins into the surrounding waters. In this exposure, microcystins are taken up by the gills and to a lesser extent, the epidermis. In saltwater and estuarine fish, the toxin would also be taken up by drinking water, which is necessary to maintain ionic balance in a saline environment.

Brown trout exposed to lysed *M. aeruginosa* (24 - 42 μ g MC-LR/L, dissolved) for 96 hours showed a clear stress response as shown by increased plasma cortisol and glucose levels and decreased plasma chloride levels [245]. Brown trout exposed to dissolved purified microcystin-LR (41 - 57 μ g/L) for two months showed reduced growth [242]. However, yearling trout placed in tanks with intact cells of *M. aeruginosa* corresponding to even greater microcystin concentrations (36.6 and 73.1 μ g MC-LR/L, mostly intracellular) did exhibit effects (lethality or liver damage) within 96 hours [238]. Common carp exposed to dissolved microcystin concentrations of 1700 μ g/L for seven days exhibited cellular degeneration and necrosis in liver, kidney and gills, but not in heart, spleen, or intestines [240]. These studies show that trout and carp are susceptible to sublethal effects when exposed to high extracellular (dissolved) concentrations of microcystin similar to those observed during rapid bloom senescence [reviewed in 2]. Impacts in fish exposed to dissolved microcystins during blooms include stress response, reduced growth and, at very high levels, damage to liver and kidney tissues.

Oral exposures in fish

Ingestion of cyanobacteria is considered to be the major exposure route of microcystins to fish, thus bioassays utilizing oral routes of exposure are needed [see 162]. In general, carp appear to be sensitive to sublethal microcystin toxicity following oral exposure as pure toxin or toxin within cyanobacteria. Juvenile common carp (*Cyprinus carpio*) fed a single bolus of 3 µg of microcystin per kilogram of fish body weight (denoted as µg MC/kg bw, as cyanobacteria) had elevated blood biomarkers of liver damage (as alanine transaminase; ALT) [246]. Carp given a single dose of 1200 µg MC/kg bw (as cyanobacteria) directly to the gut exhibited clear indication of damage to hepatocytes (*C. carpio*; elevated ALT, aspartate transaminase (AST) and lactate dehydrogenase (LDH)) as well as a marked change in immunological indices (*C. carpio* and *Hypophthalmichthys molitrix*) [243, 246]

Mature common carp did not show histopathological lesions in liver, kidney or gill following a single oral dose of 25 μ g MC/kg bw (as *M. aeruginosa* extract). However, a single oral dose of 250 μ g MC/kg caused loss of hepatic architecture and widespread necrosis in the kidney [240]. A single oral bolus of 400 μ g MC/kg bw (as freeze-dried *M. aeruginosa*) in the same species resulted in damage to the kidney and liver that increased in severity over time [247]. In the kidney, vacuolation of epithelial cells, apoptosis, cell

lysis, epithelial exfoliation into the tubular lumen (1 - 3 hrs post dose), proteinaceous casts (12 hrs) and ultimately disintegration of the tubular structure (24 hrs) were observed. Livers of these fish exhibited changes to structural organization of hepatocytes (1 hr post-dose), widespread damage to hepatocytes (24 hrs) and hepatocellular necrosis and apoptosis (48 hrs).

Relatively low exposure repeated over time also lead to sublethal liver damage in common carp. Repeated oral administration of microcystin (as *M. aeruginosa* extract) at dosages of 2.5 μ g MC/kg bw per day for 16 days (total dose of 40 μ g/kg bw) led to loss of structural architecture and widespread necrosis in liver [248]. Carp fed *Microcystis* sp. as bloom scum at a dosage of 50 μ g MC/kg/day for 28 days (total dose of 1400 μ g/kg bw) showed liver damage consisting of cellular dissociation and necrosis [249].

Trout are also susceptible to microcystin toxicity; however, higher doses appear necessary to cause toxic impacts in these fish. Yearling rainbow trout given single oral boluses of either 1200 μ g pure MC-LR/kg bw or 1700 μ g MC-LR/kg bw as crude extracts of *M. aeruginosa* did not experience liver damage as shown by histology and blood markers [238]. However, trout given repeat oral dosages equal to 4400 μ g MC/kg bw over 96 hours (eight doses of 550 μ g MC/kg as crude extracts) showed a significant inflammatory response in liver with zonal leukocyte infiltration and focal necrosis [238]. Trout yearlings given a single oral bolus of *M. aeruginosa* culture at a dose of 5,700 μ g MC/kg bw rapidly took-up microcystin into liver cells, resulting in widespread liver damage (loss of cellular organization, hemorrhages, necrosis and apoptosis) [237, 247]. Oral administration of 6600 μ g/kg bw (as *M. aeruginosa* culture) was lethal to yearling trout within 96 hours and caused widespread lysis and degeneration of liver.

Few data are available in other fish species. Jos et al. [250] found evidence of oxidative stress (increased lipid peroxidation and induced antioxidant enzymes) in juvenile tilapia (*Oreochromis* sp.) fed ca. 1200 μ g MC-LR/kg/day as *Microcystis* sp. (collected from bloom) for 21 days. Liver was most affected, followed by kidney and, to a lesser extent, gills. Perch (*Perca* sp.) given oral doses of 1150 μ g MC/kg eight times over 96 hours (total dose 9200 μ g MC/kg) experienced severe histopathological changes in the liver, but no mortality [205].

Exposures to sublethal concentrations could be easily encountered in typical blooms. In mature carp, oral dosages of 50 µg MC/kg/day (as *Microcystis* sp. bloom scum) for 28 days resulted in damage to liver tissues [249]. A single oral dose of 250 µg MC/kg (as *M. aeruginosa* extract) also resulted in sublethal liver damage [240]. Consider a mature common carp (5 kg) with a dry matter consumption rate equivalent to 2 percent of body weight (0.1 kg/day). Based on the above studies, a diet containing 2 µg MC/g dw over one month would be expected to result in sublethal effects in carp, or a species with similar sensitivity. A diet containing 12 µg MC/g could lead to sublethal effects in one day. In yearling trout, oral intake of 1100 µg MC/kg/day (as freeze-dried *M. aeruginosa*) for four days resulted in liver damage [238]. A 1-yr-old trout weighing ca. 60 g and consuming about 2 g/day (dry matter consumption at 3 percent of body weight) may experience sublethal liver toxicity with a short-term diet containing 35 µg MC/g dw. Such exposures are likely considering that a typical peak bloom concentration of microcystin in cyanobacterial blooms is 1,600 µg MC/g dw [2]. Bivalves, snails and zooplankton collected from areas with blooms have contained microcystins as high as 30, 140 and

SJC-059

1,350 μ g MC/g dw respectively [205, 224]. These estimations indicate that fish residing in waters that support typical cyanobacterial blooms are likely to experience toxic effects in liver -- and some field observations support this assessment. For example, the majority of common carp sampled from a lake containing a *M. aeruginosa* bloom (2,200 – 4,000 μ g MC/g bloom material dw) exhibited widespread liver damage consistent with microcystins [251]. Fish collected from a lake with recurrent cyanobacterial blooms (up to ca. 4,000 μ g MC/g dw) also showed histological abnormalities in liver [205].

In summary, sublethal effects of microcystins observed in fish include progressive cellular degeneration of liver and kidney tissues involving necrosis and/or apoptosis and culminating in compromised parenchymal architecture and function. Extracts from cyanobacteria, with or without microcystins present, can also reduce growth possibly resulting from food avoidance [252] or stress response [245].

Developmental Toxicity in Fish

As mentioned above, microcystins strongly inhibit certain critical enzymes [protein phosphatase 1 and 2A 253, 254], which are necessary for most living organisms [255, 256]. These enzymes are particularly important during embryonic development in fish since their activities regulate critical developmental processes [257]. Developing fish appear to be particularly sensitive to chronic exposures to microcystins [reviewed by 161]. Observed effects include interferences with hatching, developmental defects, liver damage and reduced embryonic and larval survival. These effects appear with exposure to microcystin concentrations commonly observed during cyanobacteria blooms.

The sensitivity of developing fish to microcystins is dependent on the exposure route [258], the life stage exposed [259] and species differences [260]. Fish embryos have been shown to uptake significant levels of dissolved microcystins from the surrounding environment [192]. Increased larval mortality was observed in chub (*Leuciscus cephalus*) and zebrafish (*Danio rerio*) following embryonic exposure to 5 - 50 µg/L dissolved purified microcystins for 6 - 21 days [260, 261]. The median lethal concentration (LC₅₀) in newly hatched loach (*Misgurnus mizolepis*) larvae was 164.3 µg/L microcystin-LR (purified) for a 7-day exposure [259]. Decreased hatching rate and increased abnormalities were observed in loach embryos following exposure to 30 µg/L purified microcystin-LR for 30 days [259]. Evidence of oxidative stress was found in zebrafish embryos following a 24hr exposure to 0.25 µg/L microcystin (as purified toxin or crude extracts) [170]. Acute impacts in zebrafish eleutheroembryos (yolk-sac larvae) were only observed with very high exposures (10 mg/L) to dissolved purified microcystin-LR, and the defects (edema and enlarged yolk sac) were reversible [260].

The above effects were observed under exposures to dissolved microcystins. Experiments indicate that developing embryos would be more sensitive to exposure from maternal transport of microcystins compared to uptake from the surrounding environment [258, 262]. Maternal transfer of microcystins has been observed in shrimp collected from natural waters [217]. Microinjection of microcystin directly into developing embryos has been used to mimic potential maternal transport of this toxin. Many toxicants (especially those that concentrate in the liver) are transferred from the maternal liver to the yolk of growing eggs. Following fertilization, the embryo and larvae utilize stored yolk for the high energy demand of development [263] and are exposed to the compounds contained in the yolk.

Microinjection of 0.01 femtogram (10⁻¹⁷ g) of pure microcystin into medaka embryos significantly reduced survival [262]. Mortality increased with increasing exposures (up to 88% mortality following injection of 100 femtograms microcystin). Liver damage was observed in all medaka (*Oryzias latipes*) embryos injected with microcystin. In zebrafish, injection of similar amounts of purified microcystin-LR resulted in significant disruption of development and reduced survival [258]. Each of these microinjection studies demonstrated a dose-dependent decrease in the survival of embryos exposed to microcystins.

In summary, microcystins have been demonstrated to disrupt development in early life stages of fish. Sublethal and developmental effects are expected to occur at environmentally relevant concentrations. In general, exposure of embryos and larvae to environmentally relevant concentrations of microcystins have resulted in evidence of oxidative stress, reduced growth, developmental defects, and lethality, as well as the lack of significant impacts. Effects occurred with a concentration as low as 0.25 μ g/L or an injected dose of 0.01 femtogram/embryo. The precise mechanisms of exposure and effects in fish embryos have not been fully determined.

Birds

Bird deaths have been linked to cyanobacterial blooms in Canada and the United States since the early 1900s [reviewed by 32, 33]. Blooms of cyanobacterial species that produce microcystins and/or anatoxin-a have coincided with the deaths of ducks, gulls, songbirds, pheasants and hawks, as well as several other bird species. The severity of such bird kills have ranged from a few individuals to several thousand birds per incident.

Microcystins have been specifically implicated in some bird poisonings. In Japan, approximately 20 spot-billed ducks died at a pond containing a bloom of *M. aeruginosa* [264]. Bloom material contained high levels of microcystins and produced acute toxicity in a mouse bioassay that was consistent with microcystin. *M. aeruginosa* scum from a nearby pond contained low levels of microcystins, was not associated with bird deaths, and did not produce acute toxicity in a mouse bioassay.

In another case, waterfowl and other animals died at a reservoir containing an extensive *Microcystis* sp. bloom in South Africa [reviewed by 265]. Examined individuals showed liver damage consistent with acute and chronic microcystin toxicity. Furthermore, water from the reservoir was used to reproduce the same effects in experimental animals.

Mass mortalities of flamingos occurred in a Spanish lagoon in Donana National Park following a sudden bloom of *M. aeruginosa* and *Anabaena flos-aquae* [266]. Microcystins were detected in the water (< 10 μ g/ml MC) and the crop contents (600 μ g/ml) and livers (440 μ g/ml) of flamingos. Both cyanobacteria species were identified in crop contents. Additionally, liver lesions consistent with microcystin toxicity were observed in the flamingos. Several other bird species also died at the lagoon.

Widespread flamingo (*Phoenicopterus minor*) mortalities have also coincided with blooms of *Arthrospira fusiformis* in alkaline lakes of Kenya [reviewed by 265, 267].

Various strains of *A. fusiformis* can produce both microcystin and anatoxin-a, which were present in flamingo carcass livers, intestine contents and fecal pellets [268]. Up to 5.82 μ g/g ww anatoxin-a and 0.93 μ g/g microcystins were measured in liver tissues. Additionally, neurotoxic symptoms were observed in dying flamingos [269].

In California, high mortality in birds wintering at the Salton Sea has been linked to microcystins [15]. Levels of microcystins found in many of the dead birds were similar to those in mice exposed to lethal levels of this toxin. Microcystin poisoning has also been linked to the mortality and illness of great blue heron (*Ardea herodias*) from Chesapeake Bay [15, 270].

Little experimental work has been completed in birds. Takahashi [271] reported an i.p. LD_{50} of 256 µg/kg purified microcystin RR in quail, which is low compared to that of mice [600 µg/kg, see 2]. Skocovska et al. [272] administered a daily oral dose of up to 46 µg microcystins, as *Microcystis* sp. biomass, to quail for up to 30 days. No mortality was observed during the experiment. However, histopathological lesions were observed in livers. More work is needed to better understand the impacts of microcystins on birds.

Cylindrospermopsin

Introduction

Much less information is available on the toxic impacts of cylindrospermopsin in aquatic organisms. More knowledge on this toxin is especially needed because the geographic range of cyanobacteria that produce cylindrospermopsin appears to be increasing [reviewed by 267]. Cylindrospermopsin-producing species are now found in Australia, New Zealand, Europe, Asia and the Americas. Cylindrospermopsin is produced by *Cylindrospermopsis raciborskii*, *Umezakia natans*, *Aphanizomenon ovalisporum*, *Rhaphidiopsis curvata*, *Anabaena lapponica* and *Anabaena bergii* [reviewed by 2, 273].

The predominant route of exposure to cylindrospermopsin in aquatic organisms is through ingestion [122, 274]. As with microcystins, greater impacts are observed with exposure to crude extracts of cyanobacteria compared to purified toxins [170].

Toxic Mechanism

Cylindrospermopsin (CYN) is cytotoxic and leads to severe cellular injury and cellular death [reviewed by 157]. Past research on the toxic mechanism has focused on cylindrospermopsin's inhibition of protein synthesis, which is dose-dependent and irreversible [98]. However, other mechanisms appear to be necessary for cylindrospermopsin toxicity. When enzymes that commonly activate toxins (cytochrome P-450) are experimentally blocked, cylindrospermopsin does not lead to acute cellular death even though the impacts on protein synthesis remain the same [98, 275]. This suggests that the P-450 enzymes change cylindrospermopsin to a more toxic form, which results in cytotoxicity. The interaction of protein synthesis inhibition and the postulated cytotoxic mechanism(s) is unknown. Similarly, the key mechanisms involved in chronic

toxicity are not fully understood. The main target organs of cylindrospermopsin are liver and kidney. Ingested cylindrospermopsin can also injure the cellular lining of the digestive tract. More detailed information is available in reviews by Duy et al. [157] and van Apeldoorn et al. [267].

Variants

Analog structures of cylindrospermopsin include deoxycylindrospermopsin [276] and 7-epicylindrospermopsin [277]. The toxicity of 7-epicylindrospermopsin is similar to that of cylindrospermopsin [278]. However, the toxicity of deoxycylindrospermopsin is unclear. Three mice injected with purified deoxycylindrospermopsin at a dose four-times the i.p. LD₅₀ of cylindrospermopsin did not show toxic effects within five days [276]. In contrast, purified deoxycylindrospermopsin shows similar cytotoxicity to cylindrospermopsin in several isolated cell lines [279], including hepatocytes [280]. Further study is needed to elucidate potential toxic impacts of deoxycylindrospermopsin.

Detoxification

Limited information is available on cylindrospermopsin detoxification pathways in aquatic organisms. The glutathione pathway appears to be involved to some extent [281, 282].

Blooms

Cylindrospermopsin concentrations up to 5,500 μ g/g dw have been measured in bloom material [reported in 2]. Rucker et al [283] measured cylindrospermopsin in 21 German lakes dominated by *Aphanizomenon* spp. Cylindrospermopsin was detected in 19 lakes at concentrations ranging from 0.002-0.484 μ g/L in seston (phytoplankton + suspended particles) and 0.08-11.75 μ g/L dissolved in water. The maximum cylindrospermopsin measured in a total sample of water with seston was 12.1 μ g/L. Eight of the 21 lakes sampled contained high concentrations of cylindrospermopsin. A native cyanobacterial species, *Aphanizomenon gracile*, was highly correlated with cylindrospermopsin concentrations in the lakes and was suspected to be the major producer of the toxin.

Dissolved (extracellular) cylindrospermopsin has been measured at concentrations up to 63 μ g/L in natural blooms [8]. Unlike microcystin and anatoxin-a, cylindrospermopsin is often found to be highest in the extracellular fractions of surface water samples [8]. However, this is not always the case [122] and is dependent on the growth phase of the cyanobacterium [284].

Zooplankton

Cylindrospermopsin appears to reduce survival and fitness in *Daphnia*. A cylindrospermopsin-producing strain of *Cylindrospermopsis raciborskii* was more toxic to juvenile *Daphnia magna* than a similar strain that does not produce the toxin [282]. Within 48 hours, Daphnia allowed to graze on *C. raciborskii* (+CYN) experienced 90 percent mortality while those exposed to *C. raciborskii* (-CYN) experienced 9 percent mortality. Complete mortality occurred prior to first reproduction in *Daphnia* exposed to *C. raciborskii* (+CYN) (72-hrs) and *C. raciborskii* (-CYN) (192-hrs). Control Daphnia, fed a green algae, released their first brood around 192 hours and experienced no mortality within 500 hours. Effects were not solely due to poor nutritional value of *C. raciborskii* or food avoidance since starved controls only experienced 40 percent mortality after 500 hours of exposure. Compared to the green algae-fed controls, growth in Daphnia was reduced by 95, 80 and 30 percent in the *C. raciborskii* (+CYN), starved and *C. raciborskii* (-CYN) treatments, respectively, following 24 hours of exposure. Daphnia exposed to *C. raciborskii* (+CYN) contained an average 0.02 ng cylindrospermopsin per individual.

Macroinvertebrates

Crustaceans

Crayfish take up cylindrospermopsin from food and the surrounding water, but appear to be resistant to the toxin. Crayfish (*Cherax quadricarinatus*) living in an aquaculture pond with a *C. raciborskii* bloom (589 μ g CYN/L, 93% within cells) and containing cylindrospermopsin concentrations of 4.3 and 0.9 μ g/g dw in hepatopancreas and muscle tissues, respectively, showed no histological abnormalities in cephalothorax, digestive tract, heart, antennal gland or gills [122]. This was confirmed in experiments that exposed the same species to either a pure culture of *C. raciborskii* (128 μ g CYN/L, 85% within cells) or dissolved cylindrospermopsin (as cell-free extracts; up to 568 μ g CYN/L) for 14 days, which resulted in no mortalities or histological abnormalities [122]. The crayfish did consume the *C. raciborskii*, as shown by gut analyses of crayfish from the pond and culture exposure. Crayfish in the 14-day exposure to cultures of *C. raciborskii* accumulated less cylindrospermopsin than those from the pond, suggesting that time of exposure is an important factor here. In the exposure to dissolved extracts, crayfish took up cylindrospermopsin from solution at a lesser rate compared to the culture exposures.

Bivalves

The freshwater swan mussel (*Anodonta cygnea*) accumulated up to 2.9 μ g/g (dw, whole body) following a 16-day feeding exposure to *C. raciborskii* containing 14 – 90 μ g/L cylindrospermopsin [285]. Over 90 percent of the cylindrospermopsin was found in the hemolymph and viscera of the mussels. After two weeks of depuration, 50 percent of

cylindrospermopsin remained in the tissues of the mussel. No toxicity data were found for cylindrospermopsin in bivalves.

Gastropods

Aquatic snails, Melanoides tuberculata, exposed to cylindrospermopsin concentrations up to 400 µg/L (either as extract solutions or live cultures of *C. raciborskii*) for 14 days showed no significant changes in behavior or relative growth rates [286]. However, the snails exposed to live C. raciborskii cultures at cylindrospermopsin concentrations \geq 200 µg/L released significantly fewer hatchlings. In a similar study, snails (*M. tuberculata*) accumulated high levels of cylindrospermopsin, particularly from consumption of *C. raciborskii* cultures [274]. Higher levels of this toxin were accumulated when snails were exposed to live cultures of C. raciborskii compared to extracts of the cyanobacteria. Snails exposed to 25 and 400 µg/L cylindrospermopsin as extract solutions for 7 days contained 0.1 and 1.2 µg/g dw, respectively. Concentrations in these animals did not increase significantly at 14 days of exposure. In contrast, exposure to suspensions of live C. raciborskii cultures at a cylindrospermopsin concentration of 91 μ g/L led to the accumulation of 18 and 50 μ g CYN/g dw in snails over 7 and 14 days, respectively. Snails exposed to C. raciborskii suspensions containing 406 µg/L accumulated up to 90 and 230 µg CYN/g dw over 7 and 14 days, respectively. The bioaccumulation factors in snails exposed to live cultures ranged from approximately 35 -144. Deoxycylindrospermopsin was also present in C. raciborskii and was accumulated in a similar pattern, but at much lower tissue levels.

Fish

Rainbow fish (*Melanotaenia eachamensis*) living in an aquaculture pond with a *Cylindrospermopsis raciborskii* bloom (589 μ g/L, 93% within cells) contained 1.2 μ g/g dw cylindrospermopsin in viscera [122]. This accumulation was much lower than in crayfish collected from the same pond (see above). Trichomes of *C. raciborskii* were observed in the gut of crayfish, but not in Rainbow fish. Thus it appears the fish did not ingest appreciable amounts of the *C. raciborskii* in this pond. No toxicity data were found for cylindrospermopsin in fish.

Birds

No information was found on the effects of cylindrospermopsin on birds.

Amphibians

Cane toad (*Bufo marinus*) tadpoles experienced 66 percent mortality following exposure to live *C. raciborskii* cultures containing 232 μ g/L cylindrospermopsin for 7 days [287]. In sharp contrast, no mortality occurred in tadpoles exposed to dissolved *C*.

raciborskii extracts at concentrations up 400 µg/L cylindrospermopsin for 14 days. Relative growth rates and swimming activity decreased with exposure to either live culture or extracts. Tadpoles accumulated an average maximum tissue concentration of 0.9 µg/g ww cylindrospermopsin in live culture exposures and 0.06 µg/g ww when exposed to cell extracts.

Anatoxin-a

Introduction

Very limited information is available on the toxic impacts of anatoxin-a in aquatic organisms. Anatoxin-a is produced by most *Anabaena* spp. (e.g., *A. planctonica, A. flos-aquae, A. spiroides and A. circinalis*), *Aphanizomenon issatschenkoi* and *Raphidiopsis mediterranea* [reviewed in 2, 267, 273]. This toxin is also produced by some species of *Planktothrix* and *Cylindrospermum*. In Japan, small amounts of anatoxin-a were produced by *Microcystis* sp. Anatoxin-a has been found in Europe, North America and to a lesser extent, Japan.

Toxic Mechanism

Anatoxin-a binds irreversibly to the acetylcholine receptors, including those that control respiration [288]. This causes overstimulation leading to paralysis and death due to asphyxiation.

Variants

Homoanatoxin-a is a homolog of anatoxin-a [289]. The two variants have very similar toxicological properties [reviewed in 267, 273]. However, anatoxin-a(s) (an analogue of anatoxin-a) is dissimilar to anatoxin-a and has a different toxicological profile [290, 291]. The toxicology of anatoxin-a(s) is not addressed here but reviews are available from Duy [157], van Apeldoorn et al., [267] and others.

Detoxification

No information was found regarding detoxification of anatoxin-a in aquatic organisms.

Blooms

Cyanobacterial bloom material has been shown to contain anatoxin-a concentrations up to 4,400 μ g/g dw [292].

Zooplankton

Reproductive success in *Daphnia* was reduced when exposed to 1,000 μ g/L anatoxin-a as *Anabaena affinis*, *Anabaena flos-aquae* and pure toxin [293]. These effects were exacerbated with small increases in temperatures (e.g., from 12 to 14 °C). Anatoxin-a concentrations of 200 to 5,000 μ g/L (as *Anabaena flos-aquae*) inhibited reproduction in several rotifers [294]. Daphnia was more sensitive to population decline than rotifers during a natural *A. affinis* bloom but the role of anatoxin-a is unknown [295]. In copepod zooplankton, *Eurytemora affinis*, 4-day exposures to pure anatoxin-a at concentrations of 1,000 μ g/L did not affect the timing or frequency of egg hatching [201]. In adult *E. affinis*, 7-day exposures at concentrations of 1,000 μ g/L anatoxin-a had negligible impacts on survival. Sensitivity of a species to the overall impacts of *Anabaena* sp. may be linked to its feeding efficiency on these cyanobacteria [295, 296].

Macroinvertebrates

Crustaceans

Smith [297] suggested that sublethal cyanotoxin exposure increased the susceptibility of farmed prawns (*Penaeus* spp.) to bacterial infection and death. High prawn mortality coincided with the spread of *Oscillatoriales* blooms to new ponds, on four farms. The observed infection, vibriosis, was likely secondary to an earlier physiological insult because a number of different *Vibrionaceae* strains were present in individual prawns. Pond water was not lethal to mice, but sublethal neurotoxic symptoms were observed. Smith suggested that a neurotoxin effectively decreased the feeding and/or immune function in prawns, which led to their susceptibility to infection. This was based on neurotoxic symptoms observed in mice, lethality of pond water injected into crabs and soluble and heat-labile characteristics of the toxin.

Bivalves

No information was found regarding anatoxin-a toxicity or accumulation in bivalves.

Gastropods

Kiss et al. [298] found that specific neurons of snails (*Helix pomatia, Lymnaea stagnalisl*) responded similarly to pure anatoxin-a and acetylcholine. This work suggests that, as with vertebrates, anatoxin-a binds to acetylcholine receptors in snails.

Fish

Juvenile carp exposed to *Anabaena* sp. containing an anatoxin-a concentration of 12 μ g/L exhibited behavioral changes including rapid opercular movement and abnormal

swimming, but no mortality within 5 days. Carp exposed to 1,170 μ g/L died within 30 hours [124]. Average whole-body concentrations of anatoxin-a after four days of exposure were 0.031 and 0.768 μ g/g dw in the 12 and 1,170 μ g/L exposures, respectively. In both exposures, the carp accumulated < 1 percent of the anatoxin-a in the experimental aquaria. The authors suspect that greater accumulation would likely occur in a medium exposure (i.e., between the lower exposure and the unknown lethal threshold), but also point out that the hydrophilicity and instability of anatoxin-a may ultimately result in insignificant accumulation in fish. Goldfish orally exposed to a *Anabaena flos-aquae* strain known to produce anatoxin-a became rigid and died within 15 minutes [117]. Immersion in fresh cells, freeze-dried cells, or extracts of *Anabaena flos-aquae* for up to eight hours did not appear to affect goldfish. Exposure to 400 μ g/L, but not 200 μ g/L, pure anatoxin-a temporarily altered heart rate in developing zebrafish [260]. More work is needed to understand the toxic impact of anatoxin-a on fish.

Birds

Anatoxins (including anatoxin-a) are suspected to play a significant role in observed deaths of waterfowl [162]. Mass die-offs of lesser flamingos (*P. minor*) have been related to blooms of *Arthrospira fusiformis* in alkaline lakes of Kenya [reviewed by 265, 267]. Various strains of *A. fusiformis* can produce both microcystin and anatoxin-a, which were present in flamingo carcass livers, stomach contents and fecal pellets [268]. Up to 5.82 μ g/g ww anatoxin-a and 0.93 μ g/g microcystins were measured in liver tissues [269]. Neurotoxic signs were observed in dying flamingos. Anatoxin-a poisoning in birds is marked by staggering, gasping, muscle fasciculation and opisthotonus [see 158, 299].

Mallard ducks orally exposed to an *Anabaena flos-aquae* strain known to produce anatoxin-a became rigid and died [117]. Several other bird deaths, including mass mortalities, have been coincident with blooms of anatoxin-a producing cyanobacteria [300].

Food Web Transfer

The movement of cyanotoxins through aquatic food webs appears to be highly site-specific. A detailed analysis of this developing field of study is beyond the scope of this report. A review of this subject is provided by Ibelings and Havens [162]. Additionally, several studies address isolated components of this topic [206, 252, 301-307].

Microcystins

The ability of microcystins to biomagnify in aquatic food webs has been debated in the literature [see 162]. However, biomagnification of this toxin is not necessary to pose a significant risk to aquatic ecosystems. What is clear is that microcystin can be taken-up into aquatic organisms faster than it is lost. This allows the toxin to move through food webs and potentially impact a greater number of species. A recent study provided clear evidence of the trophic transfer of microcystins from *Microcystis spp.* (and free microcystins) to marine bivalves and sea otters [22].¹ The deaths of 21 sea otters in the Monterey Bay National Marine Sanctuary were linked to microcystin poisoning. The source of *Microcystis* spp. was Pinto Lake and its downstream tributaries draining into the Sanctuary. In this case, the marine bivalves did biomagnify the microcystins.

Studies indicate that food web transfer of microcystins is not always predicted based on feeding guild (e.g., carnivores vs. planktivores) or even trophic level (primary vs. secondary consumer). Two case studies, presented below, demonstrate these limitations.

Lake Chaohu, China

Lake Chaohu is a large shallow, eutrophic lake in subtropical China. A severe *Microcystis* spp. bloom occurred from June – November, 2003. During this time various species from different trophic levels were collected from Lake Chaohu and analyzed for microcystin content [217, 235, 308]. The pelagic shrimp (*Palaemon modestus*) accumulated 4.29 and 1.17 μ g MC/g dw in hepatopancreas and ovary, respectively, compared to 0.53 and 0.48 μ g/g dw in the same organs of a shrimp (*Macrobrachium nipponensis*) inhabiting the littoral zone [217]. Both shrimp species had transferred microcystin to their eggs. The pelagic species (*P. modestus*) eggs contained an average of 2.34 μ g MC/g dw while *M. nipponensis* eggs held 0.27 μ g/g dw.

In the same lake, crayfish (*Procambarus clarkii*) accumulated 0.93 μ g MC/g dw in gonad [217]. Snails (*Bellamya aeruginosa*) collected from this lake contained average microcystin concentrations of 4.14 μ g/g dw in hepatopancreas [235]. Fish species collected included carnivores (*Culter ilishaeformis, Culter erythropterus, Pseudobagrus fulvidraco, Coilia ectenes*), omnivores (*Carassius auratus, Cyprinus carpio*) a planktivore (*Hypophthalmichthys molitrix*) and a herbivore (*Parabramis pekinensis*) [308]. Microcystin accumulated in most of these fish, although concentrations varied between species. Microcystin levels were generally highest in carnivorous fish, followed by omnivorous, planktivorous and herbivorous fish. Microcystin concentrations in liver (or hepatopancreas) of species from Chaohu Lake were as follows: carnivorous fish (*Ce*) 11.6 > omnivorous fish (*Cc*) 10 > carnivorous fish (*Pt*) 7.8 > carnivorous fish (*Ci*) 5 > pelagic shrimp (*Pm*) 4.3 > snail (*Ba*) 4.1 > herbivorous fish (*Pp*) 4.1 > planktivorous (*Hm*) 2.1 > omnivorous fish (*Ca*) 1.9 > littoral shrimp (*Mn*) 0.5. In this lake, the accumulation pattern indicates a moderate accumulation of microcystin with higher trophic levels.

¹ Information on this study was added during the final edits in response to peer review comments. The literature review for this report extended through 2008.

Lake IJsselmeer, The Netherlands

In Lake IJsselmeer, the Netherlands, a significantly different trend is observed in microcystin transfer through the food web. In 1999, lake-wide average microcystin concentration in phytoplankton was approximately 407 μ g/g dw [205]. The lake-wide average microcystin concentration in zooplankton (mostly *Daphnia*) was 76 μ g/g dw compared to just 6 μ g/g dw in zebra mussels. In fish, average microcystin concentrations (μ g/g dw) in liver tissues were highest in zooplanktivorous smelt (218), followed by benthic ruffe (54) with the predatory larger perch containing the lowest liver concentrations (24). In this lake, there was no increase in microcystin concentrations correlated with higher trophic levels.

Microcystin food web dynamics appears to be highly site-specific and dependent on local biota and food web structure. However, there are some basic principles that may facilitate site-specific assessments of the potential for microcystins to build up in certain species or groups of species. Uptake of microcystin by an aquatic species does not always lead to toxicity, which is dependent on 1) the amount of microcystin ingested, 2) lack of detoxification/excretion and 3) sensitivity to the toxic action of microcystin.

Since microcystin enters the food web through ingestion of phytoplankton (or benthic algae), the first step of the above sequence can be assessed by tracking the primary production energy flow (i.e., what organisms consume the phytoplankton). In addition to energy flow, the likelihood of grazers to actually ingest cyanobacteria must also be considered.

In Lake IJsselmeer, zebra mussels consume approximately 30 percent of the primary production while *Daphnia* spp. consume approximately 20 percent [205]. The remainder is channeled to detritus and the microbial loop. This is reflected by the microcystin accumulation in lake biota. Although zebra mussels consume a large percentage of the lake's primary production, they are able to selectively expel cyanobacteria, which would effectively transfer microcystins to the benthos [220]. The relatively low concentrations found in zebra mussels from Lake IJsselmeer suggest that this process occurs there. Since the benthos also receives much of the lake's primary production as detritus, benthic-feeding organisms are likely exposed to microcystins. In fact, the authors found that the benthivorous fish, ruffe, accumulated significant levels of hepatic microcystin.

Unlike zebra mussels, *Daphnia* spp. do not appear to selectively reject cyanobacteria. It seems clear that the Lake IJsselmeer *Daphnia* spp. ingested cyanobacteria since the microcystin levels they contained are among the highest reported in zooplankton [162]. The zooplanktivorous smelt accumulated the highest hepatic microcystin concentrations observed in the study, apparently by ingesting *Daphnia*.

Yellow perch from Lake IJsselmeer contained relatively low levels of hepatic microcystins. Smaller perch feed on zooplankton but transition to macro-invertebrates and then small fish as they grow larger [309]. Ibelings et al. [205] stated that perch collected in the study were large enough to be predatory, but it is unclear whether macro-invertebrates or fish were dominant in their diet. Since large perch often consume smelt

in this lake, it is likely that microcystin levels in this species will vary significantly with growth-dependent dietary composition.

The subtropical Lake Chaohu has greater biodiversity and a more complex food web compared to Lake IJsselmeer. The energy from primary production would be expected to move through more food web linkages than in the Dutch lake, resulting in more routes of microcystin exposure. Accordingly, the authors of the Lake Chaohu studies collected a large number of species with different feeding strategies. Species sampled from the littoral zone, which receives a high input of terrestrial food sources, contained the lowest hepatic microcystin levels. Pelagic consumers, which would likely consume a larger portion of the primary production [310], contained mid-range levels of hepatic microcystin in Lake Chaohu. An exception to the above was the phytoplanktivorous silver carp (*Hypophthalmichthys molitrix*), which would be expected to consume a large portion of the primary productivity. This fish had very high levels of microcystin in gut contents, but tissue concentrations of the toxin were low. Silver carp can have limited absorption of ingested cyanobacteria [311].

Once the species containing high microcystin levels are identified, the vulnerability of those species to microcystin toxicity should be evaluated. Toxicological studies using oral exposure routes provide relevant information on the toxic impacts of microcystin in several aquatic animals. The development of toxic thresholds for microcystin in key groups of aquatic species is needed to facilitate risk assessments of microcystin in aquatic ecosystems. Such thresholds, based on dietary or tissue burden microcystin levels, could be developed from the existing literature.

In summary, the transfer of microcystins through food webs should be assessed on a case-by-case basis. The most important factors in assessing risk of microcystin to aquatic life are exposure and toxic threshold levels. Exposure can be evaluated by monitoring microcystin levels in the dominant consumers of primary production, as well as their predators. The scientific literature provides some information on the likelihood of some primary consumers to ingest cyanobacteria. Similarly, a large body of literature exists on the toxic impacts of microcystins to aquatic organisms. In all likelihood, wildlife management and regulatory agencies will not have sufficient resources to search the literature for species-specific exposure and effect parameters. Therefore, the development of toxic thresholds in key species is critical to site-specific risk assessment of microcystins in aquatic ecosystems.

Cylindrospermopsin

A growing body of literature indicates that cylindrospermopsin can move through aquatic food webs and accumulate in aquatic animals. Although this toxin can be taken up from surrounding water, it appears that ingestion of cyanobacteria is the predominant route of accumulation in aquatic organisms [122, 274].

Most work has focused on macro-invertebrates. Crayfish (*Cherax quadricarinatus*) living in an aquaculture pond with a *C. raciborskii* bloom (589 µg CYN/L, 93% within cells)

accumulated 4.3 μ g CYN/g dw in hepatopancreas [122]. However, rainbow fish (*Melanotaenia eachamensis*) living in the same pond accumulated only 1.2 μ g CYN/g dw in viscera. It appears that only the crayfish had been feeding significantly on *C. raciborskii*, since the cyanobacteria was found in the gut of this species, but not in rainbow fish. Additionally, these authors demonstrated that crayfish accumulate more cylindrospermopsin from ingesting cyanobacteria than from extracellular toxin in water.

An aquatic snail, *Melanoides tuberculata*, was shown to accumulate high levels of cylindrospermopsin, particularly from consumption of cells [274]. Exposure to live cultures of *C. raciborskii* resulted in greater accumulation of cylindrospermopsin by the snails compared to dissolved extracts of *C. raciborskii*. Snails exposed to suspensions of *C. raciborskii* containing 91 or 406 µg/L cylindrospermopsin for 7 days accumulated 18 and 90 µg CYN/g dw, respectively. Accumulation increased nearly three-fold in both treatments with a 14-day exposure. In contrast, snails exposed to similar concentrations of cylindrospermopsin as extract solutions accumulated up to 1.2 µg/g dw in a 14-day exposure trial. Deoxycylindrospermopsin was also present in *C. raciborskii* and was mainly accumulated through ingestion, but at much lower tissue levels.

Cylindrospermopsin may be partially retained in macro-invertebrates following exposure. The freshwater swan mussel (*Anodonta cygnea*) accumulated up to 2.9 μ g/g (dw, whole body) following a 16-day exposure to *C. raciborskii* suspensions containing 14 – 90 μ g/L cylindrospermopsin [285]. After two weeks of depuration, 50 percent of cylindrospermopsin remained in the tissues of the mussel.

Amphibians can also take up cylindrospermopsin by ingestion of cyanobacteria. Cane toad (*Bufo marinus*) tadpoles exposed to live *C. raciborskii* cultures containing 232 μ g/L cylindrospermopsin for 7 days accumulated average maximum tissue concentrations of 0.9 μ g CYN/g ww [287]. Longer exposure to higher cylindrospermopsin concentrations, as dissolved crude extracts of *C. raciborskii*, resulted in the accumulation of much less toxin (0.06 μ g/g ww) by the tadpoles.

More information is needed in order to understand the food-web dynamics of cylindrospermopsin. It does seem clear that ingestion is the predominant route of exposure in aquatic organisms. However, unlike microcystins, uptake of cylindrospermopsin from water can be appreciable and should be included in risk assessments.

Anatoxin-a

There is almost a complete lack of information on the potential of anatoxin-a to accumulate in aquatic organisms. Juvenile carp exposed to lethal concentrations of anatoxin-a (1,170 μ g/L, as *Anabaena* sp. suspensions) accumulated average anatoxin-a concentrations of 0.768 μ g/g dw (whole) prior to death (30 hrs) [124]. A much lower concentration, 12 μ g/L anatoxin-a, was not lethal and resulted in average whole-body anatoxin-a concentrations of 0.031 μ g/g dw. The authors speculate that greater accumulation would likely occur in a medium exposure (i.e., between the lower exposure and the unknown lethal threshold), but also point out that the hydrophilicity and instability of anatoxin-a may ultimately result in insignificant accumulation in fish.

SJC-059

Lesser flamingos that died during a mass mortality event had been feeding on blooms of *Arthrospira fusiformis* and contained anatoxin-a concentrations up to 5.82 μ g/g ww in liver [268]. The potential for anatoxin-a to move through the food web is unknown. The chemical properties of this toxin could result in negligible transfer from prey to predator. However, more studies are needed to validate this presumption.

Conclusions and Research Needs

In conclusion, aquatic organisms residing in water bodies with recurrent cyanobacterial blooms are likely exposed to sublethal levels of cyanotoxins. The species that are exposed will depend on the toxin's movement through the food web. The sublethal toxicity of microcystins is well described. However, more work is needed on the potential impacts from maternal transport of this toxin to developing organisms. More research is needed to understand the sublethal impacts of cylindrospermopsin and, especially, anatoxin-a on aquatic organisms. The existing literature on microcystins, and perhaps cylindrospermopsin, could be used to determine sublethal toxicity thresholds in dietary items and predator tissues. This would facilitate the protection of aquatic organisms by wildlife managers and regulators. There is a strong need for an understanding of cyanotoxin effects on aquatic mammals. Additionally, transfer of cvanotoxins to terrestrial animals deserves more attention. Several recent reviews have focused on research needs for a better understanding of the impacts of cyanotoxins on humans and animals [312-316]. Most of these reviews emphasize the need to investigate the toxicological properties of mixtures of cyanotoxins since they are most relevant to field exposures.

References

- 1. Harada, K.I., et al., *Stability of microcystins from cyanobacteria. III. Effect of pH and temperature.* Phycologia, 1996. **35**(6): p. 83-88.
- 2. WHO. *Toxic cyanobacteria in water: a guide to their public health consequences, monitoring and management.* 1999. Routledge.
- 3. Tsuji, K., et al., *Stability of microcystins from cyanobacteria--II. Effect of UV light on decomposition and isomerization.* Toxicon, 1995. **33**(12): p. 1619-31.
- 4. Jones, G., I.R. Falconer, and R.M. Wilkins, *Persistence of cyclic peptide toxins in dried Microcystis aeruginosa crusts from lake Mokoan, Australia.* Environmental Toxicology & Water Quality, 1995. **10**(1): p. 19-24.
- 5. Lahti, K., et al., *Persistence of cyanobacterial hepatotoxin, microcystin-LR, in particulate material and dissolved in lake water.* Wat. Res., 1997 **31**(5): p. 1005-1012.
- 6. Rapala, J., et al., *Paucibacter toxinivorans gen. nov., sp. nov., a bacterium that degrades cyclic cyanobacterial hepatotoxins microcystins and nodularin.* Int J Syst Evol Microbiol, 2005. **55**(Pt 4): p. 1563-8.
- Rapala, J., et al., *Biodegradability and adsorption on lake sediments of cyanobacterial hepatotoxins and anatoxin-a.* Lett Appl Microbiol, 1994. 19(6): p. 423-8.
- 8. Chiswell, R.K., et al., *Stability of cylindrospermopsin, the toxin from the cyanobacterium, Cylindrospermopsis raciborskii: Effect of pH, temperature, and sunlight on decomposition.* Environmental Toxicology, 1999. **14**(1): p. 155 161.
- 9. Wormer, L., et al., *Cylindrospermopsin is not degraded by co-occurring natural bacterial communities during a 40-day study.* Harmful Algae, 2008. **7**(2): p. 206-213.
- 10. Stevens, D.K. and R.I. Krieger, *Stability studies on the cyanobacterial nicotinic alkaloid anatoxin-A.* Toxicon, 1991. **29**(2): p. 167-79.
- 11. Smith, C. and A. Sutton, *The persistence of anatoxin-a in reservoir water*. Foundation for Water Research. 1993. UK Report No. FR0427.
- 12. Kiviranta, J., K. Sivonen, and S.I. Niemelä, *Detection and toxicity of cyanobacteria by Artemia salina bioassay.* . Environ. Toxicol. Water Qual., 1991. **6**: p. 423-426.

- 13. Rapala, J., et al., Anatoxin-a concentration in Anabaena and Aphanizomenon at different environmental conditions and comparison of growth by toxic and non-toxic Anabaena strains, a laboratory study, J. App. Phycol., 1993. **5**: p. 581-591.
- 14. Rapala, J. and K. Sivonen, *Assessment of Environmental Conditions That Favor Hepatotoxic and Neurotoxic Anabaena spp. Strains Cultured under Light Limitation at Different Temperatures.* Microb Ecol, 1998. **36**(2): p. 181-92.
- 15. Carmichael, W.W. and R. Li, *Cyanobacteria toxins in the Salton Sea.* Saline Systems, 2006. **2**: p. 5.
- 16. Siskiyou County Public Health and Community Development. *Blue Green Algae*. 2006; Available from: <u>http://www.co.siskiyou.ca.us/PHS/news.aspx#algae</u>.
- 17. Kann, J., *Toxic Cyanobacteria Results for Copco/Iron Gate Reservoirs: September 18-19, 2007.* 2007. Aquatic Ecosystems Sciences LLC.
- 18. Hill, H. *Dog deaths in Humboldt and Mendocino County water bodies possibly related to cyanobacterial toxicity.* [Powerpoint] 2005; Available from: <u>http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/water_issues/programs/bluegreen_algae/docs/workgroup110805/harriethill.pdf</u>.
- 19. Puschner, B., B. Hoff, and E.R. Tor, *Diagnosis of anatoxin-a poisoning in dogs from North America.* J Vet Diagn Invest, 2008. **20**(1): p. 89-92.
- 20. Lehman, P.W., et al., *Distribution and toxicity of a new colonial Microcystis aeruginosa bloom in the San Francisco Bay Estuary, California.* Hydrobiologia, 2005. **541**: p. 87-99.
- 21. Lehman, P., et al. *Microcystis aeruginosa: A new toxic alga in the Delta.* [Powerpoint] 2005; Available from: <u>http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/water_issues/programs/bluegreen_algae/docs/workgroup110805/lehman_presentation.pdf</u>.
- 22. Miller, M.A., et al., *Evidence for a novel marine harmful algal bloom: cyanotoxin (microcystin) transfer from land to sea otters.* PLoS One, 2010. **5**(9).
- 23. SWAMP. Harmful cyanobacteria blooms and their toxins in Clear Lake and the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta (California). 2012. Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board. Surface Water Ambient Monitoring Program. 85 pp.
- 24. Izaguirre, G., A.D. Jungblut, and B.A. Neilan, *Benthic cyanobacteria* (Oscillatoriaceae) that produce microcystin-LR, isolated from four reservoirs in southern California. Water Res, 2007. **41**: p. 492 498.

- 25. Burgess, C., *A wave of momentum for toxic algae study.* Environ Health Perspect, 2001. **109**(4): p. A160-1.
- 26. de Figueiredo, D.R., et al., *Microcystin-producing blooms--a serious global public health issue.* Ecotoxicol Environ Saf, 2004. **59**(2): p. 151-63.
- 27. Behm, D., *Coroner cites algae in teen's death*, in *Milwaukee Journal Sentinel*. 2003: Milwaukee.
- 28. Carmichael, W.W., M.C.F. Yuan, and C.F. Friday. *Human mortality from accidental ingestion of toxic cyanobacteria a case re-examined.* in *Proceedings of the Sixth International Conference on Toxic Cyanobacteria.* 2004. Bergen, Norway.
- 29. Carmichael, W.W., et al., *Human fatalities from cyanobacteria: chemical and biological evidence for cyanotoxins.* Environ Health Perspect, 2001. **109**(7): p. 663-8.
- 30. Jochimsen, E.M., et al., *Liver failure and death after exposure to microcystins at a hemodialysis center in Brazil.* N Engl J Med, 1998. **338**(13): p. 873-8.
- 31. Byth, S., *Palm Island mystery disease*. Med J Aust, 1980. **2**(1): p. 40, 42.
- 32. Briand, J.F., et al., *Health hazards for terrestrial vertebrates from toxic cyanobacteria in surface water ecosystems.* Vet Res, 2003. **34**(4): p. 361-77.
- 33. Landsberg, J.H., *The Effects of Harmful Algal Blooms on Aquatic Organisms.* Reviews in Fisheries Science, 2002. **10**(2): p. 113-390.
- 34. Stewart, I., A.A. Seawright, and G.R. Shaw, *Cyanobacterial poisoning in livestock, wild mammals and birds an overview*, in *Cyanobacterial Harmful Algal Blooms State of the Science and Research Needs*, H.K. Hudnell, Editor. 2008, Springer.
- 35. Schwimmer, D. and M. Schwimmer, *Medical Aspects of Phycology*, in *Algae, Man, and the Environment: Proceedings of an International Symposium [held At] Syracuse University June 18-30, 1967*, D. Jackson, Editor. 1968, Syracuse University Press: Syracuse. p. 279–358.
- 36. Codd, G.A., et al., *Fatal attraction to cyanobacteria?* Nature, 1992. **359**(6391): p. 110-1.
- 37. Lopez Rodas, V. and E. Costas, *Preference of mice to consume Microcystis aeruginosa (toxin-producing cyanobacteria): a possible explanation for numerous fatalities of livestock and wildlife.* Res Vet Sci, 1999. **67**(1): p. 107-10.

- 38. Carbis, C.R., et al., A biochemical profile for predicting the chronic exposure of sheep to Microcystis aeruginosa, an hepatotoxic species of blue-green alga. Res Vet Sci, 1994. **57**(3): p. 310-6.
- 39. Carbis, C.R., et al., *Recovery of hepatic function and latent mortalities in sheep exposed to the blue-green alga Microcystis aeruginosa.* The Veterinary Record, 1995. **137**(1): p. 12-15.
- 40. Puschner, B., et al., *Blue-green algae toxicosis in cattle.* J Am Vet Med Assoc, 1998. **213**(11): p. 1605-7, 1571.
- 41. Cook, W.O., *Consistent inhibition of peripheral cholinesterases by neurotoxins from the freshwater cyanobacterium Anabaena flos-aquae: studies of ducks, swine, mice and a steer.* Environ Toxicol Chem, 1989. **8**: p. 915-922.
- 42. Mahmood, N.A., W.W. Carmichael, and D. Pfahler, *Anticholinesterase poisonings in dogs from a cyanobacterial (blue-green algae) bloom dominated by Anabaena flos-aquae.* Am J Vet Res, 1988. **49**(4): p. 500-3.
- 43. Mez, K., et al., *Identification of a microcystin in benthic cyanobacteria linked to cattle deaths on alpine pastures in Switzerland.* European Journal of Phycology, 1997. **32**: p. 111-117.
- 44. Carmichael, W.W. and P. Gorham, *Anatoxins from clones of Anabaena flos-aquae isolated from lakes of western Canada.* Mitt Internat Verein Limnol, 1978. **21**: p. 285-295.
- 45. Thomas, A.D., et al., *Cyanobacterium Cylindro-spermopsis raciborskii as a probable cause of death in cattle in northern Queensland.* Australian Veterinary Journal, 1998. **76**(9): p. 592-594.
- 46. Saker, M.L., A.D. Thomas, and J.H. Norton, *Cattle mortality attributed to the toxic cyanobacterium Cylindrospermopsis raciborskii in an outback region of North Queensland.* Environmental Toxicology, 1999. **14**(1): p. 179-182.
- 47. Shaw, G.R., McKenzie, R. A., Wickramasinghe, W. A., Seawright, A. A., Eaglesham, G. and Moore, M. R. *Comparative Toxicity of the Cyanobacterial Toxin Cylindrospermopsin Between Mice and Cattle: Human Implications.* in *Xth Intern. Conf. on Harmful Algae.* 2002. St. Pete Beach, Florida, USA.
- 48. Short, S.B. and C. Edwards, *Blue-green algae toxicoses in Oklahoma.* Vet Hum Toxicol, 1990. **32**: p. 558-560.
- 49. Kerr, L.A., C.P. McCoy, and D. Eaves, *Blue-green algae toxicosis in five dairy cows.* J Am Vet Med Assoc, 1987. **191**(7): p. 829-30.

- 50. Frazier, K., et al., *Microcystin toxicosis in cattle due to overgrowth of blue-green algae.* Vet Hum Toxicol, 1998. **40**(1): p. 23-4.
- 51. Galey, F.D., et al., *Blue-green algae (Microcystis aeruginosa) hepatotoxicosis in dairy cows.* Am J Vet Res, 1987. **48**(9): p. 1415-20.
- 52. Fitzgerald, S.D. and R.H. Poppenga, *Toxicosis due to microcystin hepatotoxins in three Holstein heifers.* J Vet Diagn Invest, 1993. **5**(4): p. 651-653.
- 53. Dillenberg, H.O. and M.K. Dehnel, *Toxic waterbloom in Saskatchewan, 1959.* Can Med Assoc J, 1960. **83**: p. 1151-4.
- 54. Senior, V.E., *Algal poisoning in Saskatchewan.* Can J Comp Med, 1960. **24**: p. 26-31.
- 55. Chengappa, M.M., L.W. Pace, and B.G. McLaughlin, *Blue-green algae (Anabaena spiroides) toxicosis in pigs.* J Am Vet Med Assoc, 1989. **194**: p. 1724-1725.
- 56. Beasley, V.R., et al., *Apparent Blue-green Algae Poisoning in Swine Subsequent* to Ingestion of a Bloom Dominated by Anabaena Spiroides. Journal of the American Veterinary Medical Association, 1983. **182**(4): p. 413-414.
- 57. Edwards, C., et al., *Identification of anatoxin-A in benthic cyanobacteria (bluegreen algae) and in associated dog poisonings at Loch Insh, Scotland.* Toxicon, 1992. **30**(10): p. 1165-75.
- 58. Gunn, G.J., et al., *Fatal canine neurotoxicosis attributed to blue-green algae (cyanobacteria).* Vet Rec, 1992. **130**: p. 301-302.
- 59. James, K.J., et al., Anatoxins and degradation products, determined using hybrid quadrupole time-of-flight and quadrupole ion-trap mass spectrometry: forensic investigations of cyanobacterial neurotoxin poisoning. Rapid Commun Mass Spectrom, 2005. **19**(9): p. 1167-75.
- 60. Hamill, K.D., *Toxicity in benthic freshwater cyanobacteria(blue-green algae): first observations in New Zealand*. New Zealand Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research, 2001. **35**(5): p. 1057-1059.
- 61. Gugger, M., et al., *First report in a river in France of the benthic cyanobacterium Phormidium favosum producing anatoxin-a associated with dog neurotoxicosis.* Toxicon, 2005. **45**(7): p. 919-28.
- 62. Wood, S.A., et al., *First report of homoanatoxin-a and associated dog neurotoxicosis in New Zealand.* Toxicon, 2007.
- 63. Edney, A.T.B., *Toxic algae.* Vet Rec, 1990. **127**: p. 434-435.

- 64. DeVries, S.E., et al., *Clinical and pathologic findings of blue-green algae* (*Microcystis aeruginosa*) *intoxication in a dog.* Journal of Veterinary Diagnostic Investigation, 1993. **5**(3): p. 403.
- 65. CD Summary. *Algae Blooms: An Emerging Public Health Concern.* 2009. Oregon Public Health Division. Department of Human Services,. Vol. 58. No. 21. 2 pp. <u>http://oregon.gov/dhs/ph/cdsummary</u>
- 66. Lyon, C. *DWP develops resources for cyanobacteria and drinking water.* 2011. Pipeline. Oregon Drinking Water News. Vol. 26. Issue 2. public.health.oregon.gov/HealthyEnvironments/DrinkingWater/Pages/index.aspx
- 67. Fawell, J.K., C.P. James, and H.A. James, *Toxins from blue-green algae: Toxicological assessment of microcystin-LR and a method for its determination in water*. 1994. Water Research Centre.
- 68. IARC. Ingested nitrate and nitrite, and cyanobacterial peptide toxins. 2010. International Agency for Research on Cancer. 94. v-vii, 1-412. <u>http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21141240</u>
- 69. WHO, *Guidelines for Drinking-water Quality*. THIRD EDITION ed. 2004: WORLD HEALTH ORGANIZATION.
- 70. WHO, Cyanobacterial toxins: Microcystin-LR in Drinking-water: Background document for development of WHO Guidelines for Drinking-water Quality. 2004.
- 71. Chorus, I., *Cyanobacterial toxin research and its application in Germany: a review of the current status.* Environ Toxicol, 2005. **17**(4): p. 358-60.
- 72. Chorus, I. *Current approaches to cyanotoxin risk assessment, risk management and regulations in different countries.* 2005. Federal Environment Agency. Federal Environmental Agency (Umweltbundesamt). 117. <u>http://www.umweltbundesamt.de</u>
- 73. USEPA. Draft Toxicological Reviews of Cyanobacterial Toxins: Microcystins LR, RR, YR and LA. 2006. N.C.f.E. Assessment and O.o.R.a. Development. http://cfpub2.epa.gov/ncea/cfm/recordisplay.cfm?deid=160548
- 74. Slatkin, D.N., et al., *Atypical pulmonary thrombosis caused by a toxic cyanobacterial peptide.* Science, 1983. **220**(4604): p. 1383-5.
- 75. Adams, W.H., et al., *Pathophysiology of cyanoginosin-LR: in vivo and in vitro studies.* Toxicol Appl Pharmacol, 1988. **96**(2): p. 248-57.
- 76. Yoshida, T., et al., *Acute oral toxicity of microcystin-LR, a cyanobacterial hepatotoxin, in mice.* Nat Toxins, 1997. **5**(3): p. 91-5.

- 77. Hooser, S.B., *Fulminant hepatocyte apoptosis in vivo following microcystin-LR administration to rats.* Toxicol Pathol, 2000. **28**(5): p. 726-33.
- 78. Apoptosis. 2008.
- 79. Solstad, T. and K.E. Fladmark, *Algal toxins as guidance to identify phosphoproteins with key roles in apoptotic cell death.* Curr Pharm Biotechnol, 2006. **7**(3): p. 209-15.
- 80. Fu, W.Y., et al., *Altered expression of p53, Bcl-2 and Bax induced by microcystin-LR in vivo and in vitro.* Toxicon, 2005. **46**(2): p. 171-7.
- 81. Yoshizawa, S., et al., *Inhibition of protein phosphatases by microcystins and nodularin associated with hepatotoxicity.* J Cancer Res Clin Oncol, 1990. **116**(6): p. 609-14.
- 82. Grosse, Y., et al., *Carcinogenicity of nitrate, nitrite, and cyanobacterial peptide toxins.* Lancet Oncol, 2006. **7**(8): p. 628-9.
- 83. NTP. *Testing Status: Testing Status: Microcystin mixture (TGMX) M050006*. 2008.; Available from: <u>http://ntp.niehs.nih.gov/go/15144</u>.
- 84. Žegura, B., A. Štraser, and M. Filipič, *Genotoxicity and potential carcinogenicity of cyanobacterial toxins a review.* Mutation Research/Reviews in Mutation Research, 2011. **727**(1–2): p. 16-41.
- 85. Falconer, I.R. and T.H. Buckley, *Tumour promotion by Microcystis sp., a blue*green alga occurring in water supplies. Med J Aust, 1989. **150**(6): p. 351.
- Falconer, I.R., *Tumor promotion and liver injury caused by oral consumption of cyanobacteria*. Environmental Toxicology & Water Quality, 1991. 6(2): p. 177 184.
- Nishiwaki-Matsushima, R., et al., *Liver tumor promotion by the cyanobacterial cyclic peptide toxin microcystin-LR.* J Cancer Res Clin Oncol, 1992. **118**(6): p. 420-4.
- 88. Falconer, I.R. and A.R. Humpage, *Tumour Promotion by Cyanobacterial Toxins*. Phycologia, 1996. **35**(6): p. 74-79.
- 89. Fawell, J.K., et al., *The toxicity of cyanobacterial toxins in the mouse: I microcystin-LR.* Hum Exp Toxicol, 1999. **18**(3): p. 162-7.
- 90. Heinze, R., *Toxicity of the cyanobacterial toxin microcystin-LR to rats after 28 days intake with the drinking water.* Environ. Toxicol. Pharmacol., 1999. **14**(1): p. 57-60.

- 91. Ito, E., F. Kondo, and K. Harada, *Hepatic necrosis in aged mice by oral administration of microcystin-LR.* Toxicon, 1997. **35**(2): p. 231-9.
- 92. Ueno, Y., et al., *No chronic oral toxicity of a low dose of microcystin-LR, a cyanobacterial hepatotoxin, in female BALB/c mice.* Environmental Toxicology, 1999. **14**(1): p. 45 55.
- 93. Jackson, A.R., et al., *Clinical and pathological changes in sheep experimentally poisoned by the blue-green alga Microcystis aeruginosa.* Vet Pathol, 1984. **21**(1): p. 102-13.
- 94. Froscio, S.M., et al., Interaction of the cyanobacterial toxin cylindrospermopsin with the eukaryotic protein synthesis system. Toxicon, 2008. **51**(2): p. 191-8.
- 95. Terao, K., et al., *Electron microscopic studies on experimental poisoning in mice induced by cylindrospermopsin isolated from blue-green alga Umezakia natans.* Toxicon, 1994. **32**(7): p. 833-43.
- 96. Escoffier, N., et al., *Toxicity to medaka fish embryo development of okadaic acid and crude extracts of Prorocentrum dinoflagellates.* Toxicon, 2007. **49**(8): p. 1182-1192.
- 97. Runnegar, M.T., et al., *Inhibition of reduced glutathione synthesis by cyanobacterial alkaloid cylindrospermopsin in cultured rat hepatocytes.* Biochem Pharmacol, 1995. **49**(2): p. 219-25.
- 98. Froscio, S.M., et al., *Cylindrospermopsin-induced protein synthesis inhibition and its dissociation from acute toxicity in mouse hepatocytes.* Environ Toxicol, 2003.
 18(4): p. 243-51.
- 99. Humpage, A.R. and I.R. Falconer, *Oral toxicity of the cyanobacterial toxin cylindrospermopsin in male Swiss albino mice: determination of no observed adverse effect level for deriving a drinking water guideline value.* Environ Toxicol, 2003. **18**(2): p. 94-103.
- 100. Seawright, A.A., et al., *The oral toxicity for mice of the tropical cyanobacterium Cylindrospermopsis raciborskii (Woloszynska).* Environmental Toxicology, 1999. **14**(1): p. 135-142.
- 101. Lovell, R.A., et al., *Toxicity of intraperitoneal doses of microcystin-LR in two strains of male mice.* J Environ Pathol Toxicol Oncol, 1989. **9**(3): p. 221-37.
- 102. Gupta, N., et al., *Comparative toxicity evaluation of cyanobacterial cyclic peptide toxin microcystin variants (LR, RR, YR) in mice.* Toxicology, 2003. **188**(2-3): p. 285-96.

- 103. Humpage, A.R., et al., *Micronucleus induction and chromosome loss in transformed human white cells indicate clastogenic and aneugenic action of the cyanobacterial toxin, cylindrospermopsin.* Mutat Res, 2000. **472**(1-2): p. 155-61.
- 104. Shen, X., et al., *Genotoxicity investigation of a cyanobacterial toxin, cylindrospermopsin.* Toxicon, 2002. **40**(10): p. 1499-501.
- 105. Shaw, G.R., et al., *Cylindrospermopsin, a cyanobacterial alkaloid: evaluation of its toxicologic activity.* Ther Drug Monit, 2000. **22**(1): p. 89-92.
- 106. USEPA. Draft Toxicological Reviews of Cyanobacterial Toxins: Cylindrospermopsin. 2006. N.C.f.E. Assessment and O.o.R.a. Development. http://cfpub2.epa.gov/ncea/cfm/recordisplay.cfm?deid=160547
- 107. Falconer, I.R. and A.R. Humpage, *Preliminary evidence for in vivo tumour initiation by oral administration of extracts of the blue-green alga cylindrospermopsis raciborskii containing the toxin cylindrospermopsin.* Environ Toxicol, 2001. **16**(2): p. 192-5.
- 108. Shaw, G.R., A.A. Seawright, and M.R. Moore, *Toxicology and human health implications of the cyanobacterial toxin cylindrospermopsin.* Mycotoxins and Phycotoxins in Perspective at the Turn of the Millennium. Eds, WJ Dekoe, RA Samson, HP van Egmond, J. Gilbert, M. Sabino. IUPAC & AOAC International Brazil, 2001: p. 435–443.
- 109. Carmichael, W.W., P.R. Gorham, and D.F. Biggs, *Two laboratory case studies on the oral toxicity to calves of the freshwater cyanophyte (blue-green alga) Anabaena flos-aquae NRC-44-1.* Can Vet J, 1977. **18**(3): p. 71-5.
- 110. Stevens, D.K. and R.I. Krieger, *Effect of route of exposure and repeated doses on the acute toxicity in mice of the cyanobacterial nicotinic alkaloid anatoxin-a.* Toxicon, 1991. **29**(1): p. 134-8.
- 111. Astrachan, N.B., B.G. Archer, and D.R. Hilbelink, *Evaluation of the subacute toxicity and teratogenicity of anatoxin-a.* Toxicon, 1980. **18**(5-6): p. 684-8.
- 112. Rogers, E.H., et al., *Potential developmental toxicity of anatoxin-a, a cyanobacterial toxin.* J Appl Toxicol, 2005. **25**(6): p. 527-34.
- 113. Jarema, K.A., A. Poling, and R.C. Macphail, *Effects of weekly exposure to anatoxin-a and nicotine on operant performance of rats.* Neurotoxicol Teratol, 2008.
- 114. Macphail, R.C., J.D. Farmer, and K.A. Jarema, *Effects of acute and weekly episodic exposures to anatoxin-a on the motor activity of rats: Comparison with nicotine.* Toxicology, 2007.

- 115. Astrachan, N.B. and B.G. Archer, *Simplified Monitoring of Anatoxin-a by Reverse-Phase High Performance Liquid Chromatography and the Sub-acute Effects of Anatoxin-a in Rats.*, in *The Water Environment: Algal Toxins and Health.* 1981, Plenum Press: New York. p. 437-446.
- 116. USEPA. *Draft Toxicological Reviews of Cyanobacterial Toxins: Anatoxin-A.* 2006. NCEA. <u>http://cfpub2.epa.gov/ncea/cfm/recordisplay.cfm?deid=160546</u>
- 117. Carmichael, W.W., D.F. Biggs, and P.R. Gorham, *Toxicology and pharmacological action of anabaena flos-aquae toxin.* Science, 1975. **187**(4176): p. 542-4.
- 118. Stevens, D.K. and R.I. Krieger, *Analysis of anatoxin-a by GC/ECD.* J Anal Toxicol, 1988. **12**(3): p. 126-31.
- 119. Cheng, Y.S., et al., *Characterization of Aerosols Containing Microcystin.* Mar. Drugs 2007. **5**: p. 136-150.
- 120. Ibelings, B.W. and I. Chorus, *Accumulation of cyanobacterial toxins in freshwater "seafood" and its consequences for public health: A review.* Environmental Pollution, 2007. **150**(1): p. 177-192.
- 121. CADFG, Unpublished Data. Contact: David Crane, Director, Water Pollution Control Laboratory, California Department of Fish and Game. 2008.
- 122. Saker, M.L. and G.K. Eaglesham, *The accumulation of cylindrospermopsin from the cyanobacterium Cylindrospermopsis raciborskii in tissues of the Redclaw crayfish Cherax quadricarinatus.* Toxicon, 1999. **37**(7): p. 1065-77.
- 123. Hothem, R., et al., *Mercury and Trace Elements in Crayfish from Northern California.* Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology, 2007. **79**(6): p. 628-632.
- 124. Osswald, J., et al., *Acute effects of an anatoxin-a producing cyanobacterium on juvenile fish--Cyprinus carpio L.* Toxicon, 2007. **49**(5): p. 693-698.
- 125. OEHHA, *Technical Support Document for Exposure Assessment and Stochastic Analysis: Air Toxics "Hot Spots" Program Risk Assessment Guidelines Part IV.* 2000. Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment.
- 126. Gassel, M., *Chemicals in Fish: Consumption of Fish and Shellfish in California and the United States.* 2001. Pesticide and Environmental Toxicology Section. Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment. California Environmental Protection Agency.

- 127. USEPA, Guidance for Assessing Chemical Contaminant Data for Use in Fish Advisories. Volume 2. Risk Assessment and Fish Consumption Limits. 3rd Ed. 2000.
- 128. (NRC), N.R.C., *Nutrient Requirements of Dairy Cattle: Seventh Revised Edition.* 2001, Washington D.C.: National Academy Press.
- 129. (NRC), N.R.C., *Nutrient Requirements of Beef Cattle: Seventh Revised Edition, Update 2000.* 2000, Washington D.C.: National Academy Press.
- 130. (NRC), N.R.C., *Nutrient Requirements of Dogs and Cats.* 2006, Washington D.C.: National Academy Press.
- 131. USEPA. Exposure Factors Handbook. 1997.
- 132. Choi, H.K., G.L. Flynn, and G.L. Amidon, *Percutaneous absorption and dermal delivery of cyclosporin A.* J Pharm Sci, 1995. **84**(5): p. 581-3.
- 133. Nakashima, M., et al., *Evaluation of in-vivo transdermal absorption of cyclosporin with absorption enhancer using intradermal microdialysis in rats.* J Pharm Pharmacol, 1996. **48**(11): p. 1143-6.
- 134. Lauerma, A.I., C. Surber, and H.I. Maibach, *Absorption of topical tacrolimus (FK506) in vitro through human skin: comparison with cyclosporin A.* Skin Pharmacol, 1997. **10**(5-6): p. 230-4.
- 135. Cladera, J., et al., *Influence of molecular dipoles on human skin permeability: Use of 6-ketocholestanol to enhance the transdermal delivery of bacitracin.* J Pharm Sci, 2003. **92**(5): p. 1018-27.
- 136. Godin, B. and E. Touitou, *Mechanism of bacitracin permeation enhancement through the skin and cellular membranes from an ethosomal carrier.* J Control Release, 2004. **94**(2-3): p. 365-79.
- 137. Bos, J.D. and M.M. Meinardi, *The 500 Dalton rule for the skin penetration of chemical compounds and drugs.* Exp Dermatol, 2000. **9**(3): p. 165-9.
- 138. Lyman, W.J., W.F. Reehl, and Rosenblatt., *Handbook of Chemical Property Estimation Methods.* 1990: American Chemical Society: Washington, DC.
- 139. USEPA. *Dermal Exposure Assessment: A Summary of EPA Approaches.* 2007. NCEA. USEPA.
- 140. Berfield, J.L., L.C. Wang, and M.E. Reith, *Which form of dopamine is the substrate for the human dopamine transporter: the cationic or the uncharged species*? J Biol Chem, 1999. **274**(8): p. 4876-82.

- 141. Elleman, T.C., et al., Isolation, characterization and pathology of the toxin from a Microcystis aeruginosa (= Anacystis cyanea) bloom. Aust J Biol Sci, 1978. 31(3): p. 209-18.
- 142. Murphy, M.R., C.L. Davis and G.C. McCoy., *Factors affecting water consumption by Holstein cows in early lactation.* J. Dairy Sci., 1983. **66**: p. 35-38.
- 143. Eastridge ML, et al., *Nutrient requirements for dairy cattle of the national research council versus some commonly used software.* J. Dairy Sci. , 1998. **81**: p. 3049-3062.
- 144. RAND, California Temperature Data from the National Climatic Data Center, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration 2004, RAND Corporation.
- 145. (NRC), N.R.C., *Predicting Feed Intake of Food-Producing Animals*. 1987, Washington D.C.: National Academy Press.
- 146. O'Connor, W., *Drinking by dogs during and after running.* J Physiol., 1975. **250**(2): p. 247–259.
- 147. Baker, M., *Cardiovascular and respiratory responses to heat in dehydrated dogs.* Am. J. Physiol., 1984. **246**: p. R369-R374.
- 148. Merck, *The Merck Veterinary Manual, Ninth Edition.*, C.M. Kahn, Editor. 2005, Merck & Co., Inc.: Whitehouse Station.
- 149. Sinclair, J.L., et al., *Occurrence of Cyanobacterial Harmful Algal Blooms Workgroup report.* Adv Exp Med Biol, 2008. **619**: p. 45-103.
- 150. Paerl, H.W., et al., *Harmful freshwater algal blooms, with an emphasis on cyanobacteria.* ScientificWorldJournal, 2001. **1**: p. 76-113.
- 151. Havens, K.E., *Cyanobacteria blooms: effects on aquatic ecosystems.* Adv Exp Med Biol, 2008. **619**: p. 733-47.
- 152. Hallegraeff, G.M., *A review of harmful algal blooms and their apparent global increase.* Phycologia, 1993. **32**(2): p. 79-99.
- 153. Johnk, K.D., et al., *Summer heatwaves promote blooms of harmful cyanobacteria.* Global Change Biology, 2007.
- 154. Jacoby, J.M., et al., *Environmental factors associated with a toxic bloom of Microcystis aeruginosa.* Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences, 2000. **57**(1): p. 231-240.

- 155. Wiedner, C., et al., *Climate change affects timing and size of populations of an invasive cyanobacterium in temperate regions.* Oecologia, 2007. **152**(3): p. 473-84.
- 156. Wiegand, C. and S. Pflugmacher, *Ecotoxicological effects of selected cyanobacterial secondary metabolites: a short review.* Toxicol Appl Pharmacol, 2005. **203**(3): p. 201-18.
- 157. Duy, T.N., et al., *Toxicology and risk assessment of freshwater cyanobacterial* (*blue-green algal*) toxins in water. Rev Environ Contam Toxicol, 2000. **163**: p. 113-85.
- 158. Carmichael, W.W., *Cyanobacteria secondary metabolites--the cyanotoxins.* J Appl Bacteriol, 1992. **72**(6): p. 445-59.
- 159. Carmichael, W.W., *The toxins of cyanobacteria*. Sci Am, 1994. **270**(1): p. 78-86.
- 160. Carmichael, W.W., *The cyanotoxins.* Adv Bot Res, 1997. **7**: p. 211-256.
- 161. Malbrouck, C. and P. Kestemont, *Effects of microcystins on fish.* Environ Toxicol Chem, 2006. **25**(1): p. 72-86.
- 162. Ibelings, B.W. and K.E. Havens, *Cyanobacterial toxins: a qualitative meta-analysis of concentrations, dosage and effects in freshwater, estuarine and marine biota.* Adv Exp Med Biol, 2008. **619**: p. 675-732.
- 163. Engelke CJ, L.L., Jaspars M, *Elevated microcystin and nodularin levels in cyanobacteria growing in spent medium of Planktothrix agardhii.* Archiv fur Hydrobiologie 2003. **158**: p. 541-550.
- 164. Sukenik A, E.R., Livne A, Hadas O, Rom M, Tchernov D, Vardi A, Kaplan A., Inhibition of growth and photosynthesis of the dinoflagellate Peridinium gatunense by Microcystis sp.(cyanobacteria): A novel allelopathic mechanism. Limnology and Oceanography, 2002. **47**(6): p. 1656-1663.
- 165. Kearns, K.D. and M.D. Hunter, *Green algal extracellular products regulate antialgal toxin production in a cyanobacterium.* Environ Microbiol, 2000. **2**(3): p. 291-7.
- 166. Kearns, K.D. and M.D. Hunter, *Toxin-Producing Anabaena flos-aquae Induces Settling of Chlamydomonas reinhardtii, a Competing Motile Alga.* Microb Ecol, 2001. **42**(1): p. 80-86.
- 167. Jang, M.H., K. Ha, and N. Takamura, *Reciprocal allelopathic responses between toxic cyanobacteria (Microcystis aeruginosa) and duckweed (Lemna japonica).* Toxicon, 2007. **49**(5): p. 727-33.

- 168. Pflugmacher, S., *Possible allelopathic effects of cyanotoxins, with reference to microcystin-LR, in aquatic ecosystems.* Environ Toxicol, 2002. **17**(4): p. 407-13.
- 169. Carmichael, W.W., *Preparing for the future: Algal toxins and their relationship to aquatic ecosystem health.* Journal of Phycology, 1999. **35**(3 SUPPL.): p. 6.
- 170. Pietsch, C., et al., *The effects of a cyanobacterial crude extract on different aquatic organisms: evidence for cyanobacterial toxin modulating factors.* Environ Toxicol, 2001. **16**(6): p. 535-42.
- 171. Prieto, A.I., et al., *Differential oxidative stress responses to microcystins LR and RR in intraperitoneally exposed tilapia fish (Oreochromis sp.).* Aquat Toxicol, 2006. 77(3): p. 314-21.
- 172. Zegura, B., B. Sedmak, and M. Filipic, *Microcystin-LR induces oxidative DNA damage in human hepatoma cell line HepG2.* Toxicon, 2003. **41**(1): p. 41-8.
- Codd, G.A., L.F. Morrison, and J.S. Metcalf, *Cyanobacterial toxins: risk* management for health protection. Toxicology and Applied Pharmacology, 2005.
 203(3): p. 264-272.
- Chen, J. and P. Xie, Seasonal dynamics of the hepatotoxic microcystins in various organs of four freshwater bivalves from the large eutrophic lake Taihu of subtropical China and the risk to human consumption. Environ Toxicol, 2005.
 20(6): p. 572-84.
- 175. Lei, H., et al., *Distribution of toxins in various tissues of crucian carp intraperitoneally injected with hepatotoxic microcystins.* Environ Toxicol Chem, 2008. **27**(5): p. 1167-74.
- 176. Zhang, D., et al., *Bioaccumulation of the hepatotoxic microcystins in various organs of a freshwater snail from a subtropical Chinese lake, Taihu Lake, with dense toxic Microcystis blooms.* Environ Toxicol Chem, 2007. **26**(1): p. 171-6.
- 177. Nishiwaki-Matsushima, R., et al., *Structure-function relationships of microcystins, liver tumor promoters, in interaction with protein phosphatase.* Jpn J Cancer Res, 1991. **82**(9): p. 993-6.
- 178. Ward, C.J. and G.A. Codd, *Comparative toxicity of four microcystins of different hydrophobicities to the protozoan, Tetrahymena pyriformis.* J Appl Microbiol, 1999. **86**(5): p. 874-82.
- 179. Blom, J.F., J.A. Robinson, and F. Juttner, *High grazer toxicity of* [*D-Asp(3),(E)-Dhb(7)*]*microcystin-RR of Planktothrix rubescens as compared to different microcystins.* Toxicon, 2001. **39**(12): p. 1923-32.

- 180. Chen, Y.-M., et al., *Comparison of protein phosphatase inhibition activities and mouse toxicities of microcystins.* Toxicon, 2006. **47**(7): p. 742-746.
- 181. Hoeger, S.J., et al., *Analytical and functional characterization of microcystins* [*Asp3*]*MC-RR and* [*Asp3*,*Dhb7*]*MC-RR: consequences for risk assessment?* Environ Sci Technol, 2007. **41**(7): p. 2609-16.
- 182. Hastie, C.J., et al., *Inhibition of several protein phosphatases by a non-covalently interacting microcystin and a novel cyanobacterial peptide, nostocyclin.* Biochim Biophys Acta, 2005. **1726**(2): p. 187-93.
- 183. Liu, B.H., et al., *Monitoring of microcystin-protein phosphatase adduct formation with immunochemical methods.* Toxicon, 2000. **38**(5): p. 619-32.
- 184. Kankaanpaeae, H., et al., *Nodularin analyses and toxicity of a Nodularia spumigena(Nostocales, Cyanobacteria) water-bloom in the western Gulf of Finland, Baltic Sea, in August 1999.* Phycologia, 2001. **40**(3): p. 268-274.
- 185. Kondo, F., et al., Formation, characterization, and toxicity of the glutathione and cysteine conjugates of toxic heptapeptide microcystins. Chem Res Toxicol, 1992.
 5(5): p. 591-6.
- 186. Pflugmacher, S., et al., *Identification of an enzymatically formed glutathione conjugate of the cyanobacterial hepatotoxin microcystin-LR: the first step of detoxication.* Biochim Biophys Acta, 1998. **1425**(3): p. 527-33.
- Metcalf, J.S., et al., *Immuno-crossreactivity and toxicity assessment of conjugation products of the cyanobacterial toxin, microcystin-LR.* FEMS Microbiol Lett, 2000. 189(2): p. 155-8.
- 188. Sahin, A., et al., *Biliary excretion of biochemically active cyanobacteria (blue-green algae) hepatotoxins in fish.* Toxicology, 1996. **106**(1-3): p. 123-30.
- 189. Beattie, K.A., et al., *Comparative effects and metabolism of two microcystins and nodularin in the brine shrimp Artemia salina.* Aquat Toxicol, 2003. **62**(3): p. 219-26.
- 190. Vasconcelos, V.M., C. Wiegand, and S. Pflugmacher, *Dynamics of glutathione-Stransferases in Mytilus galloprovincialis exposed to toxic Microcystis aeruginosa cells, extracts and pure toxins.* Toxicon, 2007. **50**(6): p. 740-5.
- 191. Vinagre, T.M., et al., *Effects of extracts from the cyanobacterium Microcystis aeruginosa on ion regulation and gill Na+,K+-ATPase and K+-dependent phosphatase activities of the estuarine crab Chasmagnathus granulata (Decapoda, Grapsidae).* Physiol Biochem Zool, 2002. **75**(6): p. 600-8.
- Wiegand, C., et al., Uptake and effects of microcystin-LR on detoxication enzymes of early life stages of the zebra fish (Danio rerio). Environmental Toxicology, 1999.
 14(1): p. 89-95.
- 193. Cazenave, J., et al., Attenuating effects of natural organic matter on microcystin toxicity in zebra fish (Danio rerio) embryos Benefits and costs of microcystin detoxication. Environmental Toxicology, 2006. **21**(1): p. 22-32.
- 194. McDermott, C.M., R. Feola, and J. Plude, *Detection of cyanobacterial toxins (microcystins) in waters of northeastern Wisconsin by a new immunoassay technique*. Toxicon, 1995. **33**(11): p. 1433-42.
- 195. Lampert, W., *Inhibitory and toxic effects of blue-green algae on Daphnia.* Internationale Revue der gesamten Hydrobiologie und Hydrographie, 1981. **66**(3).
- 196. Lampert, W., *Further studies on the inhibitory effect of the toxic blue-green Microcystis aeruginosa on the filtering rate of zooplankton.* Archiv fur Hydrobiologie. Stuttgart, 1982. **95**(1): p. 207-220.
- 197. Fulton, R. and H. Paerl, *Toxic and inhibitory effects of the blue-green alga Microcystis aeruginosa on herbivorous zooplankton.* Journal of Plankton Research, 1987. **9**(5): p. 837-855.
- 198. Thostrup, L. and K. Christoffersen, *Accumulation of microcystin in Daphnia magna feeding on toxic Microcystis.* Archiv für Hydrobiologie, 1999. **145**(4): p. 447-467.
- 199. Rohrlack, T., et al., *Effects of cell-bound microcystins on survival and feeding of Daphnia spp.* Appl Environ Microbiol, 2001. **67**(8): p. 3523-9.
- 200. Rohrlack, T., et al., *Role of microcystins in poisoning and food ingestion inhibition of Daphnia galeata caused by the cyanobacterium Microcystis aeruginosa.* Appl Environ Microbiol, 1999. **65**(2): p. 737-9.
- 201. Reinikainen, M., et al., *Effects of dissolved cyanobacterial toxins on the survival and egg hatching of estuarine calanoid copepods.* Marine Biology, 2002. **140**(3): p. 577-583.
- 202. Jang, M., et al., *Toxin production of cyanobacteria is increased by exposure to zooplankton.* Freshwater Biology, 2003. **48**(9): p. 1540-1550.
- 203. Wilson, A.E., W.A. Wilson, and M.E. Hay, *Intraspecific variation in growth and morphology of the bloom-forming cyanobacterium Microcystis aeruginosa.* Appl Environ Microbiol, 2006. **72**(11): p. 7386-9.

- 204. Ferrao-Filho Ada, S., B. Kozlowsky-Suzuki, and S.M. Azevedo, *Accumulation of microcystins by a tropical zooplankton community.* Aquat Toxicol, 2002. **59**(3-4): p. 201-8.
- 205. Ibelings, B.W., et al., *Distribution of microcystins in a lake foodweb: no evidence for biomagnification.* Microb Ecol, 2005. **49**(4): p. 487-500.
- 206. Kotak, B.G., et al., *Microcystin-LR concentration in aquatic food web compartments from lakes of varying trophic status.* Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences, 1996. **53**(9): p. 1974-1985.
- 207. Watanabe, M., K. Kaya, and N. Takamura, *Fate of the toxic cyclic heptapeptides, the microcystins, from blooms of Microcystis(Cyanobacteria) in a hypertrophic lake.* Journal of Phycology, 1992. **28**(6): p. 761-767.
- 208. DeMott, W.R., Q.X. Zhang, and W.W. Carmichael, *Effects of Toxic Cyanobacteria* and *Purified Toxins on the Survival and Feeding of a Copepod and Three Species* of Daphnia. Limnology and Oceanography, 1991. **36**(7): p. 1346-1357.
- 209. Pinho, G.L.L., et al., *Antioxidant responses and oxidative stress after microcystin exposure in the hepatopancreas of an estuarine crab species.* Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety, 2005. **61**(3): p. 353-360.
- 210. Dewes, L.J., et al., *Biochemical and physiological responses after exposure to microcystins in the crab Chasmagnathus granulatus (Decapoda, Brachyura).* Ecotoxicol Environ Saf, 2006. **65**: p. 201-208.
- 211. Pinho, G.L., et al., *Toxic effects of microcystins in the hepatopancreas of the estuarine crab Chasmagnathus granulatus (Decapoda, Grapsidae).* Comp Biochem Physiol C Toxicol Pharmacol, 2003. **135**(4): p. 459-68.
- 212. Montagnolli, W., et al., *Acute effects of Microcystis aeruginosa from the Patos Lagoon estuary, southern Brazil, on the microcrustacean kalliapseudes schubartii (Crustacea: Tanaidacea).* Arch Environ Contam Toxicol, 2004. **46**(4): p. 463-9.
- 213. Liras, V., et al., *Can ingested cyanobacteria be harmful to the signal crayfish(Pacifastacus leniusculus)?* Freshwater Biology, 1998. **39**(2): p. 233-242.
- 214. Vasconcelos, V., S. Oliveira, and F.O. Teles, *Impact of a toxic and a non-toxic strain of Microcystis aeruginosa on the crayfish Procambarus clarkii.* Toxicon, 2001. **39**(10): p. 1461-70.
- 215. Magalhaes, V.F., et al., *Microcystins (cyanobacteria hepatotoxins) bioaccumulation in fish and crustaceans from Sepetiba Bay (Brasil, RJ).* Toxicon, 2003. **42**(3): p. 289-95.

- 216. Kankaanpaa, H.T., et al., *Cyanobacteria and prawn farming in northern New South Wales, Australia--a case study on cyanobacteria diversity and hepatotoxin bioaccumulation.* Toxicol Appl Pharmacol, 2005. **203**(3): p. 243-56.
- 217. Chen, J. and P. Xie, *Tissue distributions and seasonal dynamics of the hepatotoxic microcystins-LR and -RR in two freshwater shrimps, Palaemon modestus and Macrobrachium nipponensis, from a large shallow, eutrophic lake of the subtropical China.* Toxicon, 2005. **45**(5): p. 615-25.
- 218. Williams, D.E., et al., *Evidence for a covalently bound form of microcystin-LR in salmon liver and dungeness crab larvae.* Chemical Research in Toxicology, 1997. **10**(4): p. 463-469.
- 219. Juhel, G., et al., *In vivo exposure to microcystins induces DNA damage in the haemocytes of the zebra mussel, Dreissena polymorpha, as measured with the comet assay.* Environ Mol Mutagen, 2007. **48**(1): p. 22-9.
- 220. Juhel, G., et al., *Pseudodiarrhoea in zebra mussels Dreissena polymorpha (Pallas)* exposed to microcystins. J Exp Biol, 2006. **209**(Pt 5): p. 810-6.
- 221. Pires, L.M., et al., *Assimilation and depuration of microcystin-LR by the zebra mussel, Dreissena polymorpha.* Aquat Toxicol, 2004. **69**(4): p. 385-96.
- 222. Dionisio Pires LM, V.D.E., *Comparing grazing by Dreissena polymorpha on phytoplankton in the presence of toxic and non-toxic cyanobacteria*. Freshwater Biology, 2002. **47**(10): p. 1855–1865.
- 223. Vanderploeg, H.A., et al., *Zebra mussel(Dreissena polymorpha) selective filtration promoted toxic Microcystis blooms in Saginaw Bay(Lake Huron) and Lake Erie.* Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences, 2001. **58**(6): p. 1208-1221.
- 224. Yokoyama, A. and H.D. Park, *Mechanism and prediction for contamination of freshwater bivalves (Unionidae) with the cyanobacterial toxin microcystin in hypereutrophic Lake Suwa, Japan.* Environ Toxicol, 2002. **17**(5): p. 424-33.
- 225. Amorim, A. and V. Vasconcelos, *Dynamics of microcystins in the mussel Mytilus galloprovincialis.* Toxicon, 1999. **37**(7): p. 1041-52.
- 226. Vasconcelos, V.M., Uptake and depuration of the heptapeptide toxin microcystin-LR in Mytilus galloprovincialis. Aquatic Toxicology, 1995. **32**(2): p. 227-237.
- 227. Williams, D.E., et al., *Bioaccumulation and clearance of microcystins from salt water mussels, Mytilus edulis, and in vivo evidence for covalently bound microcystins in mussel tissues.* Toxicon, 1997. **35**(11): p. 1617-25.

- 228. Lance, E., et al., Interactions between cyanobacteria and Gastropods I. ingestion of toxic Planktothrix agardhii by Lymnaea stagnalis and the kinetics of microcystin bioaccumulation and detoxification. Aquat Toxicol, 2006. **79**(2): p. 140-8.
- 229. Lance, E., et al., Interactions between cyanobacteria and gastropods: II. Impact of toxic Planktothrix agardhii on the life-history traits of Lymnaea stagnalis. Aquatic Toxicology, 2007. **81**(4): p. 389-396.
- 230. Gerard, C., L. Brient, and B. Le Rouzic, *Variation in the response of juvenile and adult gastropods (Lymnaea stagnalis) to cyanobacterial toxin (microcystin-LR).* Environ Toxicol, 2005. **20**(6): p. 592-6.
- 231. White, S.H., L.J. Duivenvoorden, and L.D. Fabbro, *Impacts of a Toxic Microcystis* Bloom on the Macroinvertebrate Fauna of Lake Elphinstone, Central Queensland, Australia. Hydrobiologia, 2005. **548**(1): p. 117-126.
- 232. Zurawell, R.W., C.F. Holmes, and E.E. Prepas, *Elimination of the Cyanobacterial* Hepatotoxin Microcystin from the freshwater Pulmonate Snail Lymnaea stagnalis jugularis (SAY). J Toxicol Environ Health A, 2006. **69**(4): p. 303-18.
- 233. Zurawell, R.W., et al., *Tissue distribution and oral dose effects of microcystin in the freshwater pulmonate snail Lymnaea stagnalis jugularis (Say).* J Toxicol Environ Health A, 2007. **70**(7): p. 620-6.
- 234. Zurawell, R.W., B.G. Kotak, and E.E. Prepas, *Influence of lake trophic status on the occurrence of microcystin-LR in the tissue of pulmonate snails*. Freshwater Biology, 1999. **42**(4): p. 707.
- 235. Chen, J., et al., *Tissue distributions and seasonal dynamics of the hepatotoxic microcystins-LR and -RR in a freshwater snail (Bellamya aeruginosa) from a large shallow, eutrophic lake of the subtropical China.* Environ Pollut, 2005. **134**(3): p. 423-30.
- 236. Fischer, W.J., et al., *Microcystin-LR toxicodynamics, induced pathology, and immunohistochemical localization in livers of blue-green algae exposed rainbow trout (oncorhynchus mykiss).* Toxicol Sci, 2000. **54**(2): p. 365-73.
- 237. Tencalla, F. and D. Dietrich, *Biochemical characterization of microcystin toxicity in rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss).* Toxicon, 1997. **35**(4): p. 583-95.
- 238. Tencalla, F.G., D.R. Dietrich, and C. Schlatter, *Toxicity of Microcystis aeruginosa peptide toxin to yearling rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss).* Aquatic Toxicology, 1994. **30**(3): p. 215-224.

- 239. Phillips, M.J., et al., *The toxicity of the cyanobacterium Microcystis aeruginosa to rainbow trout, Salmo gairdneri Richardson.* Journal of Fish Diseases, 1985. **8**(4): p. 339-344.
- 240. Carbis, C.R., et al., *The histopathology of carp, Cyprinus carpio L., exposed to microcystins by gavage, immersion and intraperitoneal administration.* Journal of fish diseases. Oxford, 1996. **19**(3): p. 199-207.
- Zambrano, F. and E. Canelo, Effects of microcystin-LR on the partial reactions of the Na(+)-K+ pump of the gill of carp (Cyprinus carpio Linneo). Toxicon, 1996.
 34(4): p. 451-8.
- 242. Bury, N.R., F.B. Eddy, and G.A. Codd, *The effects of the cyanobacterium Microcystis aeruginosa, the cyanobacterial hepatotoxin microcystin-LR, and ammonia on growth rate and ionic regulation of brown trout.* Journal of Fish Biology, 1995. **46**(6): p. 1042-1054.
- 243. Palíková, M., et al., *The effect of Pure Microcystin LR and Biomass of Blue-Green Algae on Selected Immunological Indices of Carp (Cyprinus carpio L.) and Silver Carp (Hypophthalmichthys molitrix, Val.).* Acta vet. Brno, 1998. **67**(4): p. 265-272.
- 244. Best, J.H., F.B. Eddy, and G.A. Codd, *Effects of purified microcystin-LR and cell extracts of Microcystis strains PCC 7813 and CYA 43 on cardiac function in brown trout (Salmo trutta) alevins.* Fish Physiology and Biochemistry, 2001. **24**(3): p. 171-178.
- 245. Bury, N.R., F.B. Eddy, and G.A. Codd, *Stress responses of brown trout, Salmo Trutta L., to the cyanobacterium, Microcystis aeruginosa.* Environmental Toxicology and Water Quality, 1996. **11**(3): p. 187-193.
- 246. Navratil, S., M. Palikova, and V. Vajcova, *The Effect of Pure Microcystin LR and Biomass of Blue-green Algae on Blood Indices of Carp (Cyprinus carpio L.).* Acta vet. Brno 1998. **67**(4): p. 273-279.
- 247. Fischer, W.J. and D.R. Dietrich, *Pathological and biochemical characterization of microcystin-induced hepatopancreas and kidney damage in carp (Cyprinus carpio).* Toxicol Appl Pharmacol, 2000. **164**(1): p. 73-81.
- 248. Carbis, C.R., et al., *The effects of microcystins on the serum biochemistry of carp, Cyprinus carpio L., when the toxins are administered by gavage, immersion and intraperitoneal routes.* Journal of Fish Diseases, 1996. **19**(2): p. 151-159.
- 249. Li, X.Y., et al., Subchronic oral toxicity of microcystin in common carp (Cyprinus carpio L.) exposed to Microcystis under laboratory conditions. Toxicon, 2004.
 44(8): p. 821-7.

- 250. Jos, A., et al., *Toxic cyanobacterial cells containing microcystins induce oxidative stress in exposed tilapia fish (Oreochromis sp.) under laboratory conditions.* Aquat Toxicol, 2005. **72**(3): p. 261-71.
- 251. Carbis, C.R., et al., A study of feral carp, Cyprinus carpio L., exposed to Microcystis aeruginosa at Lake Mokoan, Australia, and possible implications for fish health. Journal of Fish Diseases, 1997. **20**(2): p. 81-91.
- 252. Kamjunke, N., et al., Assimilation of different cyanobacteria as food and the consequences for internal energy stores of juvenile roach. Journal of Fish Biology, 2002. **60**(3): p. 731-738.
- 253. Honkanen, R.E., et al., *Characterization of microcystin-LR, a potent inhibitor of type 1 and type 2A protein phosphatases.* J Biol Chem, 1990. **265**(32): p. 19401-4.
- 254. Lavigne, P., et al., *Structure-based thermodynamic analysis of the dissociation of protein phosphatase-1 catalytic subunit and microcystin-LR docked complexes.* Protein Sci, 2000. **9**(2): p. 252-64.
- 255. Barford, D., A.K. Das, and M.P. Egloff, *The structure and mechanism of protein phosphatases: insights into catalysis and regulation.* Annu Rev Biophys Biomol Struct, 1998. **27**: p. 133-64.
- 256. Kennelly, P.J. and M. Potts, *Life among the primitives: protein O-phosphatases in prokaryotes.* Front Biosci, 1999. **4**: p. D372-85.
- 257. Gotz, J., et al., *Distinct role of protein phosphatase 2A subunit C[alpha] in the regulation of E-cadherin and [beta]-catenin during development.* Mechanisms of Development, 2000. **93**(1-2): p. 83-93.
- 258. Wang, P.J., et al., *Inhibition of embryonic development by microcystin-LR in zebrafish, Danio rerio.* Toxicon, 2005. **45**(3): p. 303-8.
- Liu, Y., et al., The toxic effects of microcystin-LR on embryo-larval and juvenile development of loach, Misguruns mizolepis Gunthe. Toxicon, 2002. 40(4): p. 395-9.
- 260. Oberemm, A., et al., *Effects of cyanobacterial toxins and aqueous crude extracts of cyanobacteria on the development of fish and amphibians.* Environmental Toxicology, 1999. **14**(1): p. 77-88.
- 261. Oberemm, A., J. Fastner, and C. Steinberg, *Effects of MC-LR and cyanobacterial crude extracts on embryo-larval development of zebrafish.* Water Res, 1997. **31**: p. 2918-2921.

- 262. Jacquet, C., et al., *Effects of microcystin-LR on development of medaka fish embryos (Oryzias latipes).* Toxicon, 2004. **43**(2): p. 141-7.
- von Westernhagen, H., Sublethal effects of pollutants on fish eggs and larvae, in Fish Physiology, W. Hoar and D. Randall, Editors. 1988, Academic: San Diego. p. 253–346.
- 264. Matsunaga, H., et al., *Possible cause of unnatural mass death of wild birds in a pond in Nishinomiya, Japan: sudden appearance of toxic cyanobacteria.* Nat Toxins, 1999. **7**(2): p. 81-4.
- 265. Stewart, I., A.A. Seawright, and G.R. Shaw, *Cyanobacterial poisoning in livestock, wild mammals and birds--an overview.* Adv Exp Med Biol, 2008. **619**: p. 613-37.
- 266. Alonso-Andicoberry, C., et al., *Catastrophic mortality of flamingos in a Spanish national park caused by cyanobacteria.* The Veterinary Record, 2002. **151**(23): p. 706-707.
- 267. van Apeldoorn, M.E., et al., *Toxins of cyanobacteria.* Mol Nutr Food Res, 2007. **51**(1): p. 7-60.
- 268. Krienitz, L., et al., *Contribution of hot spring cyanobacteria to the mysterious deaths of Lesser Flamingos at Lake Bogoria, Kenya.* FEMS Microbiology Ecology, 2003. **43**(2): p. 141-148.
- 269. Codd, G.A., et al., *Susceptibility of flamingos to cyanobacterial toxins via feeding.* Vet Rec, 2003. **152**(23): p. 722-3.
- 270. Driscoll CP, M.P., Miller EA, Carmichael WW. Case Report: great blue heron (Ardea herodias) morbidity and mortality investigation in Maryland's Chesapeake Bay. in Proceedings of the Southeast Fish and Wildlife Conference. 2002. Baltimore.
- 271. Takahashi, S. and K. Kaya, *Quail spleen is enlarged by microcystin RR as a blue*green algal hepatotoxin. Nat Toxins, 1993. **1**(5): p. 283-5.
- 272. Skocovska, B., et al., *Effects of cyanobacterial biomass on the Japanese quail.* Toxicon, 2007. **49**: p. 793-803.
- 273. Funari, E. and E. Testai, *Human Health Risk Assessment Related to Cyanotoxins Exposure.* Critical Reviews in Toxicology, 2008. **38**(2): p. 97 125.
- 274. White, S.H., et al., *Influence of intracellular toxin concentrations on cylindrospermopsin bioaccumulation in a freshwater gastropod (Melanoides tuberculata).* Toxicon, 2006. **47**(5): p. 497-509.

- 275. Norris, R.L., et al., *Hepatic xenobiotic metabolism of cylindrospermopsin in vivo in the mouse.* Toxicon, 2002. **40**(4): p. 471-6.
- 276. Norris, R.L., et al., *Deoxycylindrospermopsin, an analog of cylindrospermopsin from Cylindrospermopsis raciborskii.* 1999: p. 163-165.
- 277. Banker, R., et al., 7-Epicylindrospermopsin, a toxic minor metabolite of the cyanobacterium Aphanizomenon ovalisporum from lake Kinneret, Israel. J Nat Prod, 2000. **63**(3): p. 387-9.
- 278. Banker, R., et al., Uracil moiety is required for toxicity of the cyanobacterial hepatotoxin cylindrospermopsin. J Toxicol Environ Health A, 2001. **62**(4): p. 281-8.
- 279. Neumann, C., P. Bain, and G. Shaw, *Studies of the comparative in vitro toxicology of the cyanobacterial metabolite deoxycylindrospermopsin.* J Toxicol Environ Health A, 2007. **70**(19): p. 1679-86.
- 280. Looper, R.E., M.T.C. Runnegar, and R.M. Williams, *Syntheses of the cylindrospermopsin alkaloids.* Tetrahedron, 2006. **62**(18): p. 4549-4562.
- 281. Lindsay, J., J.S. Metcalf, and G.A. Codd, *Protection against the toxicity of microcystin-LR and cylindrospermopsin in Artemia salina and Daphnia spp. by pretreatment with cyanobacterial lipopolysaccharide (LPS).* Toxicon, 2006.
- 282. Nogueira, I.C., et al., *Toxicity of the cyanobacterium Cylindrospermopsis raciborskii to Daphnia magna.* Environ Toxicol, 2004. **19**(5): p. 453-9.
- 283. Rucker, J., et al., *Concentrations of particulate and dissolved cylindrospermopsin in 21 Aphanizomenon-dominated temperate lakes.* Toxicon, 2007. **50**(6): p. 800-9.
- 284. Saker, M. and D. Griffiths, *The effect of temperature on growth and cylindrospermopsin content of seven isolates of the cyanobacterium Cylindrospermopsis raciborskii (Woloszynska) Seenaya and Subba Raju from water bodies in northern Australia.* Phycologia, 2000. **39**(4): p. 349-354.
- 285. Saker, M.L., et al., Accumulation and depuration of the cyanobacterial toxin cylindrospermopsin in the freshwater mussel Anodonta cygnea. Toxicon, 2004.
 43(2): p. 185-94.
- 286. Kinnear, S.H.W., L.J. Duivenvoorden, and L.D. Fabbro, *Sublethal responses in Melanoides tuberculata following exposure to Cylindrospermopsis raciborskii containing cylindrospermopsin.* Harmful Algae, 2007. **6**(5): p. 642-650.
- 287. White, S.H., et al., *Mortality and toxin bioaccumulation in Bufo marinus following exposure to Cylindrospermopsis raciborskii cell extracts and live cultures.* Environ Pollut, 2007.

- 288. Fawell, J.K., et al., *The toxicity of cyanobacterial toxins in the mouse: II anatoxin-a.* Hum Exp Toxicol, 1999. **18**(3): p. 168-73.
- 289. Skulberg, O.M., et al., *Investigations of a neurotoxic oscillatorialean strain* (*Cyanophyceae*) and its toxin. Isolation and characterization of homoanatoxin-a. . Environ. Toxicol. Chem., 1992. **11**: p. 321-329.
- 290. Mahmood, N.A. and W.W. Carmichael, *The pharmacology of anatoxin-a(s), a* neurotoxin produced by the freshwater cyanobacterium Anabaena flos-aquae NRC 525-17. Toxicon, 1986. **24**(5): p. 425-34.
- 291. Mahmood, N.A. and W.W. Carmichael, *Anatoxin-a(s), an anticholinesterase from the cyanobacterium Anabaena flos-aquae NRC-525-17.* Toxicon, 1987. **25**(11): p. 1221-7.
- 292. Sivonen, K., et al., *Preliminary characterization of neurotoxic cyanobacteria blooms and strains from Finland.* Toxicity assessment, 1989. **4**(3): p. 339-352.
- 293. Claska, M.E. and J.J. Gilbert, *The effect of temperature on the response of Daphnia to toxic cyanobacteria.* Freshwater Biology, 1998. **39**(2): p. 221-232.
- 294. Gilbert, J.J., *Susceptibility of planktonic rotifers to a toxic strain of Anabaena flos-aquae.* Limnology and Oceanography, 1994. **39**(6): p. 1286-1297.
- 295. Gilbert, J.J., *Differential effects of Anabaena affinis on cladocerans and rotifers: Mechanisms and implications.* Ecology, 1990. **71**(5): p. 1727-1740.
- 296. Gilbert, J.J. and M.W. Durand, *Effect of Anabaena flos-aquae on the abilities of Daphnia and Keratella to feed and reproduce on unicellular algae.* Freshwater Biology, 1990. **24**(3): p. 577-596.
- 297. Smith, P.T., *Toxic effects of blooms of marine species of Oscillatoriales on farmed prawns (Penaeus monodon, Penaeus japonicus) and brine shrimp (Artemia salina).* Toxicon, 1996. **34**(8): p. 857-869.
- 298. Kiss, T., et al., *Membrane effects of toxins isolated from a cyanobacterium, Cylindrospermopsis raciborskii, on identified molluscan neurones.* Comp Biochem Physiol C Toxicol Pharmacol, 2002. **131**(2): p. 167-76.
- 299. Carmichael, W.W., N.A. Mahmood, and E.G. Hyde, *Natural Toxins from Cyanobacteria (Blue-green Algae)*, in *Marine toxins: origin, structure, and molecular pharmacology*, S. Hall and G. Strichartz, Editors. 1990, ACS Symposium Series: Washington DC. p. 87-106.
- 300. Landsberg, J., et al., *Algal Biotoxins*, in *Infectious Diseases of Wild Birds*, H.D. Thomas N, Atkinson CT, Editor. 2007, Blackwell Publishing: Iowa.

- 301. Eriksson, J.E., A.O. Meriluoto, and T. Lindholm, *Can cyanbacterial toxins* accumulate in aquatic food chains?, in *Proceedings of the Fourth International Symposium on Microbial Ecology*. 1986: Ljubljana, Yugoslavia. p. 655-658.
- Reinikainen, M., M. Ketola, and M. Walls, *Effects of the concentrations of toxic Microcystis aeruginosa and an alternative food on the survival of Daphnia pulex.* Limnol Oceanogr 1994. **39**: p. 424 – 432.
- 303. Elser, J.J., *The pathway to noxious cyanobacteria blooms in lakes: the food web as the final turn.* Freshwater Biology, 1999. **42**(3): p. 537-543.
- 304. Lehtiniemi, M., et al., Fate of cyanobacterial toxins in the pelagic food web: transfer to copepods or to faecal pellets? Marine Ecology Progress Series, 2002. 241: p. 13-21.
- 305. Moustaka-Gouni, M., et al., *Plankton food web structure in a eutrophic polymictic lake with a history in toxic cyanobacterial blooms.* Limnology and Oceanography, 2006. **51**(1): p. 715-727.
- 306. Smith, J.L. and J.F. Haney, *Foodweb transfer, accumulation, and depuration of microcystins, a cyanobacterial toxin, in pumpkinseed sunfish (Lepomis gibbosus).* Toxicon, 2006. **48**(5): p. 580-9.
- 307. Doucette, G., et al., *22 Phycotoxin Pathways in Aquatic Food Webs: Transfer, Accumulation and Degradation.* Ecology of Harmful Algae, 2007: p. 283.
- 308. Xie, L., et al., Organ distribution and bioaccumulation of microcystins in freshwater fish at different trophic levels from the eutrophic Lake Chaohu, China. Environ Toxicol, 2005. **20**(3): p. 293-300.
- 309. Van Densen, W.L.T., W. Ligtvoet, and R.W.M. Roozen. *Intra-cohort variation in the individual size of juvenile pikeperch, Stizostedion lucioperca, and perch, Perca fluviatilis, in relation to the size spectrum of their food items.* 1996.
- 310. Xu, J., et al., *Variation in stable isotope signatures of seston and a zooplanktivorous fish in a eutrophic Chinese lake.* Hydrobiologia, 2005. **541**(1): p. 215-220.
- 311. Xie, L., et al., *Dynamics of microcystins-LR and -RR in the phytoplanktivorous silver carp in a sub-chronic toxicity experiment.* Environ Pollut, 2004. **127**(3): p. 431-9.
- 312. Carmichael, W., *A world overview--one-hundred-twenty-seven years of research on toxic cyanobacteria--where do we go from here?* Adv Exp Med Biol, 2008. **619**: p. 105-25.

- 313. Dietrich, D.R., et al., *Toxin mixture in cyanobacterial blooms--a critical comparison of reality with current procedures employed in human health risk assessment.* Adv Exp Med Biol, 2008. **619**: p. 885-912.
- 314. Donohue, J., et al., *Risk Assessment Workgroup report.* Adv Exp Med Biol, 2008. **619**: p. 759-829.
- 315. Hudnell, H.K. and Q. Dortch, *A synopsis of research needs identified at the Interagency, International Symposium on Cyanobacterial Harmful Algal Blooms (ISOC-HAB).* Adv Exp Med Biol, 2008. **619**: p. 17-43.
- 316. Hudnell, H.K., Q. Dortch, and H. Zenick, *An overview of the interagency, International Symposium on Cyanobacterial Harmful Algal Blooms (ISOC-HAB): advancing the scientific understanding of freshwater harmful algal blooms.* Adv Exp Med Biol, 2008. **619**: p. 1-16.